INT Workshop INT-24-87W Electroweak and Beyond the Standard Model Physics at the EIC February 12-16 2024

BSM Searches at the Intensity Frontier — Theoretical Overview

Vincenzo Cirigliano University of Washington



INSTITUTE for NUCLEAR THEORY



- The quest for new physics and the intensity frontier
- Outlook: the EIC as an intensity frontier machine

Many thanks to Krishna Kumar and my collaborators!

• Beyond the Standard Model searches at the intensity frontier: the landscape

New physics: why?

• The SM is remarkably successful, but it's not the whole story



Credit: Fermilab

Addressing these puzzles requires new physics



Credit: X-ray: NASA/CXC/CfA/M.Markevitch et al.; Optical: NASA/STScl; Magellan/U.Arizona/ D.Clowe et al.; Lensing Map: NASA/STScI; ESO WFI; Magellan/U.Arizona/D.Clowe et al.

No Baryon Asymmetry, no Dark Matter, no Dark Energy, no Neutrino Mass Origin of flavor, Strong CP problem, Unification,...

New physics: where?



• Where is the new physics? Is it Heavy? Is it Light & weakly coupled?

I/Coupling

New physics: how?

Two complementary paths to search for new physics \bullet

Λ





I/Coupling

See talk by Michael Ramsey-Musolf



New physics: how?

Two complementary paths to search for new physics \bullet



I/Coupling

New physics: how?

• Two complementary paths to search for new physics



I/Coupling



I/Coupling

• Three classes, pushing the boundary in qualitatively different ways and at different mass scales

 \bullet



I/Coupling

Three classes, pushing the boundary in qualitatively different ways and at different mass scales

• • •

I. Searches for rare or SM-forbidden processes that probe approximate or exact symmetries of the SM (L, B, CP, L_a): $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, p decay, EDMs, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, $ep \rightarrow \tau X$),

 \bullet

I. Searches for rare or SM-forbidden processes that probe approximate or exact symmetries of the SM (L, B, CP, L_a): $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, p decay, EDMs, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, $ep \rightarrow \tau X$),

• • •



I/Coupling

Three classes, pushing the boundary in qualitatively different ways and at different mass scales

2. Precision tests of SM-allowed processes: β -decays (mesons, neutron, nuclei), PV electron scattering, muon g-2,

• • •

 \bullet

I. Searches for rare or SM-forbidden processes that probe approximate or exact symmetries of the SM (L, B, CP, L_a): $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, p decay, EDMs, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, $ep \rightarrow \tau X$),

. . .



I/Coupling

Three classes, pushing the boundary in qualitatively different ways and at different mass scales

2. Precision tests of SM-allowed processes: β -decays (mesons, neutron, nuclei), PV electron scattering, muon g-2,

. . .

3. Searches / characterization of light and weakly coupled particles: active V's, sterile V's, dark sector particles and mediators, axions,

• • •

 \bullet

I. Searches for rare or SM-forbidden processes that probe approximate or exact symmetries of the SM (L, B, CP, L_a): $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, p decay, EDMs, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion, $ep \rightarrow \tau X$),

. . .



I/Coupling

Three classes, pushing the boundary in qualitatively different ways and at different mass scales

2. Precision tests of SM-allowed processes: β -decays (mesons, neutron, nuclei), PV electron scattering, muon g-2,

. . .

3. Searches / characterization of light and weakly coupled particles: active V's, sterile V's, dark sector particles and mediators, axions,

• • •

The EIC can play a role in all three classes

• Discovery potential

- Explore physics that is otherwise difficult / impossible to access: high mass scale; symmetry breaking; ultralight particles
- A single deviation from SM expectation \rightarrow new physics!

- Discoven Expl MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE high
 - A single deviation from SM expectation \rightarrow new physics!



A V L I INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND difficult / impossible to access: hg; ultralight particles



From Hitoshi Murayama

• Discovery potential

- Explore physics that is otherwise difficult / impossible to access: high mass scale; symmetry breaking; ultralight particles
- A single deviation from SM expectation \rightarrow new physics!
- Diagnosing power when combining multiple probes
 - Multiple EDM searches \rightarrow underlying sources of CP violation
 - $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, absolute ν mass measurements, ν oscillations, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$, $e \rightarrow \tau$, ...) \rightarrow origin of neutrino mass

• ...

