Introduction

Calculation of gluon PDFs

Results 000000000 Acknowledgements

# Unpolarized gluon PDF for the proton using the twisted mass formulation

# **Joseph Delmar**

Department of Physics, Temple University

In collaboration with C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou

INT Workshop: Parton Distributions and Nucleon Structure

September 12, 2022





Joseph Delmar Temple University

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements

## Outline

#### 1 Introduction

- Motivation
- gPDFs on the Lattice

#### 2 Calculation of gluon PDFs

- The Pseudo-PDF Approach
- Lattice Setup

#### 3 Results

- Matrix Elements
- Double Ratio and Interpolation
- ITD Development
- Reconstructed Pseudo-PDF
- Future Work

#### 4 Acknowledgements

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
0			
Motivation			
Motivation			

- Gluon contributions to physical quantities play a critical role in hadron structure
- Gluon contributions can be large, eg. gluon momentum fraction  $\approx 40\%$
- Dedicated experimental efforts to understand gluonic structure of hadron

[Moffat et al, PRD 104, 016015 (2021)] [Ball et al, EPJC 77, 663 (2017)] [Accardi et al, PRD 93, 114017 (2016)]



Lattice studies of gPDFs can assist in constraining global analysis

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
00			
gPDFs on the Lattice			
gPDFs on the	e Lattice		

- Several challenges in extracting reliable results
  - purely disconnected diagram
  - at least an order of magnitude more statistics than quark counterparts
  - unavoidable mixing with quark singlet PDFs
- x-dependence of gluon PDFs even more challenging
- Inverse problem in reconstruction of x-dependence due to limited lattice data

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
	•••		
The Pseudo-PDF Approach			

# Pseudo-PDF Approach (in a nutshell)

- Matrix elements of non-local operators and momentum-boosted proton states
  - Several choices for the form of the gluon operator consisting of two field-strength tensors, separated by spatial distance z, and two straight Wilson lines, connecting points 0 → z and z → 0

 $M_{\mu i;\nu j}(P,z) = \langle N(P)|F_{\mu i}(z)W(z,0)F_{\nu j}(0)W(0,z)|N(P)\rangle$ 

- Choice of indices for F<sub>µν</sub> not unique
- This operator avoids finite mixing under renormalization
  - must subtract vacuum expectation value

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq 3} F_{i3}(x + z\hat{z}) W(x + z\hat{z}, x) F_{i3}(x) - \sum_{i \neq j \neq 3} F_{ij}(x + z\hat{z}) W(x + z\hat{z}, x) F_{ij}(x)$$

- Matrix elements extracted from ratio of 2pt- and 3pt- functions
- Ground state from plateau fit

$$\frac{C^{3\rho t}(t,\tau,0,\vec{P})}{C^{2\rho t}(t,0,\vec{P})} \stackrel{0 < <\tau < t}{=} \mathcal{M}(\nu,z^2)$$

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
	000		
The Pseudo-PDF Approach			

# Pseudo-PDF Approach (in a nutshell)

- $\blacksquare \ \mathfrak{M}$  Euclidean, renormalized in ratio scheme, scale 1/z
  - $\blacksquare$  want: Minkowski, renormalized in  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}},$  scale  $\mu$
  - we need matching to the light-cone, scheme conversion and evolution
- Form the double ratio (reduced ITD) with zero-momentum and local matrix elements for renormalization and to reduce higher twist contributions [Orginos et al., Phys.Rev.D 96 (2017) 9, 094503]

$$\mathfrak{M}(\nu, z^2) \equiv \left(\frac{M(\nu, z^2)}{M(\nu, 0)|_{z=0}}\right) \middle/ \left(\frac{M(0, z^2)|_{\rho=0}}{M(0, 0)|_{\rho=0, z=0}}\right)$$

Scale evolution and apply matching kernel on ITD

- neglect mixing with quark singlet
- normalize with  $\langle x \rangle_g$

$$\mathcal{Q}(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \mathfrak{M} + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du \, \mathfrak{M}(u\nu, z^2) \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{z^2 \mu^2 e^{2\gamma_E}}{4}\right) B(u) + 4 \left[\frac{u + \ln(\overline{u})}{\overline{u}}\right]_+ + \frac{2}{3} [1 - u^3]_+ \right\}$$

Reconstruct x-dependence (Backus-Gilbert, fitting ansatz, Fourier tansform, etc.)

$$Q(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \int_0^1 dx \cos(x\nu) x g(x, \mu^2)$$

