

Exploring the light Anti-Quark flavor asymmetry in the nucleon sea



Arun Tadepalli - Jefferson Lab (on behalf of the SeaQuest E906 collaboration)





Contents of the talk/Universe



Rich Drell-Yan and J/ψ program

1. Light Anti-Quark Flavor Asymmetry



- 2. Absolute cross sections on pp and pD collisions
- 3. Nuclear dependence of Anti-Quarks in the Nuclei
- 4. Transverse momentum broadening of DY dimuons
- 5. Parton energy loss in cold nuclear matter
- 6. Search for dark photons
- 7. Many other interesting J/ψ physics topics

Experimental toolbox



DEEP INELASTIC SCATT

- Lepton scatters
- Exchang

(or

ntiquark

✓ PROCESS

aark from hadron annihilates with antiquark from another hadron

- Virtual photon is created
- Decays into a lepton + antilepton
- Unique sensitivity to the anti-quark distributions

DIS & DY – complementary!



 $p A \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$



McGaughey, Moss, Peng Ann.Rev. Nucl.Part.Sci.49 (1999) 217

What is the Drell-Yan process?



Phys.Rev.Lett. 25 (1970) 1523-1526

Explanation by Drell and Yan

MASSIVE LEPTON-PAIR PRODUCTION IN HADRON-HADRON COLLISIONS AT HIGH ENERGIES*

Sidney D. Drell and Tung-Mow Yan

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 25 May 1970)

On the basis of a parton model studied earlier we consider the production process of large-mass lepton pairs from hadron-hadron inelastic collisions in the limiting region, $s \rightarrow \infty$, Q^2/s finite, Q^2 and s being the squared invariant masses of the lepton pair and the two initial hadrons, respectively. General scaling properties and connections with deep inelastic electron scattering are discussed. In particular, a rapidly decreasing cross section as $Q^2/s \rightarrow 1$ is predicted as a consequence of the observed rapid falloff of the inelastic scattering structure function νW_2 near threshold.

Underlying continuum explained in the framework of the parton model

Model explained only part of the cross section





Phys.Rev.Lett 25.902 (1970)



with an anti-parton



Leading Order Drell Yan cross-section formula





 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dx_{targ}dx_{beam}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{9sx_{targ}x_{beam}} \sum_{i} \varepsilon_i^2 \left[q_{beam}(x_{beam}) \,\overline{q}_{targ}(x_{targ}) + \varepsilon_{targ}(x_{targ}) \,\overline{q}_{targ}(x_{targ})\right]$ Acceptance of the spectrometer can be

spectrometer can be tuned to study antiquark distributions

Term negligible compared to the first term

Accessing the anti-quark distributions Detector acceptance tuned to study the antiquark distributions of the target

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dx_{targ}dx_{beam}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{9sx_{targ}x_{beam}} \sum_{i} \varepsilon_i^2 \left[q_{beam}(x_{beam}) - q_{targ}(x_{targ}) + q_{targ}(x_{targ}) - q_{targ}(x_{targ})\right]$$

Ratio of cross sections of p-p and p-A reactions is the key to probing the sea structure

$$\frac{\sigma^{pd}}{2\sigma^{pp}}\Big|_{(x_{beam} >> x_{targ})} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{\overline{d}(x_{targ})}{\overline{u}(x_{targ})} \right]$$



Fermilab E906/SeaQuest Collaboration

Abilene Christian University

Ryan Castillo, Michael Daugherity, Donald Isenhower, Noah Kitts, Lacey Medlock, Noah Shutty, Rusty Towell, Shon Watson, Ziao Jai Xi

Academia Sinica

Wen-Chen Chang, Shiu Shiuan-Hao

Argonne National Laboratory

John Arrington, Don Geesaman*, Kawtar Hafidi, Roy Holt, Harold Jackson, Michelle Mesquita de Medeiros, Bardia Nadim, Paul E. Reimer*

> University of Colorado Ed Kinney, Po-Ju Lin

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

Chuck Brown, Dave Christian, Gabriele Garzoglio, Su-Yin (Grass) Wang, Jin-Yuan Wu

