## Fernando Romero-López





- MIT
- fernando@mit.edu
- Seattle, 22nd March 2023







## Interactions of $\pi K$ , $\pi \pi K$ and $KK\pi$ systems at Interactions of two and three mesons including higher partial waves from lattice QCD maximal isospin from lattice QCD [arXiv:2106.05590] [arXiv:2302.13587]

Tyler D. Blanton<sup>1</sup>, Andrew D. Hanlon<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Ben Hörz<sup>5</sup>, Colin Morningstar<sup>6</sup>, Fernando Romero-López<sup>7</sup>, and Stephen R. Sharpe<sup>1</sup>

## The isospin-3 three-particle K-matrix at NLO in ChPT

Jorge Baeza-Ballesteros,<sup>a</sup> Johan Bijnens,<sup>b</sup> Tomáš Husek,<sup>b,c</sup> Fernando Romero-López,<sup>d</sup> Stephen R. Sharpe,<sup>e</sup> and Mattias Sjö<sup>b</sup>

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

Zachary T. Draper<sup>1</sup>, Andrew D. Hanlon<sup>2</sup>, Ben Hörz<sup>3</sup>, Colin Morningstar<sup>4</sup>, Fernando Romero-López<sup>5</sup>, and Stephen R. Sharpe<sup>1</sup>

[to appear]



- Finite volume and Euclidean spacetime complicate the study of multi-hadron systems 0



## C Lattice QCD offers a systematically improvable approach to investigate the hadron spectrum



- Lattice QCD offers a systematically improvable approach to investigate the hadron spectrum 0
- Finite volume and Euclidean spacetime complicate the study of multi-hadron systems 0
- **Enormous progress in the two-particle formalism & application.** 0





[Talks by J. Dudek, A. Hanlon, D. Mohler, A. Nicholson] [Talks by F. Ortega-Gama, S. Prelovsek, A. Rodas, A. Walker-Loud]

**Two-particle** finite-volume formalism

[Lüscher, 89']





- Lattice QCD offers a systematically improvable approach to investigate the hadron spectrum 0
- Finite volume and Euclidean spacetime complicate the study of multi-hadron systems 0
- **Enormous progress in the two-particle formalism & application.** Ο





[Talks by J. Dudek, A. Hanlon, D. Mohler, A. Nicholson] [Talks by F. Ortega-Gama, S. Prelovsek, A. Rodas, A. Walker-Loud]

**Two-particle** finite-volume formalism

[Lüscher, 89']

and beyond two?







O The two-body formalism is restricted to few

0 The puzzle of the Roper resonance  $N(1440) \rightarrow \Delta \pi \rightarrow N \pi \pi$ 

**Exotic hadrons**  $\mathbf{O}$ 

 $T_{cc} \rightarrow DD^*, DD\pi$ 

O Many-body nuclear physics: 3N force, tritiur

• CP violation:  $K \to 3\pi$ ,  $K^0 \leftrightarrow 3\pi$ 

[Talk by D. Pefkou]

interesting resonances	Resonance	$I_{\pi\pi\pi}$	$J^P$
	$\omega(782)$	0	1-
	$h_1(1170)$	0	$1^{+}$
	$\omega_3(1670)$	0	3-
	$\pi(1300)$	1	0-
	$a_1(1260)$	1	$1^{+}$
	$\pi_1(1400)$	1	1-
m nucleus	$\pi_2(1670)$	1	$2^{-}$
$\tau \leftrightarrow \overline{K}^0$	$a_2(1320)$	1	$2^{+}$
	$a_4(1970)$	1	$4^{+}$





## **M** Formalism has been developed for several cases of interest

## Generic Relativistic Field Theory (RFT)

## Non-Relativistic EFT (NREFT)

## **Finite-Volume Unitarity (FVU)**

## **Mathebulk** Applications to simple system have been achieved

[Alexandrou et al, PRD 2020], [Blanton et al., PRL 2020 & JHEP 2021], [Brett et al, PRD 2021], [Culver et al, PRD 2021], [Fischer et al, EPJC 2021], [Garofalo et al, JHEP 2023], [Hansen et al, PRL 2021], [Mai et a PRL 2019 & 2021]

e.g.  $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-, K^+K^+\pi^+, NNN$ 

[Hansen, Sharpe, PRD 2014 & 2015]