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
	000		
Lattice Setup			

## Lattice Parameters and Statistics

- N<sub>f</sub>=2+1+1 ensemble of twisted-mass clover fermions and Iwasaki improved gluons
  - *m*<sub>π</sub> = 260 MeV
  - *a* = 0.09471(39) fm
  - $L^3 \times T = 32^3 \times 64$
  - $\blacksquare Lm_{\pi} = 4$
- Stout smearing ( $\omega = 0.129$ )
  - field-strength tensor: 10, 20 steps
  - Wilson line: 0, 10 steps
- Momentum smearing (optimized value  $\xi = 0.6$ ) used for P = 2, 3, 4 [Bali et al, PRD 93, 094515 (2016)]
- Excited states:
  - Numerical results relatively good up to t<sub>s</sub> = 10a
- Statistics
  - Average over all 6 spatial directions of Wilson line / momentum ( $\pm x, \pm y, \pm z$ )
  - Statistics much higher than quark PDFs

$ \mathbf{P_{3}} [\frac{2\pi}{L}]$	<b>P</b> 3  [GeV]	N <sub>confs</sub>	N <sub>src</sub>	N <sub>dir</sub>	Total statistics
0 to 4	0 - 1.67	1,134	200	6	1,360,800

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		00000000	
Matrix Elements			

## Matrix Elements: Excited States Contamination and Effect of $N_{\rm stout}$



- Various values of t<sub>s</sub> and two stout steps for the gluon operator
- Statistical errors increase with momentum boost and ts
- MEs have expected behavior (higher boosts decay faster to 0)
- Final results use *N*<sub>stout</sub> = 20

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		0000000	
Double Ratio and Interpolation			

# Double Ratio (Reduced ITD)





- Values at different t<sub>s</sub> are compatible within uncertainties
- Final results use t<sub>s</sub> = 9a
  - $z_{max} = 6a = 0.568$  fm motivated by signal
- Lattice data form a smooth function
  - Must interpolate for evolution and matching

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		0000000	
Double Ratio and Interpolation			

# Interpolation of Double Ratio

- We interpolate the double-ratio at each z to get a continuous function for the integration
  - interpolation done with linear and 2nd-order polynomial fits



- 2nd-order polynomial fits prove to be the most suitable for evolution and matching
- Choice of fit mostly irrelevant below z = 4a

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		00000000	
ITD Development			
ITD Developn	nent		

Apply the evolution kernel to the reduced matrix elements to the scale  $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ ahead of final conversion to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme and matching to the light-cone

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \mathfrak{M} + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du \ln(\frac{z^2 \mu^2 e^{2\gamma_E}}{4}) B(u) \mathfrak{M}(u\nu, z^2)$$



- Data from different (P, z) pairs fall on a universal curve
- We find good agreement up to z = 6a

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		00000000	
ITD Development			

# ITD Development

Apply the matching kernel to convert to  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$  scheme and match to the light-cone

$$\mathcal{Q}(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(\nu, z^2, \mu^2) + \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_0^1 du \ L(u) \mathfrak{M}(u\nu, z^2)$$



- We continue to find good agreement between common values of loffe time from different combinations of momenta and Wilson line lengths
- Matching effects in opposite direction of evolution

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		00000000	
ITD Development			
Development			

Average over common *ν* for final pseudo-ITD



■ No information remains regarding initial (*P*, *z*) pairs

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		000000000	
Reconstructed Pseudo-PDF			

# **PDF** Reconstruction

The fit is chosen by the minimization of

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\nu_{max}} \frac{\left(Q(\nu,\mu^{2}) - \int_{0}^{1} dx \cos(\nu x) N x^{a} (1-x)^{b}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{Q}^{2}(\nu,\mu^{2})}$$



- PDF is normalized using gluon momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_g^{\overline{MS}}(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.427(92)$ [Alexandrou et al, PRD 101, 094513 (2020)]
- Other reconstruction methods (naive Fourier-transform, Backus-Gilbert method) have proven less suitable [Bhat et al, PRD 103, 034510 (2021)]

Joseph Delmar Temple University

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		000000000	
Reconstructed Pseudo-PDF			

# Comparison with Other Works

 Comparison with lattice results from HadStruc collaboration [Khan et al, PRD 104, 094516 (2021)]

$$m_{\pi} = 358$$
 MeV,  $a = 0.094, L^3 imes T = 32^3 imes 64$ 

JAM20 global analysis [Moffat et al, PRD 104, 016015 (2021)],  $\langle x \rangle_g = 0.40(1)$ 



- We find agreement between all results
- This work:  $\nu_{max} = 4.71$

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
		00000000	
Future Work			
Future Work			

- Increasing calculation precision
- Increasing the range of accessed loffe times
- Addressing systematic effects
- Investigation of mixing with quark singlet PDFs



[Alexandrou et al, PRD 104, 054503 (2021)]

Calculations at physical point

Introduction	Calculation of gluon PDFs	Results	Acknowledgements
			•

### Acknowledgements

- This research is financial support by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, Early Career Award under Grant No. DE-SC0020405
- The calculations have been partly carried out on HPC resources supported in part by the National Science Foundation through major research instrumentation grant number 1625061 and by the US Army Research Laboratory under contract number W911NF-16-2-0189
- Computations for this work were carried out in part on facilities of the USQCD Collaboration, which are funded by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy.