Large-Momentum Effective Theory vs. Short-Distance Expansion Contrast and Complementarity

> Xiangdong Ji University of Maryland

INT workshop on "Parton Distributions and Hadron Structure", Sept 12–17, 2022, Seattle

Outline

- Nature of partons
- Large-momentum expansion (LaMET)
- Precision control in perturbative matching
- OPE vs. LaMET, complementarity, and short longitudinaldistance OPE (generalized OPE)
- Outlook

Nature of partons

Feynman's parton model (1968)

 A high-energy proton travelling near light-cone can be approximated by one exactly at v=c, or with infinite energy or momentum





P^z=E=∞

 Due to time-dilation, the proton may be considered as a collection of non-interacting particles: (partons), with a distribution function (PDF) f(x): x = k^z/P^z

Large-momentum symmetry (LMS)

- The structure of a hadron is independent of its momentum P^z if $P^z \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ (strong interaction scale)
 - The momentum density of quarks with

 k_1^z = 3.4 TeV in a proton with P_1^z = 6.8 TeV is approximately the same as that of quarks with k_2^z = 1.5 GeV in a proton with P_2^z = 3 GeV when all momentum scales » $\Lambda_{OCD} \sim$ 200 MeV

- Scaling in $x = k^z / P^z$!
- This is similar to the heavy quark symmetry in that the structure of Λ_b is similar to Λ_c (HQET: heavy quark effective theory)

Symmetry breaking

• In QCD, LMS is broken by Power Corrections $\left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{P^Z}\right)^n$

e.g., one CANNOT approximate the density at $k_1^Z = 1$ TeV with $k_2^Z = k_1^Z \left(\frac{P_2^Z}{P_1^Z}\right) \sim 440 \text{ MeV} \sim |\vec{k}_{\perp}| \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$

And Large Logarithms in 3+1D

$$\ln^k \frac{P^z}{\mu}$$

Naïve infinite-momentum limit P^z=E=∞ does not exist!

Partons as effective DOEs

- Partons have $k^z = \infty$, travel on the light-cone and do not exist in the real world.
- They are collinear (and soft or zero) modes in QCD and can be described by effective theories (still interactive!)
 - Light-front quantization (Hamiltonian)
 - Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET, lagrangian)
- Beautiful but singular parton EFTs
 - LMS made exact or invariant under Lorentz boost $(P^z = \infty, \text{ or light-cone correlations})$
 - Extra divergences (zero mode div., end-point singularities, light-cone singularities, rapidity div.)

Analogy with critical phenomena



- Large momentum symmetry is exact at the critical point, P=∞ (not interaction free!)
- But $P=\infty$ (EFT) is an extremely singular theory
- Regularizing critical theory is to bring the system off critical point, but often ruin other symmetries!

Large-momentum expansion

Large-momentum regulator

- Large hadron momentum can be used as a regulator for parton EFTs
 - Similar to studying critical phenomenon through systems close to the critical point.
 - All light-cone divergences now appear as large logs, lnP^z
 - Does not ruin any other symmetry except LMS
 - Partons are time-independent objects that can be simulated on lattice or calculated in instanton approximations.



Why partons are Euclidean?

- Although parton EFTs are formulated on the lightcone coordinates, the relevant proton properties are time-independent in the frame of large momentum.
- Parton observables usually formulated as light-front correlators of fields

 $\hat{O} = \phi_1(\lambda_1 n) W \phi_2(\lambda_2 n) \dots W \phi_k(\lambda_k n)$ $\phi_i: \text{quark/gluon fields, W: Wilson link}$



• In large-momentum frame, they are equal time correlators

 $\hat{O} = \phi_1(\lambda_1 z) W \phi_2(\lambda_2 z) \dots W \phi_k(\lambda_k z)$

Example: PDF & Momentum distributions

• PDFs have their origin in Mom. Dis. in a moving hadron $n(\vec{k}, P^z)$

fundamental property of a quantum (many-body) system,

$$n\left(\vec{k}\right) = \left|\psi\left(\vec{k}\right)\right|^2 \sim \int \psi^*(\vec{r})\psi(0)e^{i\vec{k}\vec{r}}d^3\vec{r}$$

• Static correlation functions in QCD can be calculated on Lattice

Lattice spacing a

 \rightarrow momentum cutoff $\Lambda_{UV} \sim 1/a$,

Making large momentum limit tricky

IMF limit and PDFs

• Longitudinal mom. dis. is

 $n(k^z, P^z) = \int d^2 \vec{k}_{\perp} n(k^z, \vec{k}_{\perp}, P^z)$

 When P^z is large, to keep calculations legitimate, one must have

 $P^z \ll \Lambda_{UV} \sim 1/a$

• If the infinite-momentum limit exists, one shall get light-front parton PDFs

$$n(k^Z, P^Z) \rightarrow_{p^Z \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$
? with $x = \frac{k^Z}{P^Z}$,

Large momentum expansion

• When the limit exists, $n(k^z, P^z)$ has a Taylor expansion around $P^z = \infty$,

$$n(k^z, P^z) = f(x) + f_4(x)(M/P^z)^2 + \dots$$

A precise statement about large-P symmetry!

