

# MAGNETIC FIELDS IN GRMHD SIMULATIONS OF BNS MERGERS

May 2022



Pedro Espino

Penn State

# INTRODUCTION

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
OOO	0000		OO
INTRODUCTION			

- → GW170817 + associated EM counterparts have proven crucial for understanding many phenomena including:
  - → BNS mergers as sites of short gamma-ray bursts (sGRBs)
  - $\rightarrow$  important constraints on the nuclear EOS

→ presently, the best methods for understanding the most extreme parts of the merger (the merger itself and the post-merger environment) reside within numerical relativity

→ the effect of magnetic fields during the different stages of the merger remain poorly understood, with only a handful of studies having been carried out

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000	00000	00

#### OPEN QUESTIONS: JET FORMATION AND SGRBS

What was the GRB central engine?

#### BH central engine



Ruiz et al., Astrophys.J.Lett. 824 (2016) 1, L6 Paschalidis et al., Astrophys.J.Lett. 806 (2015) 1, L14

<sup>1</sup>Ruiz et al., Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 12, 124049

<sup>2</sup>Ciolfi et al., Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 495 (2020) 1, L66-L70

Magnetar central engine



#### see also: Mosta et al., Astrophys.J.Lett. 901 (2020) L37

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
	0000	00000	OO
OPEN OUESTIONS: F.	JECTA AND KN		

- → For the typical post-merger magnetic energies, up to 0.1  $M_{\odot}$  of mass outflow at  $\sim 300 km$  (even if only a small fraction of this unbound, it can make up the majority of the ejecta)
- → With magnetic fields, ejecta is more collimated (mostly around half-opening angle of  $\theta \sim 30^{o}$ , and can be boosted to velocities of up to 0.2 *c*



## CHALLENGES

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	⊙●⊙⊙	00000	OO

#### FIELD AMPLIFICATION

- $\rightarrow\,$  Inspiral fields expected to be  $\sim 10^{10}-10^{12}\,G$
- ightarrow Post-merger fields can be amplified as high as  $10^{15} 10^{17} G$
- $\rightarrow$  Three relevant mechanisms for field amplification during and after merger include
  - → Magnetic winding: Arises from differential rotation, leads to linear field growth. Most relevant at large scales.
  - → Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) (exponential field growth): initial small scale amplification at shear layers



→ Magnetorotational instability (MRI) (exponential field growth): relevant for differentially rotating magnetized fluids. Results in large scale field structuring





- $\rightarrow\,$  our simulations can capture only part of this cascade
  - $\rightarrow$  below the length scale set by the computational grid, we cannot resolve the relevant fluid dynamics

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000		OO

SOLUTIONS: USUAL APPROACHES

How do we get strong magnetic fields in the post-merger environment?

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000	00000	00
SOLUTIONS: USUAL A Approximate (most-o	APPROACHES common) solution		
Superimpose unphysically the inspiral	large, simplified (dipole) magnetic $\lambda_{MRI} \approx \frac{2\pi B}{\Omega\sqrt{4\rho}}$	fields on fluid during (1)	

How do we get strong magnetic fields in the post-merger environment?

Introduction 0000	Challenges 0000	Recent results	Conclusions OO
SOLUTIONS: Approximate	USUAL APPROACHES (most-common) solution		
Superimpose ur	Improve accuracy of simulations		
the inspiral	<ul> <li>→ Use higher order schemes for fluid evolution</li> <li>→ Increase grid resolution. Highest resolution sim with smallest grid spacing Δx<sub>grid</sub> ~ 12.5m</li> <li>→ KHI was at least partially resoluted in these sizes</li> </ul>	ns to date carried out by Kiuchi and Shibata,	
	<ul> <li>→ Unphysically large inspiral B-fields still required</li> <li>→ Results do not converge!</li> </ul>	at this grid resolution ( $10^{13} - 10^{15}$ G)	
How do we	$ \begin{array}{c} 10^{16} \\  \hline \\  $	$\begin{array}{c} 10^{16} \\ \begin{array}{c} 10^{15} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	
	<sup>a</sup> Kiuchi et al., Phys.Rev.D 92 (2015) 6, 064034 <sup>b</sup> Kiuchi et al., Phys.Rev.D 97 (2018) 12, 124039		



<sup>a</sup>Radice, Astrophys.J.Lett. 838 (2017) 1, L2



## RECENT RESULTS

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000	0000	00

ightarrow We simulate BNS mergers with strong magnetic fields and finite- temperature equations of state.

EOS	$M_{\rm tot}~(M_{\odot})$	$R_{\rm NS}$ (km)	$B_{\max}(0)$ (G)	$M_{ m supra} \ (M_{\odot})$	$h_c$
LS220	2.7	10.02	$4.70  imes 10^{15}  { m G}$	2.42	0.2025
DD2	2.7	10.50	$3.96  imes 10^{15}  { m G}$	2.92	0.1861

- ightarrow Numerical grids identical during inspiral, resolve stars with 64 gridpoints/ $R_{
  m NS}$ .
- → At a time close to and before merger, we dynamically activate refined grids near the origin, where merger happens.
- $\rightarrow$  Focus:
  - 1. Field amplification
  - 2. Effects of amplified fields on merger ejecta

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000	00000	00

PLE, Paschalidis (in prep., 2022)



Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000	00000	00
			r

### EFFECT OF AMPLIFIED MAGNETIC FIELDS ON DYNAMICAL EJECTA



PLE, Paschalidis (in prep., 2022)

# CONCLUSIONS

Introduction	Challenges	Recent results	Conclusions
0000	0000		O

CONCLUSIONS

- → Magnetic fields are expected to play a role in both the total amount of ejecta and in changing relevant ejecta properties
- → Dynamically refining simulation grids allows for a *direct comparison* of ejecta properties due to stronger field amplification *during the merger*. Specifically:
  - $\rightarrow~$  outflow is resolved with same resolution in all cases
  - → magnetic fields are identical leading up to merger
- → Ongoing work:
  - $\rightarrow~$  allow MRI to develop (long-term simulations) and consider secular outflow
  - ightarrow consider convergence of magnetic field amplification with larger grid-refinement areas
  - → consider refining larger areas to better resolve KHI (during merger) and MRI (after merger)
  - → consider wider diversity of EOS models