

INT PROGRAM INT-23-1B New physics searches at the precision frontier May 1, 2023 - May 26, 2023





PRECISION EXPERIMENTS AND ACCURATE THEORY OF NUCLEAR BETA DECAYS AS STANDARD MODEL TESTS



COLLABORATORS AND FUNDING

Funding:

Israeli Science Foundation (ISF) Ministry of Science and Technology, Israel Hebrew University Guy Ron (Experimental)

University of Washington

Ayala Glick Magid Vincenzo Cirigliano Alejandro Garcia

Chalmers University Christian Forssén

ÚJF rez Daniel Gazda

TRIUMF Petr Navrátil Peter Gysbers

University of Barcelona Javier Menéndez LLNL Jason Harke Nickolas Scielzo Aaron Gallant Richard Hughes Yonatan Mishnayot

SNRC (SARAF)

Tsviki Hirsh Sergey Vaintraub Leonid Waisman Boaz Kaizer Arik Kreisel Hodaya Dafna Maayan Buzaglo

Technion (Israel) Ben Ohayon

Weizmann Institute Michael Hass

NSCL Oscar Naviliat-Cuncic

U Chicago Guy Savard

NC State University Albert Young

"The darkest places in hell are reserved for those who maintain their neutrality in times of moral crisis" (Dante Alighieri)



INTRODUCTION

- ► The *energy frontier* (LHC) is a direct avenue to study physics Beyond the Standard Model (BSM).
- However, to date, the most important signatures for deviations from the Standard Model arose in the <u>precision frontier</u> – high precision combined theory-experiment effort: neutrino mass, W mass, and muon g-2.
- ► These signatures provide motivation to search for more deviations in the <u>electroweak sector</u>.
- Nuclear phenomena have an important role in the <u>precision frontier</u> in the search for BSM signatures:
 - New techniques allow unprecedented experimental accuracy.
 - Theory can have controlled accuracy, with high precision, description of these phenomena, to analyze
 experimental results and pinpoint new physics.
- Studies of <u>nuclear beta-decay observables</u> have proven in the past as very effective in pin-pointing hints for new physics.

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

BETA DECAY OBSERVABLES – IN THE QUEST FOR BSM SIGNATURES

- We search for observables sensitive to interference of Standard Model currents with the new physics.
- New physics can thus appear as additionnal gauge fermion "f" vertices with W: W - f - f' or new contact four fermion interactions generated by exchange of heavy particles. Thus, we expect an effect to scale as:

 $G_F \cdot \epsilon_{\alpha}$ where $\alpha \in \{L, R, S, P, T\}$ labels the Lorentz structure of the interaction.

- This is an effective field theory approach to the extended Standard Model, where the dimensionless couplings relate to new physics scale Λ via: $\epsilon_i \approx \left(\frac{v}{\Lambda}\right)^2$ with $v \approx 174$ GeV the SM VEV.
- Thus, experimental sensitivity for ε_i < 10⁻³ can explore new physics at the largely unexplored
 Λ = few TeV scale.



BETA DECAY OBSERVABLES – IN THE QUEST FOR BSM SIGNATURES



בירושלים



NUCLEAR BETA DECAY EXPERIMENTS IN SEARCH FOR BSM PHYSICS (2019)

Energy spectrum

Measurement	Transition Type	Nucleus	Institution/Collaboration	Goal
β spectrum	GT	¹¹⁴ In	MiniBETA-Krakow-Leuven	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT	6 He	LPC-Caen	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT	⁶ He, ²⁰ F	NSCL-MSU	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT, F, Mixed	${}^{6}\text{He}, {}^{14}\text{O}, {}^{19}\text{Ne}$	He6-CRES	0.1~%

TABLE III. List of nuclear β -decay spectral measurements in search for non-SM physics ^a

^a Experiments specifically searching for time-reversal symmetry violation not listed here

