Inferring Phase transitions from Nonparametric EoSs

From Essick, Legred, Chatziioannou, Han, and Landry: 2023 (2305.07411)

Phase Transition is fairly common prediction at high densities





Big Question: What are the observable signatures of a PT? (Is it possible to find a model-agnostic signature?)



Big Question: What are the observable signatures of a PT?

Rapid change in speed of sound? How to measure?

Change in tidal deformability? Hard to measure

Large change in Radius?

Potentially hadronic / GR effect?

Phase transition may not lead to sharp features/discontinuties in astro observables!



Big Question: What are the observable signatures of a PT?

Phase transition may not lead to sharp features/discontinuties in astro observables!





Insight: Look for observable which is universal within a single phase





Insight: Look for observable which is universal within a single phase





Features in Moment of Inertia:



 $\mathcal{D}_M^I \equiv \frac{d\log I/d\log p_c}{d\log M/d\log p_c}$

Look for a minimum in the derivative of the moment of inertia

Minimum Exists => Phase Transition exists

Minimum Location => End of Phase Transition





Algorithm

- (1) Identify a minimum in MOI derivative (end of transition)
- (2) Identify the global maximum in the speed of sound up to that density
- (3) Check certain criteria
- (4) If all criteria are satisfied accept running maximum







PSR: $\Delta(E/N) \leq 10 \text{ MeV}$ PSR: $\Delta(E/N) \geq 100 \text{ MeV}$ PGX: $\Delta(E/N) \geq 100 \text{ MeV}$ PGX: $\Delta(E/N) \leq 10 \text{ MeV}$

Can we distinguish EoSs with phase transitions in data?



Some evidence against strong transitions



Inference - Characterization EMeV Prior 187.940.9- PSRs + GWs + X-ray 47.0)4.0 0.0 Feature $6 ho_{ m nuc}$ 2ρ 10^{14} 10^{15} Extraction $\rho (\mathrm{g/cm}^3)$ $M \cap (0.8, 1.1)$ $M \cap (1.1, 1.6)$



Distribution on EoSs

- $M \cap (1.6, 2.3)$
 - $prior(M \cap (0.8, 1.1))$
 - $prior(M \cap (1.1, 1.6))$
 - $prior(M \cap (1.6, 2.3))$

Distribution on PT parameters





What can we measure?





Conclusions:

- We have developed a phenomenoligical scheme to extract PTs from arbitrary EoSs
- •We can use this to constrain the parameters of a real PT inside neutron stars
- •GWs will be effective probe of tidal parameters at A+ senstivity
- LIGO GWs likely not informative enough to settle PT question
- •Future work includes better prior specification