

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Sun Nov 14 19:31:39 2010 CEST Run/Event: 151076 / 1328520 Lumi section: 249

Jet 1, pt: 70.0 GeV

Nucleus

Jet propagation in dense media

Varun Vaidya, University of South Dakota

on 2409.05957, 2412.18967, 2504.00101 Felix Ringer, Yacine Mehtar-Tani, Balbeer Singh

Jet 0, pt: 205.1 GeV





A+A

- We can use the jet to access the microscopic structure of the strongly coupled Nuclear medium.
- How does the jet evolve in the medium?



Jets lose energy and are "Quenched"



A+A

- We can use the jet to access the microscopic structure of the strongly coupled medium.
- How does the jet evolve in the medium?





- This is NOT a new problem in nuclear physics
- A high energy probe scattering off a strongly coupled target.
- We rely on Factorization.

Key questions for jet production and evolution in medium



- Separate the perturbative physics from the non-perturbative by scale
- **Parameterize** the **non-perturbative physics** in terms of Gauge invariant operators.

Prove (disprove) universality of nonperturbative physics across jet observables \rightarrow **Universality** gives **predictive power** !









9





Parton in the medium



- ° $Q_{\text{med}} \rightarrow$ Total average transverse kick per parton $\geq m_D$
- For a dense medium, perturbative?



Critical angle

- ° Critical angle of the medium $\theta_c \sim \frac{1}{Q_{\text{med}}L}$
- $^{\rm o}\,$ Energetic partons separated by $\theta \gg \theta_c$ act as independent sources of medium induced radiation









The medium energy loss function

 $(1-z)\omega_I'$

 ω'_I

R

 ω_I

$$\mathcal{S}_m(\{\underline{n}\},\epsilon) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\Big[U_m(n_m)...U_1(n_1)U_0(\bar{n})
ho_M U_0^{\dagger}(\bar{n})U_1^{\dagger}(n_1)...U_m^{\dagger}(n_m)\mathcal{M}\Big]$$

Correlator of m Wilson lines sourced by m subjet prongs.

$$U(n) \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp\left[ig \int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}s \, n \cdot A_{\mathrm{cs}}(sn)
ight]$$

Each function is a distinct non-perturbative function that needs to be extracted from experiment. No description in terms of a jet quenching parameter \hat{q} .



Jet physics at the EIC

Case 2: $Q_{med} \gg m_D \sim p_T R \rightarrow Perturbative scale$









Single medium interaction

$$d^2k_{\perp}\mathcal{J}_1(n, p_T R, k_{\perp}, \mu, \nu) \ \mathscr{B}(k_{\perp}, \mu, \nu)$$

Single medium interaction

$$\mathscr{B}(k_{\perp},\mu,\nu) \equiv \int d^2 r_{\perp} e^{i \vec{k}_{\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_{\perp}} \langle O^A_{n'}(r_{\perp}) \rho_M \ O^A_{n'}(0) \rangle$$

$$O_S^{q\alpha} = \overline{\Psi_s} S_n T^{\alpha} \frac{n}{2} S_n^+ \Psi_s^n$$

A gauge invariant operator definition \rightarrow Wightman correlator at LO

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\nu}\mathcal{B}(k_{\perp},\mu,\nu) = \int d^2 u_{\perp} K_{BFKL}(k_{\perp},u_{\perp})\mathcal{B}(u_{\perp},\mu,\nu)$$
$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\mathcal{B}(k_{\perp},\mu,\nu) = -\frac{\alpha_s \beta_0}{\pi}\mathcal{B}(k_{\perp},\mu,\nu)$$

 $\mathcal{J}_1(n,\epsilon,k_{\perp},\nu) \rightarrow \text{obeys BFKL evolution in } \nu. \text{ DGLAP in } \mu$



 \hat{q} is insufficient to fully parameterize the non-perturbative physics \rightarrow How do we further factorize?

* V. Vaidya et. al. , arXiV: 2412.18967

Epilogue

- Quantitative precision in a non-perturbative medium requires us to adopt an effective field theory framework.
- A factorization formula for jet propagation that explicitly isolates physics at widely separated scales.
- Distinct structure for dense/dilute medium.

Still to be done ...

• Factorization for gluon production mechanism in dense medium \rightarrow Will lead to a complete parameterization of non-perturbative physics.