Angular correlation

Measurement	Transition Type	Nucleus	Institution/Collaboration	Goal
$\beta - \nu$	F	^{32}Ar	Isolde-CERN	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	F	³⁸ K	TRINAT-TRIUMF	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	GT, Mixed	⁶ He, ²³ Ne	SARAF	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	GT	⁸ B, ⁸ Li	ANL	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	F	²⁰ Mg, ²⁴ Si, ²⁸ S, ³² Ar,	TAMUTRAP-Texas A&M	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	Mixed	¹¹ C, ¹³ N, ¹⁵ O, ¹⁷ F	Notre Dame	$0.5 \ \%$
β & recoil	Mixed	³⁷ K	TRINAT-TRIUMF	0.1~%
asymmetry				

TABLE I. List of nuclear β -decay correlation experiments in search for non-SM physics ^a

^a Experiments specifically searching for time-reversal symmetry violation not listed here

V. Cirigliano, A. Garcia, DG, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, G. Savard, A. Young, Precision beta decay as a probe of new physics, arXiv:1907.02164 (2019).



BETA DECAY OBSERVABLES

radioactive nuclei, that will be produced at the SARAF-II



7

accelerator in Israel.







(NUCLEAR) THEORY NEEDS

- ► The main challenges of theory, and especially nuclear theory, include three main fronts:
 - <u>nuclear structure</u> corrections, to known precision and accuracy, to the interaction of the electro-weak probes with the nucleus, beyond the leading order approximation of the probes interacting with a single nucleon in the nucleus;
 - <u>nuclear structure</u> effects in the calculation of <u>radiative corrections</u>, particularly the γ-W box;

See Misha Gorshteyn's talk

• <u>a lattice-QCD assessment of nucleon charges</u>, essential to connect nuclear observables to quark-level couplings. In particular, the uncertainties in g_A , g_S , and g_T , limit the sensitivity to ε_R , ε_S , and ε_T , respectively. See Ross Young's talk



(NUCLEAR) THEORY NEEDS

- ► The main challenges of theory, and especially nuclear theory, include three main fronts:
 - Inclear structure corrections, to known precision and accuracy, to the interaction of the electro-weak probes with the nucleus, beyond the leading order approximation of the probes interacting with a single nucleon in the nucleus;
 - <u>nuclear structure</u> effects in the calculation of <u>radiative corrections</u>, particularly the γ-W box;
 - <u>a lattice-QCD assessment of nucleon charges</u>, essential to connect nuclear observables to quark-level couplings. In particular, the uncertainties in g_A , g_S , and g_T , limit the sensitivity to ε_R , ε_S , and ε_T , respectively.



THEORETICAL ANALYSIS OF CORRECTIONS IN AND BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL

Glick-Magid, DG (JPhG 2022, PRD 2023)

11





העברית בירושלים

$\label{eq:precision} \textbf{B-DECAY STUDIES TO PINPOINT BSM EFFECTS}$

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

	Σ	$\epsilon(\epsilon) = \frac{2G^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{\Delta}$	$\frac{2\Delta J + 1}{J(2J_i + 1)}(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon)$	$P^{2}k\epsilon F^{(\pm)}(Z_{f},\epsilon) \times (corrections)$
Item	Effect	Formula	Magnitude	
1	Phase space factor ^a	$pW(W_0 - W)^2$	Unity or larger	
2	Traditional Fermi function	F ₀	Chinty of harger	
3	Finite size of the nucleus	L_0		
4	Radiative corrections	R		NUCLEAR STRUCTURE DEPENDENT
5	Shape factor	С	$10^{-1} \cdot 10^{-2}$	
6	Atomic exchange	X		
7	Atomic mismatch	r		
8	Atomic screening	S		
9	Shake-up	See item 7		
10	Shake-off	See item 7		
11	Isovector correction	C_I		
12	Recoil Coulomb correction	Q	10-3 10-4	
13	Diffuse nuclear surface	U	10 -10	NUCLEAR STRUCTURE DEPENDENT
14	Nuclear deformation	$D_{\rm FS}$ & D_C		
15	Recoiling nucleus	R_N		
16	Molecular screening	ΔS_{Mol}		
17	Molecular exchange	Case by case		
18	Bound state β decay	Γ_b/Γ_c	Smaller than 1 10-4	
19	Neutrino mass	Negligible	Smaller than $1 \cdot 10^{-1}$	