University of Illinois

Bryan Dannowitz, Markus Diefenthaler, Bryan Kerns, Hao Li, Naomi C.R Makins, Dhyaanesh Mullagur R. Evan McClellan, Jen-Chieh Peng, Shivangi Prasad, Mae Hwee Teo, Mariusz Witek, Yangqiu Yin

KEK

Shin'ya Sawada

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Gerry Garvey, Xiaodong Jiang, Andreas Klein, David Kleinjan, Mike Leitch, Kun Liu, Ming Liu, Pat McGaughey Mississippi State University Lamiaa El Fassi

University of Maryland Betsy Beise, Andrew (Yen-Chu) Chen

University of Michigan

Christine Aidala, McKenzie Barber, Catherine Culkin, Vera Loggins, Wolfgang Lorenzon, Bryan Ramson, Richard Raymond, Josh Rubin, Matt Wood

National Kaohsiung Normal University

Rurngsheng Guo

RIKEN

Yuji Goto

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Ron Gilman, Ron Ransome, Arun Tadepalli

Tokyo Tech

Shou Miyaska, Kei Nagai, Kenichi Nakano, Shigeki Obata, Toshi-Aki Shibata

Yamagata University

Yuya Kudo, Yoshiyuki Miyachi, Shumpei Nara

*Co-Spokespersons



- 120 GeV/c proton beam from the Main Injector at Fermilab
- Fixed target experiment that uses several cryogenic and solid targets
- Takes advantage of the Drell-Yan process to probe anti-quark distributions
- Optimized for detecting such Drell-Yan dimuons

Advantages of 120 GeV Main Injector

The (very successful) past: Fermilab E866/NuSea

 $4\pi \alpha^2$ 1

 $9x_1x_2$

- Data in 1996-1997
- ¹H, ²H, and nuclear targets
- 800 GeV proton beam

 $dx_1 dx_2$

The present: Fermilab E906

- Data in 2013 2017
- ¹H, ²H, and nuclear targets
- 120 GeV proton Beam

 $e_{i}^{2}\left[q_{ti}(x_{t})\bar{q}_{bi}(x_{b})+\bar{q}_{ti}(x_{t})q_{bi}(x_{b})\right]$

- Cross section scales as 1/s
 - 7 x that of 800 GeV beam
- Backgrounds, primarily from J/ψ decays scale as s
 - 7 x Luminosity for same detector rate as 800 GeV beam

Improved statistics!!



TARGETS

- 2 liquid targets: hydrogen and deuterium
 - 20" long, 3" diameter flasks
- 3 solid targets:
 - carbon, iron, tungsten
- Background subtraction:
 - empty flask, nothing
- All targets <15% interaction length
- Beam time split roughly:
 - LH2 44%
 - LD2 22%
 - C, Fe, W 17%
 - random background 17%



For anti-quark flavor asymmetry studies



For Nuclear dependence studies

Beam microstructure



Randomly chosen Beam Intensity profile





16

The SeaQuest Spectrometer



Timeline of SeaQuest



Event selection and reconstruction

- Invariant mass spectrum for FY 2015 data
- 30% of anticipated data
- Data agrees well with Monte Carlo (spectrometer works as expected)
- Data with Mass > 4.5 GeV are mostly dimuons coming from the Drell-Yan process



The reconstructed muon pair invariant mass spectra for the liquid hydrogen (a) and liquid deuterium (b) targets. In the lower mass region, the predominant signal is produced by $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay, followed by the $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay of the ψ' . The prominence of the J/ψ provides a calibration point for the absolute field of the solid iron magnet. At invariant masses above 4.5 GeV/c² the Drell-Yan process becomes the dominant feature. The data are shown as red points. Additionally, Monte Carlo (MC) simulated distributions of Drell-Yan, J/ψ , and ψ' along with measured random coincidence and empty target backgrounds are shown. The sum of these is shown in the blue solid curve labeled MC sum. The normalizations of the Monte Carlo and the random background were from a fit to the data.









hit \leq Signal is a coincidence of $\mu^+ \& \mu^-$ paths Bend plane view Mass = $7.0 \text{ GeV } X_f = .0, .2, .4$ SM3 station 1 X_=0.0 MI Absorber **Cli nouM bug** X_=0.4 Target 10 inches Station 2 Station 3 DUMP 8 $_T = 2.9 \ GeV$ $T = 0.4 \ GeV$ 100 inches

22

SeaQuest asks important questions!