• Discovery potential

- Explore physics that is otherwise difficult / impossible to access: high mass scale; symmetry breaking; ultralight particles
- A single deviation from SM expectation \rightarrow new physics!
- Diagnosing power when combining multiple probes
 - Multiple EDM searches \rightarrow underlying sources of CP violation
 - $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, absolute ν mass measurements, ν oscillations, LFV ($\mu \rightarrow e$, $e \rightarrow \tau$, ...) \rightarrow origin of neutrino mass

• • • •

• Connection to open questions

Intensity Frontier probes cluster around open questions*

Origin of neutrino mass

Baryon asymmetry (violation of B, L, CP)

> Are there new forces, weaker than the weak force?

Shedding light on open questions

Nature of dark matter Light & weakly interacting particles



Shedding light on open questions

Intensity Frontier probes cluster around open questions*



Shedding light on open questions

Intensity Frontier probes cluster around open questions*



Shedding light on open questions

Intensity Frontier probes cluster around open questions*

The Intensity Frontier in NP and HEP

• IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)

"Fundamental Symmetries, Neutrons, and Neutrinos"

- Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LNV: 0vββ
 - EDMs: neutron, nuclei lacksquare
- Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - Muon g-2
 - Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei) •
 - Weak neutral current (PVES)

Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles

- Absolute neutrino mass
- Sterile neutrinos
- Neutrino scattering

The Intensity Frontier in NP and HEP

• IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)

"Fundamental Symmetries, Neutrons, and Neutrinos"

- Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LNV: 0vββ
 - EDMs: neutron, nuclei
- Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - Muon g-2
 - Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei)
 - Weak neutral current (PVES)

Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles •

- Absolute neutrino mass
- Sterile neutrinos
- Neutrino scattering

• IF in the 2023 P5 report (HEP)** (my very rough 'binning')

"Pursue Quantum Imprints of New Phenomena"

- Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LFV in muon (Mu2e) and tau decays (Belle-II)
 - Flavor physics: Belle-II, LHCb
 - EDMs: proton \bullet
- Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - High-Luminosity LHC (ATLAS, CMS)
 - Higgs factory
 - . . .

- Neutrino oscillations \bullet
- Forward physics facility at LHC
- . . .





The Intensity Frontier in NP and HEP

• IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)

"Fundamental Symmetries, Neutrons, and Neutrinos"

- IF in the 2023 P5 report (HEP)** (my very rough 'binning') "Pursue Quantum Imprints of New Phenomena" Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes: Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes: LNV: 0vββ • LFV in muon (Mu2e) and tau decays (Belle-II) EDMs: neutron, nuclei Flavor physics: Belle-II, LHCb EDMs: proton \bullet Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes: Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes: Muon g-2 • High-Luminosity LHC (ATLAS, CMS) • Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei) Higgs factory Weak neutral current (PVES) . . . Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles •
 - Absolute neutrino mass
 - Sterile neutrinos
 - Neutrino scattering ullet

In the rest of this talk: selected IF probes (with emphasis on NP and an eye towards the EIC)

Neutrino oscillations \bullet

 \bullet

• • •

• Forward physics facility at LHC









Interlude: theory framework



To motivate and analyze intensity frontier searches, fairly general EFT-based theory framework(s) have emerged, encompassing many underlying models

I/Coupling

UV: the Standard Model Effective Field Theory

Heavy new particles affect low-energy physics through local operators suppressed by inverse powers of heavy scale



See talk by **Radja Boughezal**



Light, weakly coupled new physics: portals

"Portals": dominant interactions through which the SM and dark sector couple (↔ lowest dimensional SM singlet operators)



Credit: Stefania Gori



Leading axion interactions appear at $O(I/\Lambda)$:

 $aF\tilde{F}/f_a$, $aG\tilde{G}/f_a$, $\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\psi \partial_{\mu}a/f_a$

Rare / forbidden processes



Neutrino mass & new physics

- \bullet
- Lorentz invariance \Rightarrow two options for massive neutrinos: Dirac or Majorana \bullet



The Standard Model

Massive neutrinos provide the only laboratory-based evidence of physics beyond the Standard Model



 $\Delta L=0$



 $\Delta L=2$

$$\mathcal{L}_D \sim \bar{\nu}_R \, M_D \, \nu_L$$

Conserves $L=L_e+L_{\mu}+L_{\tau}$

$$\mathcal{L}_M \sim \nu_L^T \, C M_M \, \nu_L$$

Violates L (Δ L=2)

