Physics Analysis activities at the epice experiment



Salvatore Fazio

Università della Calabria & INFN Cosenza





BNL-INT Joint Workshop: Bridging Theory and Experiment at the Electron-Ion Collider INT, Seattle - June 2-6, 2025

EIC science pillars



The EIC will unravel the different contribution from the quarks, gluons and orbital angular momentum

SPIN is one of the fundamental properties of matter. All elementary particles, but the Higgs carry spin. Spin cannot be explained by a static picture of the proton It is the interplay between the intrinsic properties and interactions of quarks and gluons



Does the mass of visible matter emerge from quark-gluon interactions?

Atom: Binding/Mass = 0.00000001 Nucleus: Binding/Mass = 0.01 Proton: Binding/Mass = 100

For the proton the EIC will determine an important term contributing to the proton mass, the socalled "QCD trace anomaly



How can we understand their dynamical origin in QCD? What is the relation to Confinement How are the guarks

and gluon distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon & nuclei? How do the nucleon

from them and their interactions?



How do the confined hadronic states emerge from quarks and gluons?

Is the structure of a free and bound nucleon the same?

giuons, interact with

a nuclear medium? How do the quarkgluon interactions create nuclear binding Quark-

do physics he

 $k_T \; \varphi(\textbf{x}, \, k_T^2)$



know how to do physics here



What happens to the gluon density in nuclei? Does it saturate at high energy?

How many gluons can fit in a proton?

How does a dense

gluons, their

correlations, and their

affect the quarks and

d Fram

What process must be measured?

DIS event kinematics - scattered electron or final state particles (CC DIS, low y)



> 20 years long pathway!



The Electron-Ion Collider

A DOE approved project! Could be the only new collider in the coming ~20-30 years

- ✓ Add a 5 to 18 GeV electron storage ring
- ✓ Two interaction regions, IP6 and IP8
- ✓ High Luminosity: 10³³ -10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ (~10²-10³ * HERA)
- ✓ Flexible √s = 29-141 GeV (per nucleon)
- ✓ Highly polarized (~70%) e^{\uparrow} , p^{\uparrow} , d^{\uparrow} , He^{\uparrow} , flexible spin pattern
- ✓ Wide variety of nuclear beams: (D to U)

World's first Polarized electron-proton/light ion and electron-Nucleus collider



5

The epice detector



Tracking

- New 1.7 T solenoid
- Si MAPS (vertex, barrel, forward, backward disks)
- MPGDs (µRWELL/µMegas) (barrel, forward, backward disks)

Particle identification

- High performance DIRC (barrel)
- Dual radiator (aerogel+gas) RICH (forward)
- Proximity focusing RICH (aerogel) (backward)
- TOF (~30ps): AC-LGAD (barrel and forward)

E.M. Calorimetry

- Imaging EMCAL (barrel)
- W-powder/ScFi (forward)
- PbWO₄ crystals (backward)

Hadronic Calorimetry

- Fe/Scint reuse from sPHENIX (barrel)
- Steel/Scint W/Scint (backwards/forward)

DAQ: streaming/triggerless with AI

Far forward/backwards detectors







Structure of the epice Physics Working Groups

ANALYSIS COORDINATORS

Salvatore Fazio (Cosenza) - Rachel Montgomery (Glasgow) Rosi Reed (Lehigh) - deputy

INCLUSIVE PHYSICS

Tyler Kutz (MIT) Stephen Maple (Birmingham)

SEMI-INCLUSIVE PHYSICS

Stefan Diehl (UConn) Ralf Seidl (RIKEN)

JETS AND HEAVY FLAVOR

Olga Evdokimov (UIC) Rongrong Ma (BNL)

EXCLUSIVE, DIFFRACTION AND TAGGING

Raphael Dupre (Orsay) Zhoudunming Tu (BNL)

BSM AND PRECISION EW

Ciprian Gal (JLab) Juliette Memmei (Manitoba)

- Each PWG convener is for a two-years term staggered
- Conveners in blue are ending their term in July 2025
- PWGs typically meet by-weekly

Mailing list: eic-projdet-Inclusive-I@lists.bnl.gov Indico: <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/category/417/</u>

Mailing list: eic-projdet-semiincl-l@lists.bnl.gov Indico: https://indico.bnl.gov/category/418/