[Hammer, Pang, Rusetsky, JHEP 2017] x 2

[Mai, Döring, EPJA 2017]

[Talk by S. Sharpe]

[Talk by A. Rusetsky]

[Talks by M. Döring & M. Mai]





## **Markov** Formalism has been developed for several cases of interest

- **Generic Relativistic Field Theory (RFT)**
- Non-Relativistic EFT (NREFT)
- **Finite-Volume Unitarity (FVU)**

## **Mathebra is a set of the set of**

[Alexandrou et al, PRD 2020], [Blanton et al., PRL 2020 & JHEP 2021], [Brett et al, PRD 2021], [Culver et al, PRD 2021], [Fischer et al, EPJC 2021], [Garofalo et al, JHEP 2023], [Hansen et al, PRL 2021], [Mai et a PRL 2019 & 2021]

Important benchmark systems: three pseudoscalar mesons at maximal isospin

- Implement formalism and explore its features
- Test fitting strategies to extract three-body K matrix
- Interpret results in combination with EFTs

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

e.g.  $\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-, K^+K^+\pi^+, NNN$ 

[Hansen, Sharpe, PRD 2014 & 2015]

[Hammer, Pang, Rusetsky, JHEP 2017] x 2

[Mai, Döring, EPJA 2017]

[Talk by S. Sharpe]

[Talk by A. Rusetsky]

[Talks by M. Döring & M. Mai]





# 2. Results for 3K and 3T systems

## 2. 37 systems at NLO in ChPT

## 3. Results for mixed systems: TK, TTK, KTT

























$$= c_0 + c_1 k^2 + \ldots 
onumber \ {
m df}_{
m df,3} + {\cal K}_{
m df,3}^{
m iso,1} igg( rac{s-9m^2}{9m^2} igg) + \ldots$$







[Hansen, Sharpe, PRD 2014 & 2015]

K-matrices

*df*,3

• •

Scattering amplitudes

 $M_{\gamma}$ 

relations 

Unitarity

JUL 3

Integral equations

[Briceño et al., PRD 2018] [Hansen et al., PRL 2021] [Jackura et al., PRD 2021] [Dawid et al., 2303.04394]

[Talks by D. Islam & S. Dawid]

Parametrize:

$$= c_0 + c_1 k^2 + \dots \ {}^{\mathrm{iso},0}_{\mathrm{df},3} + \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{iso},1}_{\mathrm{df},3} igg( rac{s-9m^2}{9m^2} igg) + .$$

[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 2019]









[Hansen, Sharpe, PRD 2014 & 2015]

K-matrices

`*df*,3

Scattering amplitudes

 $M_{\gamma}$ 

Integral equations

Unitarity

relations

ALCEN MARCHEDOLANTAR

[Briceño et al., PRD 2018] [Hansen et al., PRL 2021] [Jackura et al., PRD 2021] [Dawid et al., 2303.04394]

[Talks by D. Islam & S. Dawid]





## Experiments

Parametrize:

$$= c_0 + c_1 k^2 + \dots$$
 $^{\mathrm{iso},0}_{\mathrm{df},3} + \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{iso},1}_{\mathrm{df},3} igg( rac{s-9m^2}{9m^2} igg) + \dots$ 

[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 2019]





3K threshold 3.0 

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

3.25

-0 irreps & frames 9 /31

[Blanton, Hanlon, Hörz, Morningstar, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 2021]







**K-matrices** 

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

covariance "predicted minus measured"

matrix of energies

center-of-mass energies





$$\begin{pmatrix} C \\ n,i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^{-1} \end{pmatrix}_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} E_{\text{cm},j} - E_{\text{cm},j}^{\text{QC}}(\vec{p}) \end{pmatrix}$$
  
ovariance "predicted minus measured"