Beta Spectrum Generator: High precision allowed β spectrum shapes

L. Hayen^{a,*}, N. Severijns^a



Classification of β decays is achieved via momentum transfer dependence:

 β decay typical momentum transfers are up to 10MeV, this constitutes a small parameter:

$$\varepsilon_q \equiv \frac{qR}{\hbar c} \approx 0.05 \cdot A^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

העברית בירושלים



העברית בירושלים

העברית בירושלים



We have similar expressions for Tensor and Scalar structures, and interferences. Glick-Magid, DG, PRD (2023)



Classification of the decay: $\mu_0 = 0$ – allowed $\mu_0 = 1$ – forbidden...

העברית בירושלים

Beyond the Standard Model corrections distort these

העברית בירושלים







$$a_{\beta\nu}^{\text{measured}} = \frac{a_{\beta\nu}}{1+b_{\text{F}}\langle \frac{m_e}{E} \rangle}$$

M. González-Alonso, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, Kinematic sensitivity to the Fierz term of β -decay differential spectra, Phys. Rev. C 94 (2016) 035503.



Nuclear dependent part – neglecting rad. corrections:

העברית בירושלים

The multipole expansion naturally leads to an expansion in the momentum transfer

$$\epsilon_q = \frac{qR}{\hbar c} \approx 0.005 - 0.1$$

$$\Theta(q,\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu})\approx\epsilon_{q}^{\mu_{0}}\cdot\Theta_{0}(q,\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu})\cdot\left\{1+\epsilon_{q}\cdot\delta\Theta_{1}(q,\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu})+\epsilon_{q}^{2}\cdot\delta\Theta_{2}(q,\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu})\right\}+\cdots$$

העברית בירושלים



Experimental precision determines where this expansion should be cut-off.

העברית בירושלים



$$\frac{d\omega^{1^{+}\beta^{-}}}{dE\frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi}\frac{d\Omega_{\nu}}{4\pi}} = \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} (E_{0} - E)^{2} kEF^{-} (Z_{f}, E) C_{\text{corr}} \left[\left\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \right\rangle \right]^{2} \quad \text{Gamow-Teller}$$

$$\times 3 \left(1 + \delta_{1}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} \right) \left[1 + a_{\beta\nu}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} \vec{\beta} \cdot \hat{\nu} + b_{F}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} \frac{m_{e}}{E} \right],$$
BSM (tensor) signatures Nuclear Structure corrections

$$a_{\beta\nu} \approx -\frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{|C_T|^2 + |C_T'|^2}{|C_A|^2} \right)$$
, and $b = 2 \frac{C_T + C_T'}{C_A}$

$$\begin{split} a_{\beta\nu}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &= -\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} \right) \qquad b_{\mathrm{F}}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} = \delta_{\mathrm{b}}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} \\ \delta_{1}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} \Re e \left[-E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &- \frac{4}{7} E R \alpha Z_{f} - \frac{233}{630} \left(\alpha Z_{f} \right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{4}{3} \Re e \left[2E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &+ \frac{4}{7} E R \alpha Z_{f} - \frac{2}{5} E_{0} R \alpha Z_{f}, \\ \delta_{b}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} m_{e} \Re e \left[\frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V} / q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\frac{d\omega^{1^+\beta^-}}{dE\frac{d\Omega_k}{4\pi}\frac{d\Omega_\nu}{4\pi}} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} (E_0 - E)^2 kEF^- (Z_f, E) C_{\text{corr}} \left| \langle || \hat{L}_1^A || \rangle \right|^2 \quad \text{Gamow-Teller}$$