Mailing list: <u>eic-projdet-jethf-l@lists.bnl.gov</u> Indico: https://indico.bnl.gov/category/420/

Mailing list: eic-projdet-excldiff-l@lists.bnl.gov Indico: <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/category/419/</u>

Mailing list: eic-projdet-semiincl-l@lists.bnl.gov Indico: <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/category/421/</u>

epic Technical Design Report

pre-TDR (60% design completion) \Rightarrow December 2025

TDR (90% design completion) \implies ~ late 2026

- (pre)TDR are a deliverable of the EIC Project (project manager acts as editor)
 - describe the accelerator + ePIC experiment
 - Chapter 8: (hundreds pages) focus on the ePIC Detector Description, basic performance, Software, and data preservation
 - Chapter 2: (~60 pager) focus on holistic detector performance, physics performance and science reach
 - Holistic detector performance \rightarrow Technical Coordinator office acts as editor
 - Physics and science reach \rightarrow Analysis Coordinators act as editors
 - We envision the **publication of a spin—off physics performance paper(s)**

28 June 2024

EIC Early Science Report

- Previous workshops:
 - Sep. 13, 2024: <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/24432/</u>
 - Jan. 2025, plenary at Coll. Meeting: https://agenda.infn.it/event/43344/timetable/#20250122.detailed
 - Apr. 2025, CFNS @ Stony Brook: <u>https://indico.cfnssbu.physics.sunysb.edu/event/410/overview</u>
- Goal of this exercise:
 - Highlight *meaningful* and *impactful* science within early years of running without undermining the importance of achieving full EIC capabilities
 - *Meaningful*: The EIC early science program must engage the collaboration; it must get the collaboration excited about working hard for the future. It must have a balance of *breadth* and *depth*
 - *Impactful*: The EIC early science program must take the first steps down the path to realizing the EIC science goals
- Deliverable:
 - Report to be published by the ePIC Collaboration (~mid 2026)

EIC Early Science Matrix

- What machine capabilities can we expect for Early Science?
 - See Sergei Nagaitzev's talk in the first Early Science Workshop: <u>https://indico.bnl.gov/event/24432/</u>
- Matrix based on latest news by the Project:
 - See Elke Aschenauer's talk at the Collab. Meeting in Frascati: <u>https://agenda.infn.it/event/43344/contributions/250126/</u>

	Species	Energy (GeV)	Luminosity/year (fb-1)	Electron polarization	p/A polarization
YEAR 1	e+Ru or e+Cu	10 x 115	0.9	NO (Commissioning)	N/A
YEAR 2	e+D e+p	10 x 130	11.4 4.95 - 5.33	LONG	NO TRANS
YEAR 3	e+p	10 x 130	4.95 - 5.33	LONG	TRANS and/or LONG
YEAR 4	e+Au e+p	10 x 100 10 x 250	0.84 6.19 - 9.18	LONG	N/A TRANS and/or LONG
YEAR 5	e+Au e+3He	10 x 100 10 x 166	0.84 8.65	LONG	N/A TRANS and/or LONG
Note: the eA luminosity is per nucleon					

NB: ePIC installation plan calls for the full ePIC to be installed year-1 (exception for roman pots and OMD) 12



Highlights on the performance on some physics measurements of the epicedetector

ALL IS A WORK IN PROGRESS!

 Software framework, event reconstruction, tools... are being finalized and are evolving as we speak!

Scientific goals: origin of the mass of visible matter

- Gluons have no mass and quarks are very light, but nucleons and nuclei are heavy, making up for most of the visible mass in the Universe
- Visible matter only made of constituents of light mass: masses emerge from quark-gluon dynamics

Proton (valence quarks: uud) $\rightarrow m_p = 940 \text{ MeV}$

- The mass is dominated by the energy of highly relativistic gluonic field
- EIC can determine an important contribution term to the proton mass, the so-called "QCD trace anomaly" → accessible in exclusive reactions (e.g. Y photoproduction near threshold)

Key detector performance:

- Acceptance and low material for VM decay leptons
- Resolution of lepton pair inv. mass
- Muon id





ePIC performance: Y production



- Sensitivity to gluon distributions
- Challenges: tracking resolution is crucial
- \circ First studies at low Q^2
 - Ratio yields 1 : 0.45 : 0.33 from STARlight paper
 - Fitted with the Double-Sided Crystal Ball function
 - $m_{\Upsilon nS} = m_{\Upsilon 1S} \frac{\text{PDGmass}_{nS}}{\text{PDGmass}_{1S}}$



 $\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(2S), \Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow e^+e^-$

left -> **right**: Different rapidity intervals

Scientific goals: proton PDFs



Proton PDFs @ EIC

- $F_2(x, Q^2)$ largely studied at HERA
- Nevertheless, a better precision often needed for precise calculations!
 - --> explore specific kinematics
- EIC impact on HERA + LHC global fits, as estimated at the times of detector proposals in 2022 (ATHENA)
- High-x region: constrain of both gluons and flavor-separated valence quarks

Key detector performance:

- Electron ID
- Fine y resolution over large phase space

ePIC performance: DIS kinematics with ePIC



Scientific goals: nuclear PDFs



E.C. Aschenauer, S. F., M.A.C. Lamont, H. Paukkunen, P. Zurita [Phys. Rev. D 96, 114005 (2017)]

Inclusive DIS in e+A

- tag the scattered electron
- **O** Charm production:
- Direct access to gluons at medium to high x by tagging photon-gluon fusion
- □ The EIC provides a factor ~10 larger reach in Q^2 and at low-*x* compared to available data

➢ Higher √s energy constrains gluons at mid- and low-x
➢ charm has a dramatic effect at high-x

Key detector performance:

- Vertexing (for charm tagging)
- Electron ID
- Fine resolution in y over a large phase space

ePIC performance: Open D⁰ reconstruction with ePIC



Scientific goals: source of the proton's spin





- **Observable:** double spin asymmetries
- **DIS** scaling violations determine gluons at small x



orb. angular momentum



ePIC performance: Double Spin Asymmetries - A_1^p

Fully simulated A_1^p determination

- \circ Realistic eID
 - Electron method
 - Acceptance and Bin migrations from simulation

 \circ A_1^p calculated according to parametrization

$$\begin{split} A_{||} &= \frac{\sigma_{\downarrow\uparrow} - \sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\downarrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\uparrow\uparrow}} \quad A_{\perp} = \frac{\sigma_{\downarrow\Rightarrow} - \sigma_{\uparrow\Rightarrow}}{\sigma_{\downarrow\Rightarrow} + \sigma_{\uparrow\Rightarrow}} \\ &\to A_1 \approx g_1 / F_1 \end{split}$$

Q2 > 2 GeV2, W > 4 GeV2 0.05 < y < 0



Multidimensional imaging of quarks and gluons

Wigner functions offer unprecedented insight into confinement and chiral symmetry breaking $W(x,b_T,k_T)$ ∫d²k_⊤ ∫d²b_⊤ Coordinate Momentum k_T space space xp $f(x,b_T)$ $f(x,k_T)$ Spin-dependent 2D coordinate space Spin-dependent 3D momentum space (transverse) + 1D (longitudinal momentum) images from semi-inclusive scattering images from exclusive scattering → Transverse-Momentum Depentent \rightarrow Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) distributions (TMDs)



Scientific goals: GPDs



Spin-½ hadron: **4 chiral-even** (H, E and their polarizedhadron versions \tilde{H}, \tilde{E}) and **4 chiral-odd** ($H_T, E_T, \tilde{H}_T, \tilde{E}_T$) quark and gluon **GPDs at leading twist**

Like usual PDFs, GPDs are non-perturbative functions defined via the matrix elements of parton operators:

$$F^{q} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ix\bar{P}^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{q}(-\frac{1}{2}z)\gamma^{+}q(\frac{1}{2}z) | p \rangle |_{z^{+}=0,\mathbf{z}=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\bar{P}^{+}} \left[H^{q}(x,\xi,t,\mu^{2})\bar{u}(p')\gamma^{+}u(p) + E^{q}(x,\xi,t,\mu^{2})\bar{u}(p')\frac{i\sigma^{+\alpha}\Delta_{\alpha}}{2m_{N}}u(p) \right]$$

• Experimental access to GPDs via Compton Form Factors (CFFs)

$$\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \sum_{q} e_q^2 \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, H^q(x,\xi,t) \left(\frac{1}{\xi - x - i\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\xi + x - i\varepsilon}\right)$$