Absolute cross sections

What is the origin of the nucleon sea?

 J/ψ and ψ' suppressed after generated in cold nuclear matter? Light Quark flavor asymmetry in the nucleon sea

Anti shadowing and EMC effect observed in anti-quarks in nuclei?

How much energy do partons lose while traversing cold nuclear matter?

Did you just say dark photons??





Perturbative contributions calculated to be small!

D. A. Ross and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B149, 497 (1979)



NMC (1991)



• After extrapolation to 0 and 1 = 0.235 ± 0.026

25



NA51 (1994)



Baldit et. al. Phys. Lett. B 332, 244-250

E866 (1998)



- Mapped out the *x* dependence
- Overturn at 0.2
- Drop in the ratio below 1 at x_B = 0.25 (limited statistical uncertainty and bin on edge of acceptance)
- This asymmetry has to come from a non-perturbative origin!



R.S. Towell et. al. Phys. Rev. D 64, 244-250

Origin of the nucleon sea

- Symmetric (perturbative and non-perturbative) component cancels away in the difference
- Non-perturbative models are motivated to explain the observed difference

x _{min}	xmax	$\int_{x_{min}}^{x_{max}} (\bar{d} - \bar{u}) dx$	Q^2	Source	Ref.
		min	(GeV ²)		
0.0	1.0	$0.147 \pm .026$	4	NMC	[8]
0.015	0.35	0.080 ± 0.011	54	NUSEA	[12]
0.0	1.0	0.118 ± 0.012	54	NUSEA	[12]
0.001	1.0	0.165	54	CT66nlo	[31]
0.001	1.0	0.114	54	CT10nlo	[16]
0.001	1.0	0.116	2	CT10nlo	[16]
0.01	1.0	0.090	54	CT14nlo	[17]
0.001	1.0	0.086	1	Stat. Mod.	[32]
0.	1.0	0.13	?	Det. Bal.	[33]
0.02	0.345	0.108	54	Chiral Soliton	[34]
0.0	1.0	0.13 ± 0.07	?	Lattice	[35]

Table I. Integrals of $(\bar{d} - \bar{u})$ from x_{min} to x_{max} from experiment (NMC and NUSEA) and from several global fits (CTEQ6.6, CTEQ10, CTEQ14), calculations (Lattice), and models (Statistical and Detailed Balance). The weak variation of the integral to the choice of scale is illustrated with the CTEQ10 comparison at 2 and 54 GeV². The scales of the detailed balance and lattice calculations are not explicitly reported in those references.



D.F. Geesaman, P.E. Reimer Rept. Prog. Phys. 82 (2019) 4, 046301

How is the nucleon sea generated?





Pauli blocking + meson cloud

- Attempts to explain the suppression of a certain flavor of quark antiquark pair
- Presence of an additional uvalence quark suppresses $u\overline{u}$ as compared to $d\overline{d}$
- Not fully blocked as newly created antiquark can exist with other antiquarks with a different color



= 1.3 GeV (dashed) and from antisymmetrization (dotted) to the (a) $\overline{d} - \overline{u}$ difference and (b) $\overline{d}/\overline{u}$ ratio, and the combined effect (solid).

Phys. Rev. D 59, 014033 (1998) Phys. Rev. D 15, 2590

Many models... none predict drop below 1 at x = 0.25



A model that captures the correct nonperturbative physics that generates the nucleon sea will account for the observed flavor asymmetry!





