Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

Yuya Tanizaki (Yukawa Institute, Kyoto)

Conservation law of topological solitons is **NOT** fully characterized by homotopies $\pi_*(\mathcal{M})$.

Its algebraic structure can be more complicated $\implies$ Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

Outline

1. Introduction

2. 4d $\mathbb{CP}^1$ σ-model & Hopfion

3. Potential application to $SO(N_c)$ QCD   (ongoing)

4. Summary

When (usual) symmetry is spontaneously broken

$$G \xrightarrow{SSB} H,$$

the low-energy effective theory is given by a non-linear σ-model:

$$\sigma : \text{Spacetime} \longrightarrow G/H$$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{g^2}\int |d\sigma|^2 + (\cdots)\right)$$

Small fluctuations of σ : Nambu-Goldstone modes

Large fluctuation : Topological Solitons

domain wall

vortex

hedgehog

Topological Stability and Homotopies

Spacetime

$$\xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } M\ (= G/H)\ .$$

To find finite action/energy (density) $\int |d\sigma|^2 < \infty$, its convenient to identifiy ∞'s of $\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \simeq S^n \xrightarrow{\ \sigma\ } M\ .$$

$\Rightarrow$ Topoligcal solitons are classified by homotopies of the target space

$$\pi_n(M)\ .$$

Recall that

$$\text{Conservation Law} \iff \text{Symmetry},$$

we should be able to understand this topological conservation law as the symmetry of the σ-model.

Conventional Wisdom: Solitonic Sym. $\cong$ Hom $(\pi_n(M), U(1))$.

4d $\mathbb{CP}^1$ σ-model

Assume some $(3+1)d$ quantum systems have SSB

$$SU(2) \xrightarrow{SSB} U(1).$$

The target space of the nonlinear σ-model becomes

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \simeq SU(2)/U(1).$$

Lagrangian:

$$\begin{cases} \vec{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{C}^2\text{-valued scalar field with } |\vec{z}|^2 = 1. \\ \alpha = a_\mu dx^\mu : \text{(auxiliary) } U(1) \text{ gauge field} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{g^2} | (\partial_\mu + i a_\mu) \vec{z} |^2.$$

This $U(1)$ gauge field α is auxiliary because its EoM can be solved as

$$\alpha = i \vec{z}^\dagger \cdot d\vec{z}$$

Homotopy of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ($\simeq S^2$):

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq 0 \quad , \quad \underline{\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}} \quad , \quad \underline{\pi_3(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}}$$

"magnetic skyrmion"
"monopole"

"Hopfion"

Vortex Soliton $\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1)$

$\pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ has the Noether current

$$j = \frac{1}{2\pi} da,$$

and it gives $U(1)$ 1-form symmetry.

$$\underbrace{\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{Z} \simeq \pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1)}_{\text{Dirac quantization}}$$

We denote this line operator as $V_n(L)$ with $n = \int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}$.

(We'll see that there is a finer classification for the vortex operators $V_n(L)$)

Hopfion $\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1)$

$\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ follows from the Hopf fibration $S^1 \to S^3 \to S^2$, and the corresponding solitons are known as "Hopfion" (or "Hopf soliton").

$\pi_3(\mathbb{C}P^1)$ is measured by the Hopf number:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^3} a\, da \in \pi \mathbb{Z}$$

4d

S^3

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} * \text{ For general } U(1) \text{ gauge fields, the Chern-Simons form} \\ \int \frac{1}{4\pi} a\, da \text{ can take arbitrary numbers.} \\ \text{Here, since } a \text{ is an "auxiliary" field } (a = i\vec{z}^\dagger d\vec{z}), \\ d\left(\frac{1}{4\pi} a\, da\right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} (da)^2 = 0. \Rightarrow \int \frac{1}{4\pi} a\, da \text{ becomes quantized.} \end{array} \right)$$

Unlike the case of $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, however, the integrand

$$j_{\text{Hopf}} := \frac{1}{4\pi} a\, da$$

is <u>not</u> gauge invariant.

$\boxed{U(1) \text{ without Noether current ?}}$

More on Vertex Operators $V_{n,k}(L)$

$$V_n(L) \implies$$

$$\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi} = n$$

Let's classify the $\mathbb{CP}^1$ configurations

$$S^2 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathbb{CP}^1$$

up to homotopy for a given monopole charge $\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi} = n$.

In particular, what is the possible value of

$$\int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a\, da}{4\pi^2} \quad (=: k) \quad ? \quad \implies V_{n,k}(L)$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \text{ classification} : V_{n, k+2n}(L) \cong V_{n,k}(L)$$

Let's try to evaluate the Hopfion number on $S^2 \times S^1$:

$$k = \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2}.$$

Under the large $U(1)$ gauge transformation $a \mapsto a + \varepsilon^{(1)}$ along S^1,

$$\int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2} \mapsto \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \underbrace{\int_{S^1} \varepsilon^{(1)}}_{\in 2\pi \mathbb{Z}} \underbrace{\int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}}_{= n}$$

$$= \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2} + 2n \, \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\implies \int_{S^2 \times S^1} \frac{a \, a}{4\pi^2} = k \quad \text{is well-defined only in } \mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \text{ i.e. } k \sim k + 2n.$$

[cf. Pontrjagin '41]

Is the Hopfion symmetry $U(1)$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2$?

