

Facility for Rare Isotope Beams at Michigan State University

Betty Tsang (Tsang@FRIB.MSU.EDU)

Intersection of nuclear structure and high-energy nuclear collisions **INT-23-1A** Program Institute for Nuclear Theory, UW, Seattle 1/23 – 2/24, 2023 Week 3 workshop



EOS : Connecting Nuclei to the Cosmos















Symmetric Matter Constraints HIC(FOPI),HIC(DLL)



Symmetry Energy Constraints

 $ε(\rho, \delta=1)=ε(\rho, \delta=0)+ S(\rho)$



 $\mathsf{E}(\rho,\delta) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{SNM}}(\rho,0) + \mathsf{S}(\rho)\delta^2$

10 -

5

-5

-10

-20 -15 -10 -5 0

²⁰⁸Pb

ρ~0.67ρ₀

HIC; **ρ~0.3**ρ₀



C. Drischler, et al. PRL **125**, 202702 (2020)

$$S(\rho) = S_0 + \frac{L}{3\rho_0}(\rho - \rho_0) + \frac{K_{\text{sym}}}{18\rho_0^2}(\rho - \rho_0)^2 + \cdots$$

Symmetry Pressure : $P_0 = (L\rho_0)/3$



Density Dependence of the Symmetry Energy Constraints?



C. Drischler, et al. PRL **125**, 202702 (2020)

Density Dependence of the Symmetry Energy Constraints?



C. Drischler, et al. PRL 125, 202702 (2020)

Lynch & Tsang: PLB 830 (2022)137098

Masses and skin data are sensitive to ρ ~0.65 ρ_0

Cross-over method







Decoding the constraints on the Symmetry Energy



Decoding the constraints on the Symmetry Energy



Lynch & Tsang: PLB 830 (2022)137098





- Pions emitted in early stage of collisions reflect the high density environment.
- Total pion ratio $Y(\pi^-)/Y(\pi^+) \propto (n/p)^2 \rightarrow$ small effects ~10% effect & low energy pions depend on models
- Measure the pion spectral ratio for high energy pions

Drischler: PRL 125, 202702 (2020); PRC 102, 054315 (2020) • Use radioactive beams in Lynch & Tsang: PLB 830 (2022)137098 increase δ range.





During the experiment

R. Shane et al., NIMA 784, 513 (2015).
G. Jhang et al., JKPS 69, 144–151 (2016).
S. Tangwangchoren et al. NIMA 853, 44–52 (2017).

P. Lasko et al., NIMA 856, 92 (2017).
T. Isobe et al., NIMA 899, 43 (2018).
J. Estee et al., NIMA 944, 162509 (2019).
C.Y. Tsang et al. NIMA 959, 163477 (2020).

J.W. Lee et al., NIMA 965, 163840 (2020).
J. Barney et al., RSI (in press)
G. Jhang et al., PLB 813, 136016 (2021).
J. Estee et al., PRL 126, 162701 (2021).





Nuclear structure, reactions Astro (15) constraints

| Symmetric matter | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Constraints ρ (fr | n^{-3}) P _{SNM} (1 | MeV/fm^3) k | $K_{\rm sat} ({\rm MeV})$ | Ref. |
| HIC (Science) 0.3 | 32 10.1 | ± 3.0 | | [1] |
| HIC (FOPI) 0.3 | 32 10.3 | ± 2.8 | | [2] |
| GMR 0.1 | 16 | | 230 ± 30 | [3] |
| Asymmetric matter | | | | |
| Constraints ρ (fm | n^{-3}) $S(\rho)$ | (MeV) P _{syr} | $_{\rm n}~({\rm MeV/fm^3})$ | Ref. |
| Nuclear structure | | | | |
| α_D 0.0 | 05 15.9 | ± 1.0 | | [4] |
| PREX-II 0. | .1 | 2 | 2.38 ± 0.75 | [5, 6] |
| Nuclear masses | | | | |
| Mass (Skyrme) 0.1 | .01 24.7 | 1 ± 0.8 | | [7] |
| Mass (DFT) 0.1 | .15 25.4 | ± 1.1 | | [8] |
| IAS 0.1 | .06 25.5 | ± 1.1 | | [9] |
| Heavy-ion collisions | | | | |
| HIC (Isodiff) 0.0 | 38 10.3 | ± 1.0 | | [10] |
| HIC $(n/p ratio)$ 0.0 | 69 16.8 | ± 1.2 | | [11] |
| $\operatorname{HIC}(\pi)$ 0.2 | 32 52.0 | 0 ± 13 | 10.9 ± 8.7 | [12] |
| HIC (n/p flow) 	0.2 | 240 | | 12.1 ± 8.4 | 13–15] |
| Astronomical constraints | | | | |
| | $M(\odot)$ | R (km) | Λ | Ref. |
| LIGO | 1.4 | | 190^{+390}_{-120} | [16] |
| Riley PSR J0030+0451 | $1.34^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$ | $^{a}12.71^{+1.14}_{-1.19}$ | 120 | [17] |
| Miller PSR J0030+0451 | $1.44_{-0.14}^{+0.15}$ | $^{\mathrm{a}}13.02^{+1.24}_{-1.06}$ | | [18] |
| Riley PSR J0740+6620 | $2.07^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | $^{\mathrm{b}}12.39^{+1.30}_{-0.98}$ | | [19] |
| Miller PSR J0740+6620 | $2.08^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | $^{b}13.7 \stackrel{+2.6}{_{-1.5}}$ | | [20] |