Neutrino mass a Neutrino mass & new physics Massive neutrinos provide the only laboratory-based evidence of physics beyond the Standard Model Dirac mass Lorentz invariance \Rightarrow two options for massive neutrines. First of massing mass and new physics $m \bar{\nu}_L \nu_R + h.c. = m \bar{\nu} \nu$ $\nu = \nu_L + \nu_R$ In both cases V mass requires introducing new degrees of freedom & interactions Majorana mass Dirac mass $m \bar{\nu}_L \nu_R + \text{h.c.} = m \bar{\nu} \nu \mathsf{D}^{\mathsf{irac}}$ $m \nu_L^T C \nu_L + \text{h.c.} = m \bar{\nu} \nu$ $\nu = \nu_L + \nu_R$ $\nu = \nu_L + \nu_L^c = \nu^c$ Majorana Χ Η X M_R-I Higgs triplet ν_R L_L^{α} $L_L^{\alpha} =$ What are the sources and mediators of lepton formilyey io ationserves L • Violates $L_{e,\mu,\tau}$ and L ($\Delta L=2$) → Charged Lepton Flavor Violation





0vββ decay: significance







$$2, Z + 2) + e^- + e^-$$

Potentially observable only in certain even-even nuclei (⁷⁶Ge, ¹⁰⁰Mo,¹³⁶Xe, ...) for which single beta decay is energetically forbidden

0vββ decay: significance







Shed light on the physics responsible for tiny but non-zero neutrino mass & Demonstrate Majorana nature of neutrinos (neutrino=antineutrino)

$$2, Z + 2) + e^- + e^-$$

Potentially observable only in certain even-even nuclei (⁷⁶Ge, ¹⁰⁰Mo, ¹³⁶Xe, ...) for which single beta decay is energetically forbidden

(B-L conserved in the the SM)

This 'matter-creating' process points to elegant mechanisms for generating the matter-antimatter asymmetry in the universe (leptogenesis)

0vββ decay: discovery potential



I/Coupling

• Ton-scale $0V\beta\beta$ searches $[T_{1/2} \sim 10^{27-28} \text{ yr}]$ can discover LNV from a broad variety of mechanisms and mass scales





0vββ decay: discovery potential OVββ physics reach Ton-scale 0vββ searches [T_{1/2} ~10²⁷⁻²⁸ yr] can discover LNV from a broad variety of mechanisms and mass scales



0νββ decay $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay on scale **Beyond ton**

0vββ decay: discovery potential

Contributions to $0V\beta\beta$ not directly related to the exchange of light neutrinos, within reach of planned experiments & possibly correlated with signal at LHC in pp \rightarrow ee jj

Ton-scale $0V\beta\beta$ searches $[T_{1/2} \sim 10^{27-28} \text{ yr}]$ can discover LNV from a broad variety of mechanisms and mass scales

0vββ decay: discovery potential

I/Coupling

Ton-scale $0V\beta\beta$ searches $[T_{1/2} \sim 10^{27-28} \text{ yr}]$ can discover LNV from a broad variety of mechanisms and mass scales

Connecting sources of LNV to nuclei is a multi-scale problem! Best tackled through EFT to achieve controlled uncertainty

Theory advances require synergy of phenomenology, EFT, Lattice QCD, and first-principles nuclear structure

White paper 2203. 21169 and refs therein

Exciting prospects due to planned ton-scale experiments

Charged LFV and new physics

• V oscillations $\Rightarrow L_{e,\mu,\tau}$ not conserved. However, in SM + massive V, Charged-LFV decays are suppressed to unobservable level

 $Br(\mu$

 Observation of CLFV processes would unambiguously indicate new physics, related to the origin of leptonic 'flavor' & possibly neutrino mass

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu \text{SM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\nu-\text{mass}}$$
$$u \to e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \left| \sum_{i=2,3} U^*_{\mu i} U_{ei} \frac{\Delta m_{1i}^2}{M_W^2} \right|^2 < 10^{-54}$$

Petcov '77, Marciano-Sanda '77, Shrock '77...

Charged LFV and new physics

• V oscillations $\Rightarrow L_{e,\mu,\tau}$ not conserved. However, in SM + massive V, Charged-LFV decays are suppressed to unobservable level

 $Br(\mu$

 Observation of CLFV processes would unambiguously indicate new physics, related to the origin of leptonic 'flavor' & possibly neutrino mass

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu \text{SM}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\nu-\text{mass}}$$
$$(a \to e\gamma) = \frac{3\alpha}{32\pi} \left| \sum_{i=2,3} U^*_{\mu i} U_{ei} \frac{\Delta m^2_{1i}}{M^2_W} \right|^2 < 10^{-54}$$

Petcov '77, Marciano-Sanda '77, Shrock '77...

