



# **Detecting Cosmic Strings** with Fast Radio Bursts

#### Huangyu Xiao University of Washington/Fermilab Based on arxiv: 2206.13534 with Liang Dai and Matt McQuinn

INT program: Dark Matter in Compact Objects, Stars, and in Low Energy Experiments

### **Cosmic strings**

During spontaneous symmetry breaking, different vacua are chosen in different spatial domains because they are casually disconnected. The non-trivial topology of the vacuum manifold implies the formation of strings. (Kibble 1976)

Strings formed in the early Universe will become cosmic scales objects as the Universe expands.

Historically, cosmic strings were considered as the candidate of the primordial seeds of large scale structures. This motivation is no longer valid, but cosmic strings still provide a window onto the early Universe dynamics.

## **Cosmic Strings**

A stable solution of the field configurations.

A closed path that wraps around the circle.

Cannot be continuously contracted to a point, therefore represents a string solution.

The tension of the strings  $\mu$  is determined by the symmetry breaking scale. The energy fraction in strings is ( $N_{\rm str}$  is the number of strings per horizon)

 $\Omega_{
m str} \sim N_{
m str} G \mu$ 



Figure from Ringeval, 2010.

#### **Existing Constraints**

CMB places constraints on the string tension  $\,\mu$ 

For ordinary string networks:  $G\mu < 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ Not expected<br/>to improveLensing of galaxies:  $G\mu < 3 \times 10^{-7}$ significantly

 $G\mu \sim 10^{-7} - 10^{-10}$  (GUT scale strings) can explain the stochastic gravitational wave background detected by pulsar timing arrays. This statement will depend on the loop size.

We need **new ideas** for cosmic strings!

#### **Fast Radio Bursts**

Transient radio pulses with lengths of ~1ms;

Detected at high redshifts ( $z\sim 2$ ).

Each FRB has unique fingerprint. Information contained in the burst profile.

A tremendous amount of FRBs (~1000 per day) expect to be detected in the future.

A new exciting window for cosmology and particle physics!



Image credit: Danielle Futselaar/artsource.nl

#### **Strong Lensing of Fast Radio Bursts**

- Does not require any angular resolution (Galaxy surveys are limited by this). If time delay between images is larger than ~1ms, two images are separable.
- Each FRB has unique fingerprint, so we can tell images from the same source by correlating the electric field profile.
- Many FRBs expect to be detected even at high redshift, increasing the lensing rate.



## **Strong Lensing by Straight Cosmic Strings**

The geometry is very simple: flat space with a deficit angle:

 $\delta = 8\pi G \mu$ 

We expect to see two identical images from FRBs.

Time delay



#### **Uniqueness of Lensing by Cosmic Strings**

Cosmic strings are relativistic objects, very different from other lens in the Universe. If the FRB is repeating, we can measure the time delay difference:

$$\delta t = \left(1+z_{
m L}
ight) \delta d_t \, \Delta heta \left(d_L/d_S
ight) \sim 0.8 \, {
m s} \left(rac{v_s \, {
m sin} k}{0.1 c}
ight) \left(rac{T_{
m obs}}{1 {
m yr}}
ight) \left(rac{G \mu}{10^{-8}}
ight)$$

The magnification is exactly 1 (negligibly small change from weak lensing by large scale structure).

The time delay is a few hundred seconds, much longer than lensing by stars but shorter than galaxies.

#### **Lensing Rate by Cosmic Strings**

The lensing rate of one FRB depends on the **redshift distribution** of cosmic strings and the **redshift distribution** of FRBs. We understand that the number of strings per horizon is roughly a constant (~10) from simulations. It's less certain for FRBs.

$$P(z_S) = \int_0^{z_S} \, rac{16}{3} \pi N_{
m str} rac{d_{
m L} d_{
m LS} H(z_L)}{d_{
m S}} rac{dz_L}{1+z_L} G \mu \, ,$$

