Net strangeness and isospin fractions in the EoS as probed by protoneutron stars, binary neutron star mergers, and heavy ion collisions

Veronica Dexheimer



 Can we find a flexible common parametrization of the EOS, applicable to neutron star calculations and different types of heavy-ion collisions simulations?

Yes! Effective models:

 Can we find a flexible common parametrization of the EOS, applicable to neutron star calculations and different types of heavy-ion collisions simulations?

Yes! Effective models:

- flexibility in terms of conditions and ranges,
- input from many different constraints
- errors estimated from large parameter studies



- Can we find a flexible common parametrization of the EOS, applicable to neutron star calculations and different types of heavy-ion collisions simulations?
- What other observables could enable the extraction of the EOS?
  - tidal deformability measured with smaller error

- post-merger part of gravitational waves from neutronstar mergers PRL 122 (2019) 6, 061101 e-Print: <u>1807.03684</u>

- neutron star surface temperature e-Print: 2206.01679

#### Astrophysics vs. Heavy-Ion Collisions

- \* Fully evolved neutron stars cores:  $Y_Q=Q/B=0 \rightarrow 0.2$  (0.1)
- \* Heavy-ion collisions:  $Y_Q = 0.4 \rightarrow 0.5$  (0.5), also have  $Y_S = S/B = 0$
- \* Supernovae explosions/proto-neutron stars:  $Y_Q = 0.1 \rightarrow 0.5$  (0.4)
- \* Neutron-star mergers ?  $Y_Q < 0.15$



#### **Neutron Star Mergers**

\* 3D (T,n<sub>B</sub>,Y<sub>Q</sub>) CMF table with 1<sup>st</sup>-order phase transition into coupled Einstein-hydrodynamics system
(Frankfurt/IllinoisGRMHD code) EPJA 56 (2020) 2, 59 e-Print: 1910.13893



- \*  $\mu_Q (\neq \mu_e)$  doesn't grow much in absolute value, so doesn't  $Y_Q$
- \* Total strangeness (hyperons  $\rightarrow$  s-quarks) grows to  $Y_s \sim 40\%$

#### 3D QCD phase diagrams (Y<sub>s</sub>=0)

\*  $T, \tilde{\mu}, Y_Q$  with charge fraction  $Y_Q = Q/B = 0 \rightarrow 0.5$ and Gibbs free energy per baryon  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_B + Y_Q \mu_Q$ 

## 3D QCD phase diagrams (Y<sub>s</sub>=0)

- \* T,  $\tilde{\mu}$ ,  $Y_Q$  with charge fraction  $Y_Q = Q/B = 0 \rightarrow 0.5$ and Gibbs free energy per baryon  $\tilde{\mu} = \mu_B + Y_Q \mu_Q$
- \* Larger Y<sub>Q</sub> (at fixed T) pushes the phase transition to larger  $\widetilde{\mu}$
- \* Lower Y<sub>Q</sub> (at fixed T) pushes the phase transition to lower  $\widetilde{\mu}$ !
- Changes due to Y<sub>Q</sub> effects on stiffness (particle population) on each side



#### Weaker phase transition

\* Different parametrization Eur.Phys.J.A 58 (2022) 5,96 reproducing a much weaker phase transition ( $Y_S \neq 0$ ) enhances  $Y_Q$  effect



\* For small Y<sub>Q</sub>'s,  $\tilde{\mu} \sim \mu_{\rm B}$  (hadron)  $\sim \mu_{\rm B}$  (quark)

- Can we find a flexible common parametrization of the EOS, applicable to neutron star calculations and different types of heavy-ion collisions simulations?
- \* What other observables could enable the extraction of the EOS?
  - tidal deformability measured with smaller error

- post-merger part of gravitational waves from neutronstar mergers PRL 122 (2019) 6, 061101 e-Print: <u>1807.03684</u>

- neutron star surface temperature e-Print: 2206.01679

# Parametric approach

- More systematic parametric form for the speed of sound can help to determine neutronstar composition
- Maximum stellar mass and radius can determine width, density, and height of bumps

PRD 105 (2022) 2, 023018 e-Print: <u>2106.03890</u>



# Parametric approach

- More systematic parametric form for the speed of sound can help to determine neutronstar composition
- Maximum stellar mass and radius can determine width, density, and height of bumps, plus central density of stars



# With 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transition

- Zero speed of sound not ruled out by observation of massive stars
- But constrained by extremely massive objects

PRD 105 (2022) 2, 023018 e-Print: <u>2106.03890</u>



## Tidal deformability

\* Bumps tilt the mass-radius diagram



and the binary Love relations ( $\Lambda_{s,a} = (\Lambda_1 \pm \Lambda_2)/2$ )

*Phys.Rev.Lett.* 128 (2022) 16, 161101 e-Print: <u>2111.10260</u>

## Tidal deformability

Bumps and 1<sup>st</sup> –order phase transitions tilt the mass-radius diagram



and create structure in the binary Love relations: slope, hill, drop, and swoosh (associated with twin stars)

\* Structure could be observed in near future

*Phys.Rev.Lett.* 128 (2022) 16, 161101 e-Print: <u>2111.10260</u>

#### Conclusions and outlook

- Effective models are an ideal tool to construct multidimensional tables for any regime of Y<sub>Q</sub> and Y<sub>S</sub> to translate results between astrophysics and heavy-ion collisions
- At T=0 simpler tools can be used that allow us to interpret mass, radius, and <u>tidal deformability</u> as a measurement of the nuclear equation of state stiffness
- LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA are coordinating O4 observing run in March 2023

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)