$$\times 3 \left(1 + \delta_1^{1^+\beta^-} \right) \left[1 + a_{\beta\nu}^{1^+\beta^-} \vec{\beta} \cdot \hat{\nu} + b_F^{1^+\beta^-} \frac{m_e}{E} \right],$$
BSM (tensor) signatures
$$a_{\beta\nu} \approx -\frac{1}{3} (1 - \frac{|C_T|^2 + |C_T'|^2}{|C_A|^2}), \text{ and } b = 2\frac{C_T + C_T'}{C_A}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu} \approx -\frac{1}{3} (1 - \frac{|C_T|^2 + |C_T'|^2}{|C_A|^2}), \text{ and } b = 2\frac{C_T + C_T'}{C_A}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu} \approx -\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \hat{\delta}_a^{1^+\beta^-} \right) \quad b_F^{1^+\beta^-} = \delta_b^{1^+\beta^-}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu}^{1^+\beta^-} = -\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \hat{\delta}_a^{1^+\beta^-} \right) \quad b_F^{1^+\beta^-} = \delta_b^{1^+\beta^-}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu}^{1^+\beta^-} = \frac{2}{3} \Re \left[-E \left(\frac{||\hat{L}_1^A || \rangle}{||\hat{L}_1^A ||} \right) + \sqrt{2} (E_0 - 2E) \frac{\langle ||\hat{M}_1^Y / q|| \rangle}{||\hat{L}_1^A ||} \right]$$

$$\frac{Nuclear Model}{dependence in the wave-functions and in the}{structure of the operators}$$

$$\delta_b^{1^+\beta^-} = \frac{2}{3} \Re \left[2E_0 \frac{\langle ||\hat{C}_1^A q|| \rangle}{\langle ||\hat{L}_1^A || \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle ||\hat{M}_1^Y / q|| \rangle}{\langle ||\hat{L}_1^A || \rangle} \right],$$



Nuclear dependent part – neglecting rad. corrections:

העברית בירושלים

The multipole expansion naturally leads to an expansion in the momentum transfer

$$\epsilon_q = \frac{qR}{\hbar c} \approx 0.005 - 0.1$$

$$\Theta(q, \vec{\beta} \cdot \hat{\nu}) \approx \epsilon_q^{\mu_0} \cdot \Theta_0(q, \vec{\beta} \cdot \hat{\nu}) \cdot \{1 + \epsilon_q \cdot \delta \Theta_1(q, \vec{\beta} \cdot \hat{\nu})\} + \epsilon_q^{\mu_0} \underbrace{\Theta(\epsilon_q \cdot \epsilon_{Model})}_{\text{uncertainty}}$$

For a typical <u>medium-mass nucleus</u> a 10% theoretical uncertainty on the nuclear model allows cutting off the expansion at the sub-leading to reach a total theoretical uncertainty much better than 0.1%!

אוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

ANALYSING EXPERIMENTAL DEMANDS

- Current experiments aim at <0.1% precision, which is sufficient to significantly identify BSM signatures at the few TeV scale.
- Future experiments aim at 10⁻⁴ precision, probing new physics beyond LHC scale.
- Nuclear theory corrections to the standard Model are essential:
 - Should have about 10% accuracy for ongoing experiments.
 - Should have *few-*% accuracy for future experiments.
- Is it feasible to reach these theory accuracies in nuclear theory for typical nuclei?



אוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

ANALYSING EXPERIMENTAL DEMANDS

- Current experiments aim at <0.1% precision, which is sufficient to significantly identify BSM signatures at the few TeV scale.
- Future experiments aim at 10⁻⁴ precision, probing new physics beyond LHC scale.
- Nuclear theory corrections to the standard Model are essential:
 - Should have about 10% accuracy for ongoing experiments.
 - Should have *few-*% accuracy for future experiments.
- Is it feasible to reach these theory accuracies in nuclear theory for typical nuclei?