Accessing GPDs in exclusive processes

Real photon (DVCS):

- Very clean experimental signature
- No VM wave-function uncertainty
- Hard scale provided by Q^2
- Access to the whole set of GPDs
- Sensitive to both quarks and gluons [via Q² dependence of xsec (scaling violation)]

Hard Exclusive Meson Production (HEMP):

- Uncertainty of wave function
- Hard scale provided by $Q^2 + M^2$
- J/Psi, Y \rightarrow direct access to gluons, $c\overline{c}$, or $b\overline{b}$ pairs produced via q(g) - g fusion
- Light VMs → quark-flavor separation
- Psedoscalars → helicity-flip GPDs





Key detector performance:

- γ/π^0 separ. in ECAL for DVCS
- Acceptance and low material for VM decay leptons
- Resol. of lepton pair invariant mass
- Scattered electrons over full kinematics 24
- *t*-lever arm in FF spectrometers

ePIC performance: proton momentum via f.f. spectrometers

 $t = -(p' - p)^2$, in exclusive and diffractive processes is directedly measured via far forward trackers, roman pots (RPs) and BO ep 10x100 GeV



Reconstructed *t* not corrected for acceptance Optimization studies ongoing!

Scientific goals: TMDs

TMDs surviving integration over k_T

Time-reversal odd TMDs describing strength of spin-orbit correlations

Chiral odd TMDs

Note: off-diagonal part vanishes without parton's transverse motion



Non-zero strength of spin-orbit correlations \rightarrow indication of parton OAM

- Sivers: correlations of transverse-spin direction and the parton transverse momentum
- Boer-Mulders: correlations of parton transverse spin and parton transverse momentum
- Collins: fragmentation of a transversely polarized parton into a final-state hadron

Scientific goals: TMDs

EIC will access TMDs primarily through SIDIS for single hadrons, as well as other semi-inclusive processes with the production of di-hadrons and jets

What we want to measure:



- 6-fold differential cross sections in SIDIS
- Azimuthal asymmetries and their modulations

EIC envisions a rich program to probe spin-orbit effects within the proton and during hadronization, and explore the 3D spin structure of the proton in momentum space

 Extends the SiDIS kinematic coverage of an order ~2 in both x and Q²

Key detector performance:

- Azimuthal acceptance
- PID
- Acceptance
- Vertexing (heavy flavor)
- Quality of tracking
- HCal (for jets)

EIC Yellow Report: kin. reach for Sivers and Collins Current data for Collins and Sivers asymmetry: 104 COMPASS h[±]: P_{bT} < 1.6 GeV/c HERMES π^{0,±}, K[±]: P_{bT} < 1 GeV/c</p> JLab Hall-A n⁺: Phy < 0.45 GeV/c JLab 12 10^{3} STAR 500 GeV -1 < η < 1 Collins O STAR 200 GeV -1 < n < 1 Collins</p> (GeV²) STAR 500 GeV 1 < n < 4 Collins</p> □ STAR 200 GeV 1 < n < 4 Collins STAR W bosons 10 -VS= 140 GeV. 0.01 × 1 × 0.95 05 10 10-3 10⁻² 10-4 10х





Expected statistical/total uncertainty of un-polarized TMD PDFs for π +

- Inner (outer) circles: statistical(total) uncertainty
- Colors: beam energy configuration with highest statistics in a bin



Uncertainties based on the MAP24 global TMD fit

- Lighter shades: based on existing data
- Darker shades: after including ePIC data

ePIC performance: Collins Asymmetries



- Collins asymmetries can be obtained from identified hadrons within jets
- The Collins FF plotted vs the fractional hadron momentum z and transverse momentum relative to the jet momentum and its axis
- Projections assume a 10 fb⁻¹ luminosity



- **Collins Asymmetry:** effect due to convolution of quark transversity (h_1^q) and Collins FF $(H_{1\pi/q}^{\perp})$
 - Advantage of jet+hadron Collins over single hadron SIDIS:
 - jets provide proxy for fragmenting parton

ePIC performance: Collins Asymmetries

ep @ 10x100, *L* = 100 fb⁻¹



• Projected statistical precision for π, K , Collins Asymmetry

- transversity (h_1^q) and Collins FF $(H_{1\pi/q}^{\perp})$
 - Advantage of jet+hadron Collins over single hadron SIDIS: jets provide proxy for fragmenting parton