CLFV physics reach

• LFV processes are sensitive to broad spectrum of new physics: both heavy and light + weakly coupled

We can probe LFV dynamics through a combination of low-energy and collider searches

• LFV processes are sensitive to broad spectrum of new physics: both heavy and light + weakly coupled

LFV probes across energy scales

Decays of μ , τ (and mesons)

(K
$$\rightarrow \pi \mu e$$
; B $\rightarrow K \mu \tau$,

$$\mu \to e\gamma, \quad \mu \to e\bar{e}e, \quad \mu(A,Z)$$

$$\tau \to \ell\gamma, \quad \tau \to \ell_{\alpha}\bar{\ell}_{\beta}\ell_{\beta}, \quad \tau \to \ell\Sigma$$

Collider processes:

$$pp \rightarrow R \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \bar{\ell}_{\beta} + X \qquad R = Z, h, \tilde{\nu}, \dots$$
$$pp \rightarrow \ell_{\alpha} \bar{\ell}_{\beta} + X$$

LHC

e p

$$\rightarrow \ell + X$$

LFV probes across energy scales

Decays of μ , τ (and mesons)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}$$

 $\tilde{\Gamma}\ell^{\beta}\bar{\ell}\tilde{\Gamma}\ell + \sum_{\Gamma}\frac{C^{\alpha\beta}_{\Gamma}}{\Lambda^{2}}\bar{\ell}^{\alpha}\Gamma\ell^{\beta}\bar{q}\Gamma q + \frac{1}{F^{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}}\partial_{\mu}a\,\bar{\ell}^{\alpha}\Gamma^{\mu}\ell^{\beta}$

Each model generates a specific pattern of operators → multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell^{\beta} \bar{\ell} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell + \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma \ell^{\beta} \bar{q} \Gamma q + \frac{1}{F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma}} \partial_{\mu} a \, \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu} \ell^{\beta}$$

• New physics mass scale probed through any process

$$BR_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} \sim$$

μ-e sector: $\Lambda/\sqrt{C} \sim 10^2 \,\text{TeV}$ τ-μ(e) sector:

Each model generates a specific pattern of operators → multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics

$$(v_{ew}/\Lambda)^4 * |(C_n)^{\alpha\beta}|^2$$

//√C ~ 10⁴⁻⁵ TeV

(Muon decays) (Tau decays)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell^{\beta} \bar{\ell} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell + \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma \ell^{\beta} \bar{q} \Gamma q + \frac{1}{F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma}} \partial_{\mu} a \, \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu} \ell^{\beta}$$

• New physics mass scale probed through any process

Calibbi-Redigolo-Ziegler-Zupan 2006.04795

Each model generates a specific pattern of operators \rightarrow multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell^{\beta} \bar{\ell} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell + \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma \ell^{\beta} \bar{q} \Gamma q + \frac{1}{F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma}} \partial_{\mu} a \, \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu} \ell^{\beta}$$

- New physics mass scale probed through any process
- lacksquare

Each model generates a specific pattern of operators → multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics

```
Relative strength of operators ([C_D]^{e\mu} vs [C_S]^{e\mu}...) through \mu \rightarrow 3e versus \mu \rightarrow e\gamma
 versus \mu \rightarrow e conversion (and similarly for \tau \rightarrow e, \mu) \Rightarrow Mediators, mechanism
```

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell^{\beta} \bar{\ell} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell + \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma \ell^{\beta} \bar{q} \Gamma q + \frac{1}{F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma}} \partial_{\mu} a \, \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu} \ell^{\beta}$$

- Each model generates a specific pattern of operators → multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics
- New physics mass scale probed through any process
- \bullet
- Flavor structure of couplings ($[C_D]^{e\mu}$ vs $[C_D]^{\tau\mu}...$) through $\mu \rightarrow e$ versus $\tau \rightarrow \mu$ versus $\tau \rightarrow e \Rightarrow$ Sources of flavor breaking

```
Relative strength of operators ([C_D]^{e\mu} vs [C_S]^{e\mu}...) through \mu \rightarrow 3e versus \mu \rightarrow e\gamma
 versus \mu \rightarrow e conversion (and similarly for \tau \rightarrow e, \mu) \Rightarrow Mediators, mechanism
```