See talks by Latsamy Xayavong, Petr Navratíl, Stefano Gandolfi, Grígor Sargsyan



<u>Slide taken from Achim Schwenk, 2016</u>



31

From Achim Schwenk





Nuclear models are ubiquitously known to have systematic errors. This is a huge problem when studying BSM effects

אוניברסיטה האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

NUCLEUS INTERACTION WITH A PROBE, EFT POINT OF VIEW:

34



אוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

NUCLEUS INTERACTION WITH A PROBE, EFT POINT OF VIEW:

35



EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY FOR THE NUCLEAR-PROBE INTERACTION 36

The Nuclear Current in EFT:

ירושלים

- EFT expansion parameter $\epsilon_{EFT} \propto \frac{\max(q,Q,\dots)}{M_{br}} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{5}$:
 - Breakdown scale in chiral EFT is about $4\pi f_{\pi} \approx 1 \text{ GeV/c}$
 - Order by order expansion of the currents: $J_{SM} = J^{LO} + \epsilon_{EFT} \cdot J^{NLO} + \epsilon_{EFT}^{a} J^{N^{a}LO} with a > 1$
 - ► LO single nucleon current
 - ► NLO corrections to single nucleon currents
 - NLO or higher orders include <u>2-body currents</u> (magnetic – NLO, weak axial – N^{7/4÷3}LO)

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mu\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \tau_i^{-} \left[\delta^{\mu 0} J_{i,1b}^0 - \delta^{\mu k} J_{i,1b}^k \right] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i)$$

$$J_{i,1b}^0(p^2) = 1 - g_A \frac{\mathbf{P} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i}{2m},$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{i,1b}(p^2) = g_A \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i + i \kappa_V \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i \times \mathbf{p}}{2m},$$
Exchange currents

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

NUCLEAR CORRECTIONS – SMALL PARAMETERS

Small parameter #1:
$$\epsilon_q=\frac{qR}{\hbar c}\approx 10^{-2}$$
 - multipole expansion

Small parameter #2: $\epsilon_{EFT} \approx 0.3$ - systematic uncertainty in the nuclear model.

Small parameter #3: $\epsilon_{NR} = \frac{P_{nucleon}}{M} \approx 0.05 - 0.2$ Non-relativistic expansion of currents.

Small parameter #4: $\epsilon_{recoil} = \frac{q}{M} \approx 0.001$ nucleaon recoil.

Small parameter #5: $\epsilon_{\pi} = \frac{\omega q}{m_{\pi}^2} \approx 10^{-4}$ Pseudo-scalar poles.

Small parameter #6: $\epsilon_{lpha} = \alpha Z_f \approx 10^{-2} - 1$ Coulomb corrections.

Small parameter #7: ϵ_{solver} numerical error in the solution of the Schrödinger equation

For precision beta decays, at least the leading correction needs to be calculated explicitly to reach experimental sensitivity.

NUCLEAR CORRECTIONS – SMALL PARAMETERS

Small parameter #1:
$$\epsilon_q=\frac{qR}{\hbar c}\approx 10^{-2}$$
 - multipole expansion

Small parameter #2: $\epsilon_{EFT} pprox 0.3$ - systematic uncertainty in the nuclear model.

Small parameter #3: $\epsilon_{NR} = \frac{P_{nucleon}}{M} \approx 0.05 - 0.2$ Non-relativistic expansion of currents.

Small parameter #4: $\epsilon_{recoil} = \frac{q}{M} \approx 0.001$ nucleaon recoil.

Small parameter #5: $\epsilon_{\pi} = \frac{\omega q}{m_{\pi}^2} \approx 10^{-4}$ Pseudo-scalar poles.

Small parameter #6: $\epsilon_{lpha} = \alpha Z_f \approx 10^{-2} - 1$ Coulomb corrections.

Small parameter #7: ϵ_{solver} numerical error in the solution of the Schrödinger equation

For precision beta decays, at least the leading correction needs to be calculated explicitly to reach experimental sensitivity.