 One can get the PDFs from Mom. Dis. at large but finite P^z so long as M/P^z is small.

ť Hooft model

- 1+1D QCD with $N_c = \infty$ Can be solved exactly at any finite P^z.
- Mom dis. Calculated at various mom:

$$p_{\pi}^{z} = m_{\pi}, 5m_{\pi}, 8m_{\pi} \dots$$
$$p_{\phi}^{z} = m_{\phi}, 2m_{\phi}, 5m_{\phi} \dots$$

- PDF obtained from the smooth limit of $p^z \to \infty$



3+1: Nontrivial

• A simple Feynman integral

$$\int^{\Lambda_{UV}} d^4k \frac{1}{(P+k)^2 k^2}$$

- Integral is UV divergent, Λ_{UV} shall be larger than any physics scales. The result depends on lnP.
- Parton EFT is obtained by taking $P^Z \rightarrow \infty$ under the integral sign (Weinberg, 1966)
- Both limits have the same IR physics, because interchanging them only affects UV.

Matching relation

Instead of the simple Taylor expansion,

$$n(k^z, P^z) = f(x) + f_4(x)(M/P^z)^2 + \dots$$

We have the relation between mom dis. in full QCD and PDFs in parton EFT (Ji, 2013)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(y,P^z) &= \int Z(y/x,xP^z/\mu)f(x,\mu)dx \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{y^2(P^z)^2},\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{(1-y)^2(P^z)^2}\Big), \end{split}$$

All order in pert. QCD Ma and Qiu (2018), Izubuchi et al. (2018)

Generalization 1: Universality

- The most natural quantities starting large momentum expansion are the corresponding finite P physical quantities (quasi-PDFs).
- One can use infinite number of Euclidean observables to achieve the same parton physics, such as current correlators, etc.



Generalization 2: TMDs, high twists, etc

- Large-momentum expansion can be naturally applied to TMDs.
 - TMD PDFs
 - TMD Wave Functions
- Soft functions
- Higher twists for parton correlations
- Other light-ray observables? Jet functions?

TMDPDF Matching (Ji, Liu, Liu, 2020, Ebert et al 2022)



Collins & Soper kernel and soft function

- Collins–Soper Kernel can be calculated from qTMDPDFs (Zhao et al, MIT group)
- Soft function can be formulated in terms of the form factor of a four-quark current separated by transverse distance b (Liu, y. et al)





Generalization 3: Light-Front Quantization (LFQ)

- Light-front quantized theory is formal (undefined!) and cannot be solved without regularizing light-cone singularities.
- If the regularization breaks Lorentz symmetry (almost all regulators in the LFQ literature do), theory ends up non-renormalizable.
- LFQ can be defined through large-momentum effective theories, including wave functions.

(X. Ji & Y. Liu, 2022 & to be published)

(in SCET, covariant pert. theory has been used, but a all order regulator for rapidity div. seems non-trivial)

Precision Control in Perturbative Matching

Power counting

• In the large-momentum expansion, small parameters are

$$\epsilon_i = \left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{k_i}\right)$$

where k is ANY physical momentum scale.

- In PDF calculation, k can be
 - Active quark/gluon, k^z= xP^z
 - Spectator, k^z= (1-x)P^z
- Thus, LaMET approach cannot calculate small and large-x partons unless P^z is very large, such that xP^z , $(1-x)P^z \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

Linear divergence and continuum limit

- The quasi-PDF operator has linear Wilson line, which generate power law divergence (mass renorm.)
- These divergences must be subtracted carefully to take the continuum limit.
- Ambiguity in subtraction

$$O_{\Gamma}(z)_R = Z_O^{-1} e^{\delta \bar{m} z} O_{\Gamma}(z),$$

$$\delta \bar{m} = m_{-1}(a)/a - m_0 ,$$



Hybrid renormalization scheme

One-loop matching

$$\tilde{f}(x,P_z) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{|x|P_z}\right) f(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left[\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{x^2 P_z^2},\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{(1-x)^2 P_z^2}\right]$$

$$C^{(1)}\left(\xi,\frac{\mu}{|x|P_z}\right) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 - \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[1,\infty]} & \xi > 1\\ \left(\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\left[-\ln\frac{\mu^2}{4x^2P_z^2} + \ln(\frac{1-\xi}{\xi}) - 1\right] + 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[0,1]} & 0 < \xi < 1\\ \left(-\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{-\xi}{1-\xi} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[-\infty,0]} & \xi < 0 \end{cases}$$

RG resumation (Y. Su et al, e-Print: 2209.01236)

• There is a large-scale gap between μ and 2xP, when x is small, or when P is large.