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm LFV} \supset \frac{v C_D^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \ell^{\beta} + \sum_{\tilde{\Gamma}} \frac{C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell^{\beta} \bar{\ell} \tilde{\ell} \tilde{\Gamma} \ell + \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{C_{\Gamma}^{\alpha\beta}}{\Lambda^2} \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma \ell^{\beta} \bar{q} \Gamma q + \frac{1}{F_{\alpha\beta}^{\Gamma}} \partial_{\mu} a \, \bar{\ell}^{\alpha} \Gamma^{\mu} \ell^{\beta}$$

- New physics mass scale probed through any process
- \bullet $\tau \rightarrow \mu$ versus $\tau \rightarrow e \Rightarrow$ Sources of flavor breaking

Plurality of searches is essential. The EIC can play an important role

Each model generates a specific pattern of operators \rightarrow multiple CLFV measurements needed to extract the underlying physics

```
Relative strength of operators ([C_D]^{e\mu} vs [C_S]^{e\mu}...) through \mu \rightarrow 3e versus \mu \rightarrow e\gamma
versus \mu \rightarrow e conversion (and similarly for \tau \rightarrow e, \mu) \Rightarrow Mediators, mechanism
```

```
Flavor structure of couplings ([C_D]^{e\mu} vs [C_D]^{\tau\mu}...) through \mu \rightarrow e versus
```

EDMs and new sources of CP violation

White paper 2203.08103 and refs therein

- Probe P and T symmetry violation (CP) in flavor diagonal transitions: \bullet
 - Highly suppressed in Standard Model (CKM phase)
 - A non-zero EDM would imply new physics or a tiny QCD θ -term (< 10⁻¹⁰). Multiple measurements (n, p, atoms, molecules) can disentangle the two effects

Sensitive to broad spectrum of new physics (Higgs sector, SUSY, ALPs...) & baryogengesis mechanisms

$$d_W \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2}$$

$$d_f, \tilde{d}_q \sim \frac{u}{\Lambda^2}$$

$$\frac{i}{2} \sum_{q=u,d,s} \tilde{d}_q g_s \,\bar{q} \sigma \cdot G \gamma_5 q + d_W \frac{g_s}{6} G \tilde{G} G + \sum_i C_i^{(4f)} O_i^{(4f)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_W \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \\ d_f, \tilde{d}_q \sim \frac{u}{\Lambda^2} \end{aligned}$$

Hard to assess relative reach of various EDMs & to disentangle underlying physics in case of discovery

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_W \sim \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \\ d_f, \tilde{d}_q \sim \frac{u}{\Lambda^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$pe \text{ fm}$$

 $(0.78 \pm 0.03)d_d + (0.0027 \pm 0.016)d_s$
 $- (1.1 \pm 0.55)e\tilde{d}_d + (50 \pm 40) \text{ MeV} e \tilde{d}_G$

Hard to assess relative reach of various EDMs & to disentangle underlying physics in case of discovery

Opportunity for lattice QCD & EIC spin physics

Precision probes of weak interactions

- Beta decays and parity-violating electron scattering (PVES) have played a central role in establishing the Standard Model
- Today, with precision approaching the 0.1% level or better (together with the muon g-2 at the <ppm level!) they probe quantum effects in the Standard Model at unprecedented levels
- "Broad band" sensitivity to new physics, both heavy and light

Radiative corrections to electron scattering

Representative diagrams for muon g-2

PVES and the weak mixing angle θ_W

PVES and the weak mixing angle θ_W

β decays and CKM unitarity β decays and CKM unitarity

with uncertainty entirely dominated by experiment [22]. A competitive determination requires a dedicated experimental

campaign, as planned at the PIONEER experiment [26]. The best information on 4_{us} Comes from usion decays, $u_{z_2} =$ $K \to \ell \nu_{\ell}$ and $K_{\ell 3} = K \to \pi \ell \nu_{\ell}$. The former is typically analyzed by normalizing to $\pi_{\ell 2}$ decays [27], leading to a constraint on V_{us}/V_{ud} , while $K_{\ell 3}$ decays give direct access to V_{us} when the corresponding form factor is provided from lattice QCD^a[28]. Details of the global fit to know 2008 ays, as well as the input for decay constants, form factors, and radiative corrections, are discussed in Sec. 2, leading to

$$\frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}}\Big|_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}} = 0.23108(23)_{\exp}(42)_{F_K/F_{\pi}}(16)_{\mathrm{IB}}[51]_{\mathrm{total}},$$