 $2K^+/3K^+$  $\chi^2/{
m dof}=1.84$  (s wave)  $\chi^2/{
m dof}=1.34$  (s and d waves)



















## Two-pion sector is well described at LO



Fernando Romero-López, MIT

## **I** Three-pion with large discrepancy

[Blanton, Hanlon, Hörz, Morningstar, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 2021]





**Relation between amplitude and K matrix involves integral equations** 0

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) &= \mathcal{M}_{3} - \mathcal{S}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}\Big) = \mathcal{S}\Big\{ \int_{s} \int_{r} \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{s}) \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{r}) \mathcal{R}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{k}) \Big\} \\ \text{(cutoff dependent)} & \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) - \int_{s,r} \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{s}) \rho(\boldsymbol{s}) \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{r}) \mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv \Big(\frac{1}{3} - \mathcal{M}_{2}(\boldsymbol{p})\rho(\boldsymbol{p})\Big) \bar{\delta}(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{k}) - \mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k})\rho(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ \mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) = -\mathcal{M}_{2}(\boldsymbol{p})G^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k})\mathcal{M}_{2}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \int_{r} \mathcal{M}_{2}(\boldsymbol{p})G^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{r})\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{k}) \end{aligned}$$





**Relation between amplitude and K matrix involves integral equations** 0

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) &= \mathcal{M}_3 - \mathcal{S}\Big(\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}\Big) = \mathcal{S}\bigg\{ \int_s \int_r \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{s})\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{r})\mathcal{R}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{k}) \bigg\} \\ \\ \hline \text{utoff dependent)} & \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) - \int_{s,r} \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{s})\rho(\boldsymbol{s})\mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{r})\mathcal{T} \\ \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv \Big(\frac{1}{3} - \mathcal{M}_2(\boldsymbol{p})\rho(\boldsymbol{p})\Big)\bar{\delta}(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{k}) - \mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k})\rho(\boldsymbol{k}) \\ \mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k}) = -\mathcal{M}_2(\boldsymbol{p})G^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{k})\mathcal{M}_2(\boldsymbol{k}) + \int_r \mathcal{M}_2(\boldsymbol{p})G^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{r})\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{k}) \end{split}$$

**(C** 

Chiral counting at NLO leads to a very simple relation: 

$$\mathcal{M}_2 \propto rac{1}{F^2} \ \mathcal{M}_3 \sim \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3} \sim rac{1}{F^4} \ \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)} = rac{1}{3} - 
ho M_2 + \mathcal{O}igg(rac{1}{F^4}igg) \ \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3} + \mathcal{O}igg(rac{1}{F^4}igg) \ \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3} + \mathcal{O}igg(rac{1}{F^4}igg) \ \mathcal{L}^{(u,u)} = rac{1}{3} - 
ho M_2 + \mathcal{O}igg(rac{1}{F^4}igg) \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NLO}} = ext{Re} \ \mathcal{M}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NLO}} = ext{Re} \ \mathcal{M}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NLO}} = ext{Re} \ \mathcal{M}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NLO}} = ext{Re} \ \mathcal{M}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} = ext{Re} \ \mathcal{M}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{MIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{NIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{ ext{MIO}} \ \mathcal{K}_{ ext{$$





![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{0} &= \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{4} 18 + \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{6} \left[-3\kappa(35 + 12\log 3) - \mathcal{D}_{0} + 111L + \ell_{(0)}^{r}\right], \\ \mathcal{K}_{1} &= \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{4} 27 + \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{6} \left[-\frac{\kappa}{20}(1999 + 1920\log 3) - \mathcal{D}_{1} + 384L + \ell_{(1)}^{r}\right], \\ \mathcal{K}_{2} &= \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{6} \left[\frac{207\kappa}{1400}(2923 - 420\log 3) - \mathcal{D}_{2} + 360L + \ell_{(2)}^{r}\right], \\ \mathcal{K}_{A} &= \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{6} \left[\frac{9\kappa}{560}(21809 - 1050\log 3) - \mathcal{D}_{A} - 9L + \ell_{(A)}^{r}\right], \\ \mathcal{K}_{B} &= \left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{6} \left[\frac{27\kappa}{1400}(6698 - 245\log 3) - \mathcal{D}_{B} + 54L + \ell_{(B)}^{r}\right]. \end{aligned}$$
Baeza-Ballesteros, Bijnens, Husek, FRL, Sharpe, Sjö (to appear)] numerical coefficients (cutoff dependent) L = \kappa \log(M\_{\pi}^{2}/\mu^{2})