RG resumation (Y. Su et al, e-Print: 2209.01236)

- There is a large-scale gap between μ and 2xP, when x is small, or when P is large.



• Consistent with power counting.

IR Renormalons (R Zhang et al. to be published)

- Mass renormalization contains IR
 renormalons
- The matching coefficient has also similar renormalon ambiguity.
- Both renormalon effects cancel.
- To obtain matching to the leading power accuracy, one must determine m₀ in consistency with the leading renormalon estimation.





Threshold resummation



- When $x \to 1$, the hadron remnant moment $(1-x)P^z$ becomes soft.
- This is now an incomplete cancellation of IR divergences between real and virtual contributions.

$$C^{(1)}\left(\xi,\frac{\mu}{|x|P_z}\right) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 - \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[1,\infty]} & \xi > 1\\ \left(\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\left[-\ln\frac{\mu^2}{4x^2P_z^2} + \ln(\frac{1-\xi}{\xi}) - 1\right] + 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[0,1]} & 0 < \xi < 1\\ \left(-\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{-\xi}{1-\xi} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)}^{[-\infty,0]} & \xi < 0 \end{cases}$$

• Large logs of type $\left[\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x}\right]_+$ shall be resumed.

OPEvs. LaMET, complementarity, and short-longitudinal distance expansion

Beyond moments for collinear PDFs

- There has been continuous efforts in going beyond calculating *individual* PDF moments:
 - Hadron Tensor (Liu & Dong, 1994...)
 - OPE without OPE & Compton Tensor (Aglietti, Martinelli, 1998...Chambers et al, 2018)
 - Heavy-quark OPE (HOPE) (Detmold, Lin, 2006...)
 - Coordinate-space OPE (Braun & Muller, 2008...)
 - Pseudo PDFs (Radyushkin, 2017...)
 - Good lattice cross section (Qiu & Ma, 2018...)

•

Operator product expansion (OPE):

first few moments / a range of coordinate-space twist-2 correlator

Equivalence

- For collinear PDFs, LaMET gives the same result as the OPE if one can obtain in both cases the matrix elements in the finite momentum limit
 - $P^z \to \infty$

(Izubuchi et al, 2018)

Or the Euclidean Bjorken limit

•
$$q_E^2$$
, $\nu = pq \rightarrow \infty$

On lattice, one cannot calculate with an infinitely-large momentum.

Inequivalence at finite P



- LaMET generates parton physics at any $x \in [x_{min}, x_{max}] \rightarrow \text{local info on partons}$
- OPE yields a twist-2 spatial correlation in a segment $\lambda \in [0, \lambda_{max}] \rightarrow \text{global info on partons}$

$$A_{max} = zP^z$$

~ 0.2 fm x3 GeV

~ 3

The results cannot be converted into PDF without additional assumptions



FIG. 3. Renormalized lattice matrix elements in the hybrid scheme (colorful points). a = 0.04 fm and $z_s = 0.16$ fm.

Fitting PDFs (Inverse Problem)

- Given a finite range of coordinate space correlation, one can fit
 - Moments of PDF
 - Fits parametrization of PDF/structure functions, $f(x) = x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}\tilde{f}(x)$ as in global analysis Approximately equivalent
- Relevant talks
 - C. Egerer, this afternoon, PDF
 - R. Suffian, this afternoon, PDF
 - X. Gao, next talk, Moments
 - R. Young, friday afternoon, Moments
 - K. F. Liu, tomorrow afternoon, Spectral Function

Complementarity (Ji, to be published)

- LaMET generates a prediction for PDFs at a finite range $[x_{min}, x_{max}]$
- However, it cannot say anything in the end-point regions $[0, x_{min}]$ & $[x_{max}, 1]$
- Assuming phenomenological forms in these regions

 $f(x) = Ax^{\alpha}$ for small x~0

 $f(x) = B(1-x)^{\beta}$ for x~1

One can fit these parameters to the global parton properties: moments or short distance correlations in OPE.

An example, pion PDF



Generalized OPE

• OPE can be used to extract global properties of the TMDs by expanding the operator product at short longitudinal distance $z \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda_{QCD}}$ in non-local

operators

 $J(z, b_{\perp})J(0, 0) = \sum_{i} C_{i}(z, \mu)O_{i}(b_{\perp})$

 O_i is a non-local operator and may contain Wilson line.

• Matrix elements of O_i is related to moments of TMD distributions or wave functions.

Summary

- LaMET aims to calculate parton physics at intermediate x region without doing global fitting.
- (Generalized) OPE allows to calculate coordinate space correlations in a finite range (global parton properties). With an assumption on the functional form of PDFs at end points, one can use to this to constrain the local parton densities.
- LaMET augmented with OPE can be used to constrain the partons in the entire x-range.