$$V_{us}^{K_{\ell 3}} = 0.22330(95)_{\exp}(39)_{f_{\pm}}(8)_{\mathrm{IB}}[53]_{\mathrm{total}},$$
(7)

where the errors refer to experiment, lattice input for the matrix elements, and isospin-breaking corrections, respectively. Together with the constraints on V_{ud} , these bands give rise to the situation depicted in Eig. 1 optogone hand, there is a tension between the best fit and CKM unitarity, but another tension, arising entirely from meson decays, is the to the fortable the $K_{\ell 2}$ and $K_{\ell 3}$ constraints intersect away from the unitarity circle. Additional information on V_{us} can be derived from τ decays [29, 30], but given the larger errors [31, 32] we will continue to focus on the kaon sector

The main point of this Letter is that given the various tensions in the $V_{ud}-V_{us}$ plane, there is urgent need for additional information on the compatibility of K_{ℓ_2} and K_{ℓ_3} data, especially \rightarrow when it comes to interpreting either of the tensions (CKM Neutron (0.043%) tarity and $K_{\ell 2}$ versus $K_{\ell 3}$) in terms of physics beyond the SM (BSM). In particular, the data base for SGD completely 965 inated by a single experiment [33], and at the same time the global fit to all kaon data displays a relatively poor fit quality. All these points could be scrutinized by a new measurement of the $K_{\mu3}/K_{\mu2}$ branching fraction at the level of a few permil, as

 $\Delta_{\rm CKM} = |V_{\rm ud}|^2 + |V_{\rm us}|^2 + |V_{\rm ub}|^2 - 1 = -15(5) \times 10^{-4}$

V_{us}

K→ πℓν (0.25%)

Figure 1: Constraints in the $V_{uc} - V_{us}$ plane. The partially overlapp bands correspond to $V_{ud}^{0^+ \rightarrow 0^+}$ (leftmost, red) and $V_{ud}^{n, \text{ best}}$ (rightmost horizontal band (green) corresponds to $V_{us}^{K_{\ell_3}}$. The diagonal sponds to $(V_{us}/V_{ud})_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}}$. The unitarity circle is denoted line. The 68% C.L. ellipse from a set to all four constraints low ($V_{ud} = 0.97378(26)$, $V_{us} = 0.22422(36)$, $\chi^2/dof = 6.4$ it deviates from the unitarity line b 2.8σ . Note that the si increase in case τ decays are include. **0+ (0.031%)** —

1

K Table 1, where, however, the value for V_{us} from cludes @17charge chames 7c5 ounting for corr them. The extraction of V_{us} from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays req put on the respective form factors, which are tak sive parameterization from Ref. [71], consgained by (Refs. [72–78]. This leaves form-factor normalization

 V_{ud}

β decays and CKM unitarity β decays and CKM unitarity

with uncertainty entirely dominated by experiment [22]. A competitive determination requires a dedicated experimental

campaign, as planned at the PIONEER experiment [26]. The best information on 4_{us} Comes from usion decays, $u_{z_2} =$ $K \to \ell \nu_{\ell}$ and $K_{\ell 3} = K \to \pi \ell \nu_{\ell}$. The former is typically analyzed by normalizing to $\pi_{\ell 2}$ decays [27], leading to a constraint on V_{us}/V_{ud} , while $K_{\ell 3}$ decays give direct access to V_{us} when the corresponding form factor is provided from lattice QCD^a[28]. Details of the global fit to know 200 as well as the input for decay constants, form factors, and radiative corrections, are discussed in Sec. 2, leading to

$$\frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}}\Big|_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}} = 0.23108(23)_{\exp}(42)_{F_K/F_{\pi}}(16)_{\mathrm{IB}}[51]_{\mathrm{total}},$$

$$V_{us}^{K_{\ell 3}} = 0.22330(95)_{\exp}(39)_{f_{\pm}}[68)_{\mathrm{IB}}[53]_{\mathrm{total}},$$
(7)

where the errors refer to experiment, lattice input for the matrix elements, and isospin-breaking corrections, respectively. Together with the constraints on V_{ud} , these bands give rise to the situation depicted in Els. 1 on the hand, there is a tension between the best fit and CKM unitarity, but another tension, arising entirely from meson decays, is the to the fortable the $K_{\ell 2}$ and $K_{\ell 3}$ constraints intersect away from the unitarity circle. Additional information on V_{us} can be derived from τ decays [29, 30], but given the larger errors [31, 32] we will continue to focus on the kaon sector.