Let's evaluate correlation functions in a compact spacetime.

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{k_1}(x_1) \qquad H_{k_2}(x_2) \\[2em] \bullet\, H_{k_3}(x_3) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \implies k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + \cdots = 0.$$

$U(1)$ conservation law

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{k_1}(x_1) \qquad H_{k_2}(x_2) \\[2em] \bigcirc\, V_{n,k}(L) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \implies k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k = 0 \quad \text{mod } 2n.$$

$\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ conservation law.

$\begin{cases} \text{Without } V(L), \text{ the Hopfion number conserves as if there is a } U(1) \text{ symmetry.} \\[1em] \text{With } V(L), \text{ the conservation law reduces to that of } \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{cases}$

Which is the symmetry group? Or, is it something else?

Generalized Symmetry in QFTs

$$\boxed{\text{Generalized Symmetry} = \text{Topological Operators}}$$

For continuous symmetry,

$$Q(M_{d-1}) = \int_{M_{d-1}} \dot{\star} \quad \text{is} \quad \underline{\text{invariant} \quad \text{under} \quad \text{any} \quad \overset{\text{topological}}{\text{continuous}} \quad \text{deformation of} \quad M_{d-1}.}$$

In conventional symmetry, those topological operators obey group structures.

However, this turns out to be too restrictive to explore QFTs.

Non-invertible symmetry (or Categorical symmetry)

$$\overset{a}{\Big\uparrow} \quad \overset{b}{\Big\}} \quad = \quad \sum_c N^c_{ab}(M_{d-1}) \overset{c}{\Big\uparrow}$$

Fusion rule of symmetry defects can be quite general.

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{2d CFTs} : \quad \text{Verlinde '88}, \quad \text{Bhadwaj, Tachikawa '17}, \quad \text{Thorngren, Wang '19}, \cdots \\ \text{Higher dims} : \quad \text{Nguyen, YT, Ünsal}; \quad \text{Heidenreich, McNamara, Reece, Rudelius, Valenzuela}; \\ \text{(Since '21)} \quad \text{Koide, Nagoya, Yamaguchi}; \quad \text{Choi, Cordova, Hsin, Lam, Shao}; \quad \text{Kaidi, Ohmori, Zhang}; \cdots \end{array} \right)$$

Topological operators and TQFTs

One of useful methods to find "unconventional" sym.: (cf. Choi, Lam, Shao '22; Cordova, Ohmori '22)

1. Prepare a TQFT

2. Put it on a submanifold with a topological coupling to dynamical fields.

(As every ingredient is topological,
  this operator is manifestly topological. We should check if it acts nontrivially to local operators.)

In our case,

1. We prepare the level-N $U(1)$ CS theory $\int \mathcal{D}b \, e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int b d b}$

2. The Hopfion symmetry operator is then defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3, da) = \int \mathcal{D}b \, \exp\left(i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge da \right).$$

Let's check how this operator acts on $\underline{H_k(x)}$ and $\underline{V_{n,k}(L)}$.

Hopfion op.   vortex op.

$$\text{Action of } \mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3) \text{ on } H_k(x)$$

To evaluate the action of $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3)$, we can set

$M_3 = S^3$ that surrounds x.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3) = \int \partial b \; e^{i\frac{N}{4\pi}\int b \, db + i\frac{1}{2\pi}\int b \, da}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3)$

$H_k(x)$

$$= \int \partial b \; e^{i\frac{N}{4\pi}\int_{S^3}(b+\frac{a}{N}) \, d(b+\frac{a}{N})} \cdot e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}\int_{S^3}\frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2}}$$

On S^3, $U(1)$ bundle
is trivial.

$$\propto e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}\int_{S^3}\frac{a \, da}{4\pi^2}}$$

This shows that

$$\left\langle \mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3) \, H_k(x) \cdots \right\rangle \propto e^{-i\frac{\pi}{N}k} \left\langle H_k(x) \cdots \right\rangle .$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3)$ detects the Hopfion charge of $H_k(x)$.

Since N can be arbitrary, $\{\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^3)\}_{N \geq 1}$ determines k as an integer.