Discrepancy between experimental and theoretical β -decay rates resolved from first principles

P. Gysbers^{1,2}, G. Hagen^{3,4}, J. D. Holt¹, G. R. Jansen^{3,5}, T. D. Morris^{3,4,6}, P. Navrátil¹, T. Papenbrock^{3,4}, S. Quaglioni⁷, A. Schwenk^{8,9,10}, S. R. Stroberg^{1,11,12} & K. A. Wendt⁷

Frontier: Chiral EFT for electroweak currents

consistent electroweak one- and two-body (meson-exchange) currents

magnetic moments in light nuclei Pastore et al. (2012-)







<u>**Conclusion</u>: for many nuclei,** it is possible to calculate nuclear matrix elements to better than <u>10%</u> accuracy</u>

two-body currents are key for quenching puzzle of beta decays



Frontier: Chiral EFT for electroweak currents

consistent electroweak one- and two-body (meson-exchange) currents

magnetic moments in light nuclei Pastore et al. (2012-)





two-body currents are key for quenching puzzle of beta decays



NUCLEUS INTERACTION WITH A PROBE, EFT POINT OF VIEW:

41





AB-INITIO CALCULATION OF 6HE BETA DECAY INTO 6LI

Pure Gamow-Teller





AB-INITIO CALCULATION OF ⁶HE BETA DECAY INTO ⁶LI

$$d\omega \propto \left(1 + a_{\beta\nu}\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu} + b_{\rm F}\frac{m_e}{\epsilon}\right) \left|\left\langle\psi_f\|\hat{L}_J\|\psi_i\right\rangle\right|^2$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{1}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[-E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &- \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{233}{630} \left(\alpha Z_{f} \right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{4}{3} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[2E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &+ \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{2}{5} E_{0} R\alpha Z_{f}, \\ \delta_{b}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} m_{e} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[\frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$



AB-INITIO CALCULATION OF 6HE BETA DECAY INTO 6LI

$$d\omega \propto \left(1 + a_{\beta\nu}\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu} + b_{\rm F}\frac{m_e}{\epsilon}\right) \left|\langle\psi_f\|\hat{L}_J\|\psi_i\rangle\right|^2$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{1}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[-E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &- \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{233}{630} \left(\alpha Z_{f} \right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{4}{3} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[2E_{0} \frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right] \\ &+ \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{2}{5} E_{0} R\alpha Z_{f}, \\ \delta_{b}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} m_{e} \Re \mathfrak{e} \left[\frac{\langle \| \hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle \| \hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q \| \rangle}{\langle \| \hat{L}_{1}^{A} \| \rangle} \right], \end{split}$$

$$(4)$$





5.0



AB-INITIO CALCULATION OF 6HE BETA DECAY INTO 6LI

$$d\omega \propto \left(1 + a_{\beta\nu}\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu} + b_{\rm F}\frac{m_e}{\epsilon}\right) \left|\left\langle\psi_f\|\hat{L}_J\|\psi_i\right\rangle\right|^2$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{1}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{Re} \left[-E_{0} \frac{\langle \|\hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \|\hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} \right] \\ &- \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{233}{630} \left(\alpha Z_{f} \right)^{2}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{4}{3} \mathfrak{Re} \left[2E_{0} \frac{\langle \|\hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \left(E_{0} - 2E \right) \frac{\langle \|\hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} \right] \\ &+ \frac{4}{7} ER\alpha Z_{f} - \frac{2}{5} E_{0} R\alpha Z_{f}, \\ \delta_{b}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3} m_{e} \mathfrak{Re} \left[\frac{\langle \|\hat{C}_{1}^{A}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} + \sqrt{2} \frac{\langle \|\hat{M}_{1}^{V}/q\| \rangle}{\langle \|\hat{L}_{1}^{A}\| \rangle} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$\tag{4}$$





 $|\langle \Psi_f \| L_1^A(q) \| \Psi_i \rangle|^2$



ESTIMATING ϵ_{EFT} **IN THE 6HE CASE**



Using the recent King et al, PRC (2023), who go further in EFT (exchange currents) validates this estimate, and allows reaching higher accuracy!