The main point of this Letter is that given the various tensions in the $V_{ud}-V_{us}$ plane, there is urgent need for additional information on the compatibility of K_{ℓ_2} and K_{ℓ_3} data, especially \rightarrow when it comes to interpreting either of the tensions (CKM Neutron (0.043%) tarity and $K_{\ell 2}$ versus $K_{\ell 3}$) interproof physics beyond the SM (BSM). In particular, the data base for SGD completely 965 inated by a single experiment [33], and at the same time the global fit to all kaon data displays a relatively poor fit quality. All these points could be scrutinized by a new measurement of the $K_{\mu3}/K_{\mu2}$ branching fraction at the level of a few permil, as

 $\Delta_{\rm CKM} = |V_{\rm ud}|^2 + |V_{\rm us}|^2 + |V_{\rm ub}|^2 - 1 = -15(5) \times 10^{-4}$

V_{us}

K→ πℓν (0.25%)

Figure 1: Constraints in the $V_{uc} - V_{us}$ plane. The partially overlapping vertical bands correspond to $V_{ud}^{0^+ \rightarrow 0^+}$ (leftmost, red) and $V_{ud}^{n, \text{ best}}$ (rightmost violat). The horizontal band (green) corresponds to $V_{us}^{K_{\ell^3}}$. The diagonal sponds to $(V_{us}/V_{ud})_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}}$. The unitarity circle is denoted line. The 68% C.L. ellipse from a set to all four constraints low ($V_{ud} = 0.97378(26)$, $V_{us} = 0.22422(36)$, $\chi^2/dof = 6.4$ it deviates from the unitarity line b 2.8σ . Note that the si increase in case τ decays are include. **0**⁺ (0.031%) –

1

Table 1, where, however, the value for V_{us} from cludes @17charge chames 75 ounting for corr them. The extraction of V_{us} from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays req pt op the respective form factors, which are take sive parameterization from Ref. [71], consgrained by data from Refs. [72–78]. This leaves form-factor normalizations, decay

 $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+ (0.031\%)$ **Neutron (0.043%)**

 V_{ud}

Twoj om alies'

lacksquare

At face value point toward vertex corrections with $\Lambda \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$ (hard to probe even at the HI-LUM LHC) ard to probe even at the HI-LUMI LHC). -fledged precision EW observable and cluded in global fits.

VC, Dekens, de Vries, Mereghetti, Tong, 2311.00021

β decays and CKM unitarity β decays and CKM unitarity

with uncertainty entirely dominated by experiment [22]. A competitive determination requires a dedicated experimental

campaign, as planned at the PIONEER experiment [26]. The best information on $4u_s$ Comes from usion decays, $u_{\ell_2} =$ $K \to \ell \nu_{\ell}$ and $K_{\ell 3} = K \to \pi \ell \nu_{\ell}$. The former is typically analyzed by normalizing to $\pi_{\ell 2}$ decays [27], leading to a constraint on V_{us}/V_{ud} , while $K_{\ell 3}$ decays give direct access to V_{us} when the corresponding form factor is provided from lattice QCD^a[28]. Details of the global fit to know 200 as well as the input for decay constants, form factors, and radiative corrections, are discussed in Sec. 2, leading to

$$\frac{V_{us}}{V_{ud}}\Big|_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}} = 0.23108(23)_{\exp}(42)_{F_K/F_{\pi}}(16)_{\mathrm{IB}}[51]_{\mathrm{total}},$$

$$V_{us}^{K_{\ell 3}} = 0.22330(95)_{\exp}(39)_{f_{\pm}}[68)_{\mathrm{IB}}[53]_{\mathrm{total}},$$
(7)

where the errors refer to experiment, lattice input for the matrix elements, and isospin-breaking corrections, respectively. Together with the constraints on V_{ud} , these bands give rise to the situation depicted in Eig. 1 on the hand, there is a tension between the best fit and CKM unitarity, but another tension, arising entirely from meson decays, is the to the fort 15%) the $K_{\ell 2}$ and $K_{\ell 3}$ constraints intersect away from the unitarity circle. Additional information on V_{us} can be derived from τ decays [29, 30], but given the larger errors [31, 32] we will continue to focus on the kaon sector