⟹ Recovery of $U(1)$-like selection rule

Action of $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3)$ on $V_{n,k}(L)$

$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^2 \times S^1_L)$

Next, we set $M_3 = S^2 \times S^1_L$ to evaluate its action on $V_{n,k}(L)$.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^2 \times S^1) = \int \mathcal{D}b \; e^{i\frac{N}{4\pi}\int b\,db \; + \; i\frac{1}{2\pi}\int b\,da}$$

$\int_{S^1} b \qquad \int_{S^2} \frac{db}{2\pi} \qquad \int_{S^2} \frac{da}{2\pi}$

U(1) bundle over $S^2 \times S^1$ can be nontrivial.

$$= \sum_m (\cdots) \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \; e^{i\beta(N\underset{=}{m} + \underset{=}{n})}$$

$$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{= 0 \quad \text{unless} \quad Nm + n = 0.}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \bar{e}^{-i\frac{\pi}{n}k} & \text{for} \quad N = n. \\ \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad N \text{ is not a divisor of } n. \end{cases}$$

When the symmetry looks to be reduced to $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$ by the presence of vortex operators, $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(S^2 \times S^1)$ acts nontrivially only if it fits the periodicity of $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{n}}(S^2 \times S^1)$ captures the Hopfion charge of $V_{n,k}(L)$ in mod $2n$.

For 4d $\mathbb{CP}^1$ σ-model,
the Hopfion symmetry associated with $\pi_3(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is neither $U(1)$ nor $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

The correct symmetry generator is given by
$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3) = \int \mathcal{D}b \; e^{i \frac{N}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge db + i \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{M_3} b \wedge da}$$
and the fusion rule is controlled by those of 3d TQFTs.

There is an invertible $\mathbb{Z}_2$ subgroup, generated by
$$\mathcal{H}_\pi(M_3) = e^{i\pi \int_{M_3} \frac{a\,da}{4\pi^2}} \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \left(\simeq \widetilde{\Omega}_3^{\text{Spin}}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \right).$$

It seems that the solitonic symmetry becomes non-invertible
if there is a mismatch between homotopies and bordisms.

Potential application to SO(N_c) QCD (Speculative)

4d SO(N_c) QCD:

$$\frac{1}{g^2} tr(F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \overline{\Psi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \Psi_i \qquad \swarrow \text{ vector rep.}$$

When N_c is large enough, we expect SSB of chiral symmetry:

$$SU(N_f) \xrightarrow{SSB} SO(N_f)$$

The low-energy theory is the $\frac{SU(N_f)}{SO(N_f)}$ non-linear σ-model

(Theory of mesons $M_{ij} \sim \delta_{ab} \Psi_{a,i} \Psi_{b,j}$).

We also have baryons

$$B_{i_1 \cdots i_{N_c}} \sim \varepsilon_{a_1 \cdots a_{N_c}} \Psi_{a_1 i_1} \cdots \Psi_{a_{N_c} i_{N_c}}.$$

Baryons are heavy in large-N_c ($N_c \gg 1$):

$$M \sim N_c \Lambda.$$

For $SO(N_c)$ QCD, two baryons can decay into N_c mesons:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{a_1 \cdots a_{N_c}} \varepsilon_{b_1 \cdots b_{N_c}} \\ = \delta_{a_1 b_1} \cdots \delta_{a_{N_c} b_{N_c}} \pm \cdots \end{array} \right).$$

Such a heavy object is usually understood as Skyrmions, classified by

$$\pi_3 \left(\frac{SU(N_f)}{SO(N_f)} \right) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (N_f = 2) \\ \mathbb{Z}_4 & (N_f = 3) \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & (N_f \geq 4). \end{cases}$$

However, $SO(N_c)$ QCD always has $\mathbb{Z}_2$ baryon number symmetry. [Witten '83]
The UV and IR description has the discrepancy for $N_f = 2, 3$.

To my best knowledge,

this discrepancy is not yet resolved from the viewpoint of effective field theories.

(* In holographic setup, there is an insightful work by Imoto, Sakai, Sugimoto, suggesting the importance of pair creation/annihilation of D-branes.)

Our result of 4d CP^1 σ-model suggests

the Hopfion # conservation can be explicitly broken by vortex creation/annihilation.

It may propose a new scenario for matching the UV/IR descriptions of 4d gauge theories.

Summary

- Topological conservation law for solitons is not fully characterized by Homotopies.

- 4d $\mathbb{CP}^1$ σ-model is carefully examined.

$$\begin{cases} \pi_2(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} & \Rightarrow \quad U(1) \quad \text{1-form symmetry for vortex.} \\ \pi_3(\mathbb{CP}^1) \simeq \mathbb{Z} & \quad\not\!\!\!\Rightarrow \quad U(1) \quad \text{symmetry for Hopfion.} \end{cases}$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} H_{k_1}(x_1) \quad H_{k_2}(x_2) \\ \bullet \qquad\qquad \bullet \\ \bigcirc \\ V_{n,k}(L) \end{array} \right\rangle \neq 0 \;\Rightarrow\; k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k = 0 \quad \text{mod } 2n.$$

- The symmetry generators are given by 3d TQFT partition functions

$$\mathcal{H}_{\frac{\pi}{N}}(M_3) = \int \mathcal{D}b \, \exp\left(i \int_{M_3} \frac{N}{4\pi} b\,db + i \int_{M_3} \frac{1}{2\pi} b\,da \right).$$

$\Rightarrow$ Noninvertible Solitonic Symmetry

- It may have an interesting application to the dynamics of 4d $SO(k)$ QCD.
(Speculative)