Nuclear structure, reactions Astro (15) constraints

| Symmetric matter | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Constraints | $ ho ~({ m fm}^{-3})$ I | $P_{\rm SNM} ({\rm MeV/fm^3})$ |) | $K_{\rm sat} \ ({\rm MeV})$ | Ref. |
| HIC (Science) | 0.32 | 10.1 ± 3.0 | | | [1] |
| HIC (FOPI) | 0.32 | 10.3 ± 2.8 | | | [2] |
| GMR | 0.16 | | | 230 ± 30 | [3] |
| | | | | | |
| Asymmetric matter | | | | | |
| Constraints | $ ho~({ m fm}^{-3})$ | $S(\rho)$ (MeV) | P_s | $_{\rm sym}~({\rm MeV/fm^3})$ | Ref. |
| Nuclear structure | | | | | |
| α_D | 0.05 | 15.9 ± 1.0 | | | [4] |
| PREX-II | 0.1 | | | 2.38 ± 0.75 | [5, 6] |
| | | | | | |
| Nuclear masses | | | | | |
| Mass (Skyrme) | 0.101 | 24.7 ± 0.8 | | | [7] |
| Mass (DFT) | 0.115 | 25.4 ± 1.1 | | | [8] |
| IAS | 0.106 | 25.5 ± 1.1 | | | [9] |
| | | | | | |
| Heavy-ion collisions | | | | | |
| HIC (Isodiff) | 0.038 | 10.3 ± 1.0 | | | [10] |
| HIC $(n/p ratio)$ | 0.069 | 16.8 ± 1.2 | | | [11] |
| $\operatorname{HIC}(\pi)$ | 0.232 | 52.0 ± 13 | | 10.9 ± 8.7 | [12] |
| HIC $(n/p \text{ flow})$ | 0.240 | | | 12.1 ± 8.4 | [13-15] |
| | | | | | |
| Astronomical constraints | | | | | |
| | $M(\odot)$ | R (| (km) | Λ | Ref. |
| LIGO | 1.4 | | | 190^{+390}_{-120} | [16] |
| Riley PSR J0030+0451 | $1.34^{+0.19}_{-0.10}$ | ⁵ ^a 12.7 | $1^{+1.14}_{-1.19}$ | | [17] |
| Miller PSR J0030+0451 | $1.44^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$ | ⁵ ^a 13.0 | $2^{+1.24}_{-1.06}$ | | [18] |
| Riley PSR J0740+6620 | $2.07^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$ | ⁷ ^b 12.3 | $9^{+1.30}_{-0.98}$ | | [19] |
| Miller PSR J0740+6620 | $2.08^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$ | ^b 13. | $7^{+2.6}_{-1.5}$ | | [20] |



Tommy Tsang



Bill Lynch

Rohit Kumar

Nuclear structure, reactions Astro (15) constraints



Posteriors of the parmeterized EOS using Bayesian analysis of the constraints + NS model.

68% & 95% distributions and agreements with data

P_sym > P_SNM Symmetry term has large uncertainties at high densities



Challenges & Opportunities: Benchmarks for nuclear Theories



Comparisons with other neutron star EoS



Simulations with transport models to extract EoS parameters





Transport Models Evaluation Project (TMEP)

>20 codes; Different codes use different approaches/approximations Agreement is very good for controlled box studies.

Comparison to data differ between codes → Need quantification of uncertainties Wolter et al, PPNP 125(2022) 103962; Sorensen et al., arXiv:2301.13253

A White Paper for the 2023 US Nuclear Physics Long Range Plan Dense Nuclear Matter Equation of State from Heavy-Ion Collisions; Sorensen et al., arXiv:2301.13253 Contact Agnieszka.sorensen@gmail.com for comments & suggestions or to become an endorsing author

Conclusion: Comprehensive cold EOS is in sight

In past decade

- Great discoveries: GW170817 & NICER.
- Advance to connect experimental constraints for symmetric matter and asymmetric matter to neutron star.

Near Future

- New neutron star measurements & update of symmetric matter constraints from 2002 with new results from Hades, BES ...
- Improvement of symmetry energy constraints around 1.5 to 3 rho_0 (FRIB, FRIB400, RIKEN).
- Improvements/breakthroughs in transport model simulations.
- There will be NEW facility (FRIB400?), NEW experiments and NEW theories to explore the golden era of neutron star physics with HIC