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Relevant three-body systems involve nonidentical particles ( $\pi\pi N$ )
- First step: RFT formalism for three different sca 0 [Blanton, Sharpe, PRD 2021] x 2, [Hansen, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 20

 $\det_{k,\ell,m,\mathbf{f}}[1-\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{df}}]$ 

determinant runs over an additional "flavor" index

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

alars e.g. 
$$\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-,\,K^+K^+\pi^+,\,D^+_sD^0\pi^-$$

$$_3(E^\star)\mathbf{F}_3(E, \boldsymbol{P}, L)] = 0$$

Implementation: <u>github.com/ferolo2/QC3\_release</u>

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

- Relevant three-body systems involve nonidentical particles ( $\pi\pi N$ )
- First step: RFT formalism for three different sca [Blanton, Sharpe, PRD 2021] x 2, [Hansen, FRL, Sharpe, JHEP 20

$$\det_{k,\ell,m,\mathbf{f}}[1-\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}(E^{\star})\mathbf{F}_{3}(E, P, L)]=0$$

determinant runs over an additional "flavor" index

Less symmetry in the K-matrix, leading to more coefficients in the expansion:

Example:  $\mathcal{K}_{df,3} = \mathcal{K}_{df,3} = \kappa^{-1}$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

lars e.g. 
$$\pi^+\pi^0\pi^-, \, K^+K^+\pi^+, \, D^+_s D^0\pi^-$$

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}_0 + \mathcal{K}_1 \Delta + \mathcal{K}_B ig( \Delta_2 + \Delta_2' ig) + \mathcal{K}_E ilde{t}_{22} \ & - rac{M}{M^2} & ilde{t}_{22} = rac{\left( p_K - p_K' 
ight)^2}{M^2} & \Delta_2 = rac{\left( p_\pi + p_{\pi'} 
ight)^2 - 4 m_3^2}{M^2} \end{aligned}$$

![](_page_34_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

"predicted minus measured" lab-frame energy shifts

![](_page_36_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Visualization

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

## $KK\pi, ext{ D200 } M_{\pi} = 200 ext{ MeV} \ M_K = 480 ext{ MeV}$

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **By-product of three-body studies are two-meson amplitudes** 0

## LECs from SU(3) NLO ChPT:

$$L_{\pi\pi} = -8.77(36) \times 10^{-4}$$
  
 $L_5 = 0.0(1.5) \times 10^{-3}$   
 $\chi^2/dof = 0.4$ 

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_6.jpeg)

25**/31** 

 $Ma_0$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

Statistically significant different from zero 

**Disagreement with LO ChPT. NLO effects?** 

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

0 So far, single-attice spacing calculations. What about discretization effects?

## Wilson-ChPT = ChPT + discretization effects

$$egin{aligned} M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} &= M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} ig|_{a=0} - rac{(2w_6'+w_6')}{16\pi} \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} &= M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} - 6 x_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_$$

**Same combination of LECs in two- and three-body quantities** 

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

0 So far, single- attice spacing calculations. What about discretization effects?

## **Wilson-ChPT = ChPT + discretization effects**

$$egin{aligned} M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} &= M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} ig|_{a=0} - rac{(2w_6'+w_6')}{16\pi} \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} &= M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} - 6 x_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_$$

**Same combination of LECs in two- and three-body quantities** 

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

○ So far, single- attice spacing calculations. What about discretization effects?