Given the redshift distribution of FRBs and the number of FRBs, the total rate of detection is  $P_{
m obs} = \int \frac{dN_{
m FRB}}{d\Omega dz_S} P(z_S) d\Omega dz_S.$ 

#### **Redshift Distribution of FRBs**

Redshift distribution of FRBs is not well constrained now. It depends on various things including the intrinsic redshift distribution, luminosity function, and detection threshold.

$$rac{dN_{
m FRB}(z,>F)}{dz} = \int_{E_{
m min}(F)}^{\infty} dE \overbrace{(1+z)^{-1}}^{
m time \, dilation} imes rac{d\dot{n}_{
m FRB}(z)}{dE} \left(4\pi D_c^2
ight) \; rac{dD_c}{dz}$$

Detection threshold  $E_{\min}(F) = F\left(4\pi \, d_L^2\right) K_{
u}/(1+z)$ 

#### **Redshift Distribution of FRBs**

We have two models for the intrinsic redshift distribution. The star formation rate model is the more likely one.

Here we show different models as well as CHIME observations.



#### **Lensing Rate of Cosmic Strings**

If there are ~10^5 FRBs detected, we can obtain competitive bounds as CMB

$$P \approx \left(\frac{N_{\rm FRB}}{10^5}\right) \left(\frac{N_{\rm str}}{30}\right) \begin{cases} (G\mu)/(1.9 \times 10^{-7}) & {
m SFR}; \\ (G\mu)/(5.2 \times 10^{-7}) & {
m Stellar Mass.} \end{cases}$$

Eventually FRB would win because we expect to see more and more of them.

#### Sensitivity

Forecast: Only the field of view and the collecting area will be relevant to us since we only care about the number (detection rate) of FRBs. 10 years of data is assumed to produce this plot.



#### **Sensitivity Comparison**

The sensitivities of different radio telescopes, CMB, and galaxy surveys are plotted.



#### Conclusion

- 1. The strong lensing signal by cosmic strings is very clean and unique, especially for FRBs.
- The sensitivity of FRBs to cosmic strings only depends on the number of FRBs. We expect to see many of them in the future and this will be a very powerful tool to detect cosmic strings.

#### **Detection Rate of FRBs**

Signal to noise ratio:

$$rac{S}{N} = rac{A\,F}{2\,k_{
m B}T_{
m sys} au_{
m 0}}\sqrt{\Delta
u\, au_{
m 0}}$$

The detection rate above a certain threshold scales as  $R(>F_{
m min})\propto F_{
m min}^{-3/2}$ 

So the detection rate as a function of the collecting area and field of view of telescopes is

$$egin{aligned} R &\sim 820 \, \mathrm{day}^{-1} \, \left(rac{\Omega_{\mathrm{FOV}}}{4\pi}
ight) \, \left(rac{F_{\mathrm{min}}}{5 \, \mathrm{Jy} \cdot \mathrm{ms}}
ight)^{-rac{3}{2}} \ &\sim 990 \, \mathrm{day}^{-1} \, \left(rac{\Omega_{\mathrm{FOV}}}{4\pi}
ight) \, \left(rac{A}{10^3 \, \mathrm{m}^2}
ight)^{rac{3}{2}} \left(rac{\Delta 
u}{0.1 \, \mathrm{GHz}}
ight)^{rac{3}{4}} \left(rac{ au}{1 \, \mathrm{ms}}
ight)^{rac{3}{4}} \left(rac{S/N}{10}
ight)^{-3/2}, \end{aligned}$$



According to simulations, a large fraction of the string network (roughly 80% in the simplest formation models) is in infinite strings and the rest is in loops with a scale-invariant distribution. (Vachaspati and Vilenkin 1984) This is confirmed by the study of axion strings (Gorghetto, Hardy, Villadoro, 2018).

#### The evolution of cosmic strings

The number of strings per horizon grows logarithimically:

$$\xi = lpha_1 + lpha_2 \ln(rac{f}{H})$$



Figure from M. Buschmann, J. W. Foster, A. Hook, A. Peterson, D. E. Willcox, W. Zhang, and B. R. Safdi, 2021