

DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS OF DARK MATTER HALOS

Image Credit: Millennium Simulation Project

Axel Gross, University of Minnesota In Collaboration with Yong-Zhong Qian and Zhaozhou Li

The NFW Profile

In 1997, Navarro, Frenk, and White showed that dark matter halos can be universally fit by a single

functional form:



The NFW Profile (2)

- □ In 1997, Navarro, Frenk, and White showed that dark matter halos can be universally fit by a single functional form: $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{R_c} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R_c}\right)^2}$
- □ Because this is divergent, it is common to define an edge of the halo so the average density is $200\rho_c$, and the concentration as the ratio of the virial and scale radius $R_{vir} = \left(\frac{3M}{4\pi (200\rho_c)}\right)^{1/3} \qquad R_{vir} = cR_s$

$$M = 4\pi\rho_0 R_s^3 \left[\ln\left(1 + \frac{R_{vir}}{R_s}\right) - \frac{R_{vir}}{R_{vir} + R_s} \right]$$
 (M,c) \Leftrightarrow (R_s, ρ_0)

The DarkEXP Profile

 In 2010, Hjorth and Williams presented "DarkEXP", a profile obtained through equilibrium statistical mechanics which fits simulation data:



Image Credit: Hjorth, Williams, 2010

The DarkEXP Profile (2)

 In 2010, Hjorth and Williams presented "DarkEXP", a profile obtained through equilibrium statistical mechanics which fits simulation data:

$$N(E) = A(e^{-(E-\phi)/E_s} - 1)$$

- □ ϕ is the central potential of the system, such that $N(E) \rightarrow 0$ at E_{min} .
- \square A is a normalization constant such that $\int N(E) = 1$
- \Box E_s represents a characteristic energy scale.

Comparison of Profiles: Main Premise

 Both profiles are shown to match simulation, so there should be an approximate relation between the parameters:

 $\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{\frac{r}{R_s} \left(1 + \frac{r}{R_s}\right)^2}$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$N(E) = A(e^{-(E-\phi)/E_s} - 1)$$

Comparison using N-Body Simulations



Relating the Two Profiles

We define the distribution function:

 $d^{3}xd^{3}vf(\vec{x},\vec{v},t) = 1 \qquad \qquad dP = d^{3}xd^{3}vf(\vec{x},\vec{v},t)$

Conservation of probability gives the collisionless Boltzmann Equation: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{y}} = 0$

Define an integral of motion (IOM) to be:

$$\frac{d}{dt}I[x(t),v(t)] = 0$$

 $\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial v} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad v \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial I}{\partial v} = 0$

Relating the Two Profiles (2)

 Jeans Theorem: Any steady-state solutions must depend on the phase space coordinates through only IOM, and any function of IOM must be a steady state solution:

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(I_1,\dots,I_n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial I_m} \frac{dI_m}{dt} = 0$$

 In spherical symmetry, integrals of motion are E and L.

A distribution function that only depends on E is called ergodic

$$f(E) = f(\frac{v^2}{2} + \phi(r))$$

Relating the Two Profiles (3)

If you have the distribution function, it is straightforward to determine the density: $\rho(r) = M \int d^3v \, f\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \phi(r)\right) = 4\pi M \int dE \, f(E)\sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$ We can also relate the distribution function to the number density: $g(E) = \int d^3r d^3v \,\delta\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \phi(r) - E\right) = 16\pi^2 \int dr \, r^2 \sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$ N(E) = g(E)f(E) \Box Thus, we can relate N(E) and $\rho(r)$ $\rho(r) = 4\pi M \int dE \ \frac{N(E)}{a(E)} \sqrt{2(E - \phi(r))}$ Density must also determine the potential

 $\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho$

A Self Consistent Energy Distribution

The distribution function can be calculated through an Eddington inversion:

$$f(E) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}\pi^2 M} \frac{d}{dE} \int \frac{d\phi}{\sqrt{\phi - E}} \frac{d\rho}{d\phi}$$

- After inversion of the NFW profile, the density of states can be used to find N(E), and this can be fit to the DarkEXP profile.
- We should accept fits where root mean squared deviation is of the same order as the errors in the fits to simulated halos.

Comparison between Profiles



Conclusions

- The NFW and DarkEXP profiles both provide a good description of dark matter halos, albeit in different parameter spaces.
- Simulations show a relationship between the parameters of these profiles.
- We can understand this relationship theoretically by connecting the profiles by means of the distribution function, which is the fundamental descriptor of the system.