## Wilson-ChPT = ChPT + discretization effe

$$egin{aligned} M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} &= M_{\pi K} a_0^{\pi K} ig|_{a=0} - rac{(2w_6'+w_6')}{16\pi} \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} &= M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} - 6 x_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig|_{a=0} + W_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}^{\pi \pi K} ig(2w_6'+w_6') \ M_{\pi}^2 ig(2w_6'+w_$$

Same combination of LECs in two- and three-body qu

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

ects	2	$w'_{6} + w$	$v_{8}' = -0.$	14(23)	
$v_{8}')$		and the second			
		Ensemble	$\mathcal{K}_0$	$\delta_a(\mathcal{K}_0)$	
$\pm u'$		$\pi\pi + \pi K + \pi\pi K$ fits			
$\top w_8$	D200	190(80)	4(7)		
		N203	-240(150)	10(16)	
uantities		$KK + \pi K + KK\pi$ fits			
		D200	170(270)	17(27)	
		N203	260(310)	14(23)	

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Significant progress both in the theoretical formalism and applications 0 Three mesons at maximal isospin as a benchmark system
- Determination of the three-particle K matrix in  $3\pi$ , 3K,  $\pi\pi K$ ,  $KK\pi$  systems 0  $\mathcal{K}_{df,3} \neq 0$  with statistical significance (several "sigmas")
- **Two-particle amplitudes as a by-product** 0
  - $\blacktriangleright$  LQCD determination of p-wave  $\pi^+K^+$  scattering
- **O** Qualitative agreement between NLO ChPT & Lattice QCD results increase confidence in results Another example of synergy between lattice QCD and EFTs!
- Future progress requires both formalism development and LQCD applications! **Roper resonance, doubly-charmed tetraquark...**

![](_page_49_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Significant progress both in the theoretical formalism and applications 0 Three mesons at maximal isospin as a benchmark system
- Determination of the three-particle K matrix in  $3\pi$ , 3K,  $\pi\pi K$ ,  $KK\pi$  systems 0  $\mathcal{K}_{df,3} \neq 0$  with statistical significance (several "sigmas")
- **Two-particle amplitudes as a by-product** 0
  - $\blacktriangleright$  LQCD determination of p-wave  $\pi^+K^+$  scattering
- **O** Qualitative agreement between NLO ChPT & Lattice QCD results increase confidence in results Another example of synergy between lattice QCD and EFTs!
- Future progress requires both formalism development and LQCD applications! **Roper resonance, doubly-charmed tetraquark...**

![](_page_50_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_23.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_25.jpeg)

lisualization

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\Delta E_{\rm lab}/M_K$ 

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Qualitatively more complicated than the two-particle case!

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Qualitatively more complicated than the two-particle case!

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Qualitatively more complicated than the two-particle case!

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

pair-wise rescattering

can go on-shell (physical divergence)

![](_page_55_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Finite-volume formalism has been developed independently by three groups 0

- Generic Relativistic Field Theory (RFT) [Hansen, Sharpe, PRD 2014 & 2015] [Talks by J. Baeza-Ballesteros, M. Hansen, S. Sharpe]
- Non-Relativistic EFT (NREFT) [ Talk by F. Muller]
- **Finite-Volume Unitarity (FVU)** [Mai, Döring, EPJA 2017] [Talk by M. Mai]

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

[Hammer, Pang, Rusetsky, JHEP 2017] x 2

Equivalence has been established [Jackura et al. PRD 2019], [Blanton, Sharpe, PRD 2020], [Jackura (to appear)]

34/31

![](_page_56_Picture_13.jpeg)

## $\det_{k\ell m} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} + F_3^{-1} ight] = 0$

Fernando Romero-López, MIT

## Quantization Condition

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $\det_{k\ell m} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} + F_3^{-1} \right] = 0$  $(E-\omega_k, \vec{P}-\vec{k})$  $\hat{a}^* \longrightarrow \ell, m$ BOOST  $(\omega_k, ec k)$ [ $\dot{k}$  of the spectator] x [ $\ell m$  of the "pair"]