Glick-Magid, Forssén, Gazda, DG, Gysbers & Navrátil, (PLB 2022)

AB-INITIO CALCULATION OF ⁶HE BETA DECAY INTO ⁶LI





 $^{6}HE \rightarrow ^{6}LI - ANGULAR CORRELATION$

Experiments are aiming at ~few 0.1% precision.



האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

•
$$a_{\beta\nu} = -\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \tilde{\delta}_a + \frac{|C_T|^2 + |C_T'|^2}{2|C_A|^2} \right)$$

$$a_{\beta\nu}^{\text{measured}} = \frac{a_{\beta\nu}}{1+b_F\langle \frac{m_e}{F} \rangle}$$

$$a_{\beta\nu} = a_{\beta\nu}^{\text{measured}} - a_{\beta\nu}^{\text{GT}} \left(\left\langle \tilde{\delta}_a^{1^+\beta^-} \right\rangle - b_{\text{F}}^{1^+\beta^-} \left\langle \frac{m_e}{E} \right\rangle \right)$$
$$= a_{\beta\nu}^{\text{measured}} - 0.70 \, (24) \cdot 10^{-3},$$

Using the recent King et al, PRC (2023), reduces the uncertainty 5-fold!

Glick-Magid, Forssén, Gazda, DG, Gysbers & Navrátil, PLB 2022

⁶He \rightarrow ⁶Li INDUCED FIERZ-LIKE SPECTRAL TERM



The spectrum is used to find induced Fierz-like behavior term

$$b_{\rm F} = 0 + \delta_b^{n} + \frac{C_T^* + C_T^{\prime*}}{C_A}$$

• Looking for
$$\frac{C_T^* + C_T^{\prime *}}{c_A} \sim 10^{-3}$$

•
$$\delta_b = -1.46(17) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

• Uncertainty $< 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Using the recent King et al, PRC (2023), reduces the uncertainty 5-fold!

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים

$^{6}\text{HE} \rightarrow ~^{6}\text{LI}$ induced fierz-like spectral term





Using the recent King et al, PRC (2023), reduces the uncertainty 5-fold!

האוניברסיטה העברית בירושלים



NUCLEAR BETA DECAY EXPERIMENTS IN SEARCH FOR BSM PHYSICS (2019)

Energy spectrum

Measurement	Transition Type	Nucleus	Institution/Collaboration	Goal
β spectrum	GT	¹¹⁴ In	MiniBETA-Krakow-Leuven	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT	6 He	LPC-Caen	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT	⁶ He, ²⁰ F	NSCL-MSU	0.1~%
β spectrum	GT, F, Mixed	${}^{6}\text{He}, {}^{14}\text{O}, {}^{19}\text{Ne}$	He6-CRES	0.1~%

TABLE III. List of nuclear β -decay spectral measurements in search for non-SM physics ^a

^a Experiments specifically searching for time-reversal symmetry violation not listed here

Angular correlation

Measurement	Transition Type	Nucleus	Institution/Collaboration	Goal
$\beta - \nu$	F	³² Ar	Isolde-CERN	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	F	³⁸ K	TRINAT-TRIUMF	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	GT, Mixed	⁶ He ²³ Ne	SARAF	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	\mathbf{GT}	⁸ B, 1	ANL	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	F	²⁰ Mg, ²⁴ Si, ²⁸ S, ³² Ar,	TAMUTRAP-Texas A&M	0.1~%
$\beta - \nu$	Mixed	¹¹ C, ¹³ N, ¹⁵ O, ¹⁷ F	Notre Dame	$0.5 \ \%$
β & recoil	Mixed	³⁷ K	TRINAT-TRIUMF	0.1~%
asymmetry				

TABLE I. List of nuclear β -decay correlation experiments in search for non-SM physics ^a

^a Experiments specifically searching for time-reversal symmetry violation not listed here

V. Cirigliano, A. Garcia, DG, O. Naviliat-Cuncic, G. Savard, A. Young, Precision beta decay as a probe of new physics, arXiv:1907.02164 (2019).