Chiral Quark Soliton model

RUANTUM PHYSICS DEPENDENT JOURNALISM SINCE 1921 ALL TOPICS LIFE HUMANS EARTH SPACE PHYSIC:	
2 PARTICLE PHYSICS	

Article

The asymmetry of antimatter in the proton

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03282-z

Received: 2 June 2020

Accepted: 15 December 2020

Published online: 24 February 2021

Check for updates

J. Dove¹, B. Kerns¹, R. E. McClellan^{1,18}, S. Miyasaka², D. H. Morton³, K. Nagai^{2,4}, S. Prasad¹, F. Sanftl², M. B. C. Scott³, A. S. Tadepalli^{5,18}, C. A. Aidala^{3,6}, J. Arrington^{7,19}, C. Ayuso^{3,20}, C. L. Barker⁸, C. N. Brown⁹, W. C. Chang⁴, A. Chen^{1,3,4}, D. C. Christian¹⁰, B. P. Dannowitz¹, M. Daugherity⁸, M. Diefenthaler^{1,18}, L. El Fassi^{5,11}, D. F. Geesaman^{7,21}, R. Gilman⁵, Y. Goto¹², L. Guo^{6,22}, R. Guo¹³, T. J. Hague⁸, R. J. Holt^{7,23}, D. Isenhower⁸, E. R. Kinney¹⁴, N. Kitts⁸, A. Klein⁶, D. W. Kleinjan⁶, Y. Kudo¹⁵, C. Leung¹, P.-J. Lin¹⁴, K. Liu⁶, M. X. Liu⁶, W. Lorenzon³, N. C. R. Makins¹, M. Mesquita de Medeiros⁷, P. L. McGaughey⁶, Y. Miyachi¹⁵, I. Mooney^{3,24}, K. Nakahara^{16,25}, K. Nakano^{2,12}, S. Nara¹⁵, J.-C. Peng¹, A. J. Puckett^{6,26}, B. J. Ramson^{3,27}, P. E. Reimer^{7⊠}, J. G. Rubin^{3,7}, S. Sawada¹⁷, T. Sawada^{3,28}, T.-A. Shibata^{2,29}, D. Su⁴, M. Teo^{1,30}, B. G. Tice⁷, R. S. Towell⁸, S. Uemura^{6,31}, S. Watson⁸, S. G. Wang^{4,13,32}, A. B. Wickes⁶, J. Wu¹⁰, Z. Xi⁸ & Z. Ye⁷

The nuclear physicist Paul Reimer (left) amid SeaQuest, an experiment at Fermilab the out of used parts. (squiggles) that bind the quarks

Protons are messy on the inside. Made of constantly shifting collection of transient quarks and ar together.



 ~30% of the anticipated data

 Ratio of crosssections of LD2 and LH2



Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

$\frac{\sigma_{pd}}{2\sigma_{pp}}$ Cross section ratio results

• Comparison with E866/NuSea

Some differences are expected as the experiments have different



- beam energies
- acceptance
- x_B distributions for a given x_T value

$\frac{\sigma_{pd}}{2\sigma_{pp}}$ Cross section ratio results



Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

- Comparison with E866/NuSea
- Some differences are expected as the experiments have different
 - beam energies
 - acceptance
 - x_B distributions for a given x_T value

$\frac{\sigma_{pd}}{2\sigma_{pp}}$ Cross section ratio results

 Comparison with E866/NuSea

- Some differences are expected as the experiments have different
 - beam energies
 - acceptance
 - x_B distributions for a given x_T value

$$Q^2 = x_1 x_2 s$$

Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 - 565 (2021)

 $d(x)/\overline{u}(x)$ - results

Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

SeaQuest data points show that nature prefers anti-down over anti-up in the proton!

 $d(x)/\overline{u}(x)$ - results

Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

- Higher statistical precision compared to NuSea in the intermediate x region
- SeaQuest data points stay above 1 for all of the measured range of x

 $\overline{d}(x)/\overline{u}(x)$ - results

Dove et.al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

• Good agreement with Alberg and Miller, and Basso et al.

Absolute cross sections from p+d interactions

- The proton deuterium data can be used to look into *ubar(x)* + *dbar(x)* at intermediate *x*, where the sea quark distribution is poorly known.
- In order to calculate *dbar(x) ubar(x)* from *dbar(x)/ubar(x)*, knowledge of *dbar(x)* + *ubar(x)* is required
- *u*(*x*) well known at intermediate-x
 On the contrary *ubar*(*x*) + *dbar*(*x*)
 has huge uncertainties for x>0.3

 $\frac{d^2 \sigma_{pd}}{dM^2 dx_F} \bigg|_{x1 > x2} \approx \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{9M^4} \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_1 + x_2} \left(\frac{4u(x_1) + d(x_1)}{9} \right)$