## Quantization Condition

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $\det_{k\ell m} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} \leftarrow F_3^{-1} \right]$ )=0 $(E-\omega_k, \vec{P}-\vec{k})$  $\hat{a}^* \longrightarrow \ell, m$ BOOST  $(\omega_k,ec k)$ [k of the spectator] x [ $\ell m$  of the "pair"]

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Finite-volume information & two-body interactions

$$F_3 = rac{1}{L^3} igg[ rac{F}{3} - F rac{1}{(\mathcal{K}_2)^{-1} + F + G} F igg]$$

![](_page_59_Picture_6.jpeg)

 $\det_{k\ell m} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} \leftarrow F_3^{-1} \right]$ )=0 $(E - \omega_k, \vec{P} - \vec{k})$  $\hat{a}^* \longrightarrow \ell, m$ BOOST  $(\omega_k,ec k)$ [k of the spectator] x [  $\ell m$  of the "pair"] (a) (b)  $\mathbf{F}$  $F_{00}ig(q^2ig) \sim \left| rac{1}{L^3} \sum_{ec{k}} - \int rac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} 
ight| rac{1}{k^2-q^2}$ Fernando Romero-López, MIT

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Finite-volume information & two-body interactions

$$F_3 = rac{1}{L^3} igg[ rac{F}{3} - F rac{1}{(\mathcal{K}_2)^{-1} + F + G} F igg]$$

![](_page_60_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

O Constru

Let a "finite-volume amplitude" with spectator singled out  

$$\mathcal{M}_{3,L}^{(u,u)}(P) \equiv \mathcal{D}_{\downarrow}^{(u,u)} + \mathcal{L}_{L}^{(u)} \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}F_{3}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}\mathcal{R}_{L}^{(u)}$$

• Symmetrize (each particle gets a turn to be the spectator)

$$\mathcal{M}_{3,L}(P) \equiv \mathcal{S}\Big[\mathcal{M}^{(u,u)}_{3,L}(P)\Big] = egin{array}{cc} a \ b \ k \end{array}$$

## **Infinite-volume limit**

$$\mathcal{M}_3(E,oldsymbol{P}) = \lim_{\epsilon o 0^+} \lim_{L o \epsilon}$$

![](_page_61_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_10.jpeg)

 $\mathop{\mathrm{m}}_{\scriptscriptstyle{
ightarrow\infty}}\mathcal{M}_{3,L}(E+i\epsilon,oldsymbol{P})$ 

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

- The three-particle K-matrix has the same symmetries as the scattering amplitude 0
- **O** For identical particles:
  - Particle exchange symmetry:  $\mathcal{K}_{df.3}$
  - Time reversal:
- For there-pions with definite isospin, need to consider flavor indices. Example:
  - I=0 flavor wave function is fully antisym  $|3\pi,I=0
    angle\sim|+0angle-|0+angle+|0-+
    angle$
  - Therefore, the K-matrix must also be an
  - Minimal parametrization for resonance

$$m{p_1,p_2,p_3;k_i) = \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}(m{p_2,p_1},p_3;k_i) = \dots}{\mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}(p_i,k_i) = \mathcal{K}_{ ext{df},3}(k_i,p_i)}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{hmetric} & t_{ij} \equiv (p_i - p_i) & \mathsf{hmetric} & \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{[I=0]} \supset \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{\mathrm{AS}} \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mnr} t_{im} t_{jn} & \mathsf{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{\mathrm{II}=0,\omega(780)]} & = rac{c_X V_lpha V'^lpha}{s-M_\omega^2} & \mathsf{V}^lpha = P_\mu \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} p_j^\mu p_k^lpha & \mathsf{V}^lpha & \mathsf{V}^lpha = P_\mu \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} p_j^\mu p_k^lpha & \mathsf{V}^lpha & \mathsf{V}^$$

![](_page_62_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

## O Indirect connection between the spectrum and the two-particle scattering amplitude [Lüscher 89']

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

## O Indirect connection between the spectrum and the two-particle scattering amplitude [Lüscher 89']

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_2.jpeg)