Matter of Defigitionation of Harmer (II)



Scientific goals: gluon saturation



ePIC performance: DVMP in e-A



 $eAu \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$

- \circ Coherent electroproduction of ϕ meson in eA
- o Sensitivity to gluon saturation
- Challenges: PID and FF detectors crucial to measure the decay kaons, reconstruct |t| and **veto the incoherent part**
- Figure: challenge of vetoing incoherent still not overcome
- ... but ongoing studies (not yet shown) are very promising for improving the reconstruction of the minima in the coherent xsec.



Scientific goals: jets as a versatile probe

o Jets are extremely powerful probes!

- Dynamically generated, sensitive to *many* scales
- Good proxy for parton kinematics
- Like SIDIS (multiple particles in FS), but also encode correlations between particles
 - → Via both jet clustering & substructure
- $\circ~$ Can provide input on all areas of EIC physics program
 - (n)PDFs,
 - > e.g. PRD 102, 074015 (2020)
 - Spin/flavor structure of nuclei,
 - > e.g. PRD 103, 074023 (2021)
 - Saturation/extreme parton density,
 - > e.g. PRL 116, 202301 (2016)
 - TMDs/GPDs,
 - > e.g. PRL 116, 202301 (2016)
 - Cold nuclear matter effects,
 - > e.g. <u>arXiv:2308.08143</u>



ePIC performance: jets Energy Scale/Resolution



- Above: JES (left) & JER (right) for charged jets
 - Reco jets from tracks, truth jets from stable final particles
 - Jets matched via $\Delta R = \Delta \phi \oplus \Delta \eta < 0.1$



→ Note: baseline particle flow algorithm a development priority for 2025

Jet Energy Resolution Vs Energy



ePIC performance: jet A_{LL}



- **Double-spin asymmetry** $(A_{LL}): (\sigma_{\exists} \sigma_{\natural})/(\sigma_{\exists} + \sigma_{\natural})$
 - Measured $A_{LL} \propto$ sum of convolutions of parton helicity distributions
 - Provides crucial constraints on polarized PDFs

A_LL Statistical Errors Vs Jet Eta



- Figures: projected statistical precision for jet A_{LL}
 - CoM energy & luminosity approximate the anticipated *e*+*p* conditions in years 2, 3 of EIC

(an ePIC) Summary

✓ The EIC provides an unprecedented opportunity for the ultimate understanding of QCD

- Over two decades, the nuclear physics community has developed the scientific and technical case for the Electron-Ion Collider
- It might be the only new collider in the world for the next decades
- ✓ The ePIC Collaboration was formed in Spring '22 with a successful merging of several proposal efforts
 - ePIC is approved as part of the EIC project, and progressing according to schedule
 - The ePIC detector is an enormous undertaking that will require participation and expertise from both the US (Labs and Academia) communities, as well as the international contributions (60% of Institutions from abroad world-wide)

New excitement ahead

- Event reconstruction at the ePIC experiment being finalized & novel analysis tools being developed
 - New, more realistic, impact studies
 - TDR has a chapter on physics studies
 - Report on Early Science
- It is NOW the right time to join the efforts and get involved!



Tracking



- MAPS Tracker:
 - Small pixels (20 μm), low power consumption (<20 mW/cm²) and low material budget (0.05% to 0.55% X/X₀) per layer
 - Based on ALICE ITS3 development
 - Vertex layers optimized for beam pipe bake-out and ITS-3 sensor size
 - Forward and backward disks

• MPGD Layers:

- Provide timing and pattern recognition
- Cylindrical µMEGAs
- Planar μRWell's before hpDIRC Impact point and direction for ring seeding
- AC-LGAD TOF and AstroPix (BECAL):
 - Additional space point for pattern recognition / redundancy
 - Fast hit point / Low p PID





Streaming DAQ



- No External trigger
- All collision data digitized, but zero suppressed at FEB
- \circ Low / zero dead-time
- Event selection can be based on full data from all detectors (in real-time, or later)
- Collision data flow is independent and unidirectional
 - → no global latency requirements
- Avoiding hardware triggers avoids complex custom hardware and firmware