BETA DECAY OF ²³NE INTO ²³NA: PRELIMINARY

Novel <u>SARAF</u> measurement together with reanalysis of Carlson's old measurements allow a joint assessment of $a_{\beta\nu}$ and b_F simultaneously for ⁶He and ²³Ne



Mishnayot, Glick-Magid, DG et al, in prep.



Some preliminary thoughts on future opportunities



"This could be the discovery of the century. Depending, of course, on how far down it goes."

. ! ...

ISOTOPES TO BE PRODUCED @ SARAF-II (2025)





NEW EFFORTS AT HUJI: UNIQUE FIRST FORBIDDEN DECAY OF 90Y INTO 90ZR56

- Unique first forbidden decay with 2.3 MeV end-point
- Efficient production method.
- ► Feasible calculation to 10% accuracy.





NEW EFFORTS AT HUJI: ¹³¹CS ELECTRON CAPTURE ASYMMETRIES

- The HUNTER experiment is a large-scale experiment (located at UCLA) designed to search for sterile neutrinos using trapped ¹³¹Cs.
- ¹³¹Cs decays via electron capture (EC). EC is a two-body decay, and as such it is significantly simpler to analyze than β-decay reactions, amenable to complete kinematical reconstruction.
- We intend to use HUNTER infrastructure to study the asymmetries in the capture, which are sensitive to various BSM couplings.
- This would be the first BSM constraint from EC decay, and we expect 0.5% precision.
- Calculation are feasible to few~10% accuracy via shell-model. cf. 131Xe.





NEW OPPORTUNITIES IN BETA DECAYS WITH VERY LOW ENERGY ENDPOINTS

- The energy endpoints of beta decays range a few orders of magnitude.
- ► Low endpoints have increased sensitivities in certain cases (see neutrino mass measurements).



NEW OPPORTUNITIES IN BETA DECAYS WITH VERY LOW ENERGY ENDPOINTS

- The energy endpoints of beta decays range a few orders of magnitude.
- Low endpoints have increased sensitivities in certain cases (see neutrino mass measurements).
- In particular, for nuclear recoil, terms imitating the spectral behavior of Fierz-term $\frac{m_e}{E_e}$ are significantly enhanced, while other recoil correction are significantly suppressed.

$$\frac{d\omega^{1^{+}\beta^{-}}}{d\epsilon\frac{d\Omega_{k}}{4\pi}\frac{d\Omega_{\nu}}{4\pi}} \propto \left[1 + a_{\beta\nu}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}}\vec{\beta}\cdot\hat{\nu} + b_{F}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}}\frac{m_{e}}{\epsilon}\right] \qquad a_{\beta\nu}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} = -\frac{1}{3}\left(1 + \tilde{\delta}_{a}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}}\right) \qquad b_{F}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} = \delta_{b}^{1^{+}\beta^{-}} = \delta_{b}^{1^{+}$$



60

NEW OPPORTUNITIES IN BETA DECAYS WITH VERY LOW ENERGY ENDPOINTS

- The energy endpoints of beta decays range a few orders of magnitude.
- Low endpoints have increased sensitivities in certain cases (see neutrino mass measurements).
- In particular, terms like $\frac{\alpha Z}{\left(\frac{p_e}{m_e}\right)}$ and $\frac{m_e}{E_e}$ create enhanced sensitivity to new BSM coupling terms:

In particular we suggest that **concurrent study of triton and neutron** decay can significantly enhance BSM constraints DG (in prep 2023)



SUMMARY

- Nuclear beta decays are an important front for "new physics" discoveries.
- ► New experiments will have <0.1% precision.
- ► In order for theory to reach these precision levels explicit calculations of nuclear corrections are needed.
- A complete formalism was built to assess theory accuracy, with particular emphasis on the EFT systematic uncertainty.
- Coming years hold the premise for many cutting-edge efforts that will constrain BSM physics at energies comparable to the LHC.