 $\sigma_{pd} = \sigma_{pp} + \sigma_{pn}$

Slide credit: Shivangi Prasad

$\overline{d}(x) + \overline{u}(x)$ from SeaQuest

- Data taken on LD2 target
- Analysis in progress

Bayesian Monte Carlo extraction of sea asymmetry with SeaQuest and STAR data

C. Cocuzza,¹ W. Melnitchouk,² A. Metz,¹ and N. Sato²

¹Department of Physics, SERC, Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122, USA ²Jefferson Lab, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum (JAM) Collaboration

FIG. 6. Comparison of the JAM \bar{d}/\bar{u} and $x(\bar{d}-\bar{u})$ PDFs (red bands) with the NLO parametrizations from NNPDF3.1 [75] (gold), ABMP16 [76] (blue), CJ15 [77] (gray), and CT18 [78] (green) at the scale $Q^2 = 10$ GeV². All bands represent 1σ uncertainty.

Slide courtesy: Chris Cocuzza et al

NNLO constraints on proton PDFs from the SeaQuest and STAR experiments and other developments in the CTEQ-TEA global analysis

https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.06596

CJ15 – Sanghwa Park, Alberto Accardi, Xiaoxian, J.F. Owens

Figure 2: Cross section ratio of Drell-Yan lepton pair production in p + d and p + p collisions by E866 and SeaQuest experiments compared with CJ15 NLO calculations before (left) and after (right) including the SeaQuest and STAR data.

Figure 3: \overline{d} over \overline{u} ratio at $Q^2 = 10$ GeV² extracted from the CJ15 PDF fits before (CJ15-a, black solid line) and after (CJ15-a+, red solid line) adding the STAR *W* lepton charge ratio data in p + p collisions and the SeaQuest Drell-Yan lepton pair production ratio in p + p and p + d collisions on top of the data sets used for the standard CJ15 PDF analysis.

https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.05786

Recap - I

Anti-quark distributions in the nucleon

W.-C. Chang, J.-C. Peng / Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 79 (2014) 95-135

Table 5

Prediction of various theoretical models on the integral $I_{\Delta} = \int_0^1 [\Delta \bar{u}(x) - \Delta \bar{d}(x)] dx$.

Model	I_{Δ} prediction	Ref.	
Meson cloud (π -meson)	0	[31,127]	
Meson cloud (ρ -meson)	$\simeq -$ 0.0007 to $-$ 0.027	[117]	
Meson cloud ($\pi - \rho$ interf.)	$= -6 \int_0^1 g^p(x) dx$	[118]	
Meson cloud (ρ and $\pi - \rho$ interf.)	$\simeq -0.004$ to -0.033	[119]	
Meson cloud (ρ -meson)	<0	[120]	
Meson cloud ($\pi - \sigma$ interf.)	≃0.12	[132]	
Pauli-blocking (bag-model)	\simeq 0.09	[119]	
Pauli-blocking (ansatz)	≃0.3	[128]	
Pauli-blocking	$=\frac{5}{3}\int_0^1 [\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)]dx \simeq 0.2$	[129]	
Chiral-quark soliton	0.31	[130]	
Chiral-quark soliton	$\simeq \int_0^1 2x^{0.12} [\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)] dx$	[131]	
Instanton	$=\frac{5}{3}\int_0^1 [\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)]dx \simeq 0.2$	[123]	
Statistical	$\simeq \int_0^1 [\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)] dx \simeq 0.12$	[41]	
Statistical	$> \int_0^1 [\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x)] dx > 0.12$	[126]	

Spin contributions of anti-quarks

Constraints on various non perturbative models that attempt to explain nucleon sea at high-*x*

Nucleon sea

 $\overline{d}(x)/\overline{u}(x)$ *unpolarized

46

Summary

Dove et. al. Nature 590, 561 – 565 (2021)

near future!

10

100 200 300 400

MA (MeV)

500 600

Exploring the Light Anti-Quark Flavor Asymmetry in the Nucleon Sea using Semi Inclusive Charged Pion Production in Hall C

A Letter of Intent for PAC50

D. Gaskell, A.S. Tadepalli Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

P.E. Reimer Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439

D. Dutta Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762

H. Gao Duke University and Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory, Durham, NC 23707

> H. Mkrtchyan Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

R. Montgomery University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

LOI submitted to PAC50

Old proposal: PR 12-06-111 LOI: 12-22-01 Reader: Alessandro Bachetta