The main point of this Letter is that given the various tensions in the $V_{ud}-V_{us}$ plane, there is urgent need for additional information on the compatibility of $K_{\ell 2}$ and $K_{\ell 3}$ data, especially \rightarrow when it comes to interpreting either of the tensions (CKM Neutron (0.043%) tarity and $K_{\ell 2}$ versus $K_{\ell 3}$) integration of physics beyond the SM (BSM). In particular, the data base for GG completely GG inated by a single experiment [33], and at the same time the global fit to all kaon data displays a relatively poor fit quality. All these points could be scrutinized by a new measurement of the $K_{\mu3}/K_{\mu2}$ branching fraction at the level of a few permil, as

 $\Delta_{\rm CKM} = |V_{\rm ud}|^2 + |V_{\rm us}|^2 + |V_{\rm ub}|^2 - 1 = -15(5) \times 10^{-4}$

 V_{us}

K→ πℓν (0.25%)

 $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+ (0.031\%)$ **Neutron (0.043%)**

 V_{ud}

Figure 1: Constraints in the V_{ua} – V_{us} plane. The partially overlapping vertical bands correspond to $V_{ud}^{0^+ \rightarrow 0^+}$ (leftmost, red) and $V_{ud}^{n, \text{ best}}$ (rightmost, violet). The it deviates from the unitarity line by 2.8σ . Note that the significance tends to increase in case τ decays are include 0+(0.031%) —

Table 1, where, however, the value for V_{us} from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays incluces @17charge charge grassounting for correlations among them. The extraction of V_{us} from $K_{\ell 3}$ decays requires further input on the respective form factors, which are taken in the dispersive parameterization from Ref. [71], consgained by data from Refs. [72–78]. This leaves form-factor normalizations, decay

1

Twoj om alies'

At face value point toward vertex corrections with $\Lambda \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$ (hard to probe even at the HI-LUM LHC) ard to probe even at the HI-LUMI LHC). -fledged precision EW observable and cluded in global fits.

VC, Dekens, de Vries, Mereghetti, Tong, 2311.00021

horizontal band (green) corresponds to $V_{us}^{K_{\ell^3}}$. The diagonal band (blue) corresponds to $V_{us}^{K_{\ell^3}}$. The diagonal band (blue) corresponds to $V_{us}^{K_{\ell^3}}$. sponds to $(V_{us}/V_{ud})_{K_{\ell 2}/\pi_{\ell 2}}$. The unitarity circle is denoted by the black solic CD+QED) and nuclear decays (EFT + ab initio n.s.) line. The 68% C.L. ellipse from a t to all four constraints is depicted in yel-low $(V_{ud} = 0.97378(26), V_{us} = 0.2422(36), \chi^2/dof = 6.4/2, p-value 4.1\%),$

> Experimental opportunities in neutron decay, $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$, π & K decays, all with clear target goals. EIC?

The Intensity Frontier and the EIC

- IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LNV: 0vββ
 - EDMs: neutron, nuclei
 - Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - Muon g-2
 - Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei)
 - Weak neutral current (PVES)
 - Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles \bullet Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles ullet
 - Absolute neutrino mass
 - Sterile neutrinos
 - Neutrino scattering

The EIC not on the map yet.

- IF in the 2023 P5 report (HEP)** (my very rough 'binning')
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LFV in muon (Mu2e) and tau decays (Belle-II)
 - Flavor physics: Belle-II, LHCb
 - EDMs: proton \bullet
 - Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - High-Luminosity LHC (ATLAS, CMS)
 - Higgs factory \bullet
 - . . .

- Neutrino oscillations \bullet
- Forward physics facility at LHC
- • • •

The Intensity Frontier and the EIC

- IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes: \bullet
 - LNV: 0vββ
 - EDMs: neutron, nuclei •
 - Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - Muon g-2
 - Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei)
 - Weak neutral current (PVES)

Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles \bullet

- Absolute neutrino mass
- Sterile neutrinos
- Neutrino scattering lacksquare

- IF in the 2023 P5 report (HEP)** (my very rough 'binning')
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LFV in muon (Mu2e) and tau decays (Belle-II)
 - Flavor physics: Belle-II, LHCb
 - EDMs: proton \bullet
 - Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - High-Luminosity LHC (ATLAS, CMS)
 - Higgs factory lacksquare
 - . . .

lacksquare

• • •

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

- Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles
 - Neutrino oscillations \bullet
 - Forward physics facility at LHC

The EIC not on the map yet. But can directly or indirectly lead to advances in several areas.

The Intensity Frontier and the EIC

- IF in the 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan (NP)
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LNV: 0vββ
- $e^{-}p \rightarrow \mu^{+}X \quad e^{-}p \rightarrow \tau^{+}X$?
- EDMs: neutron, nuclei •
- Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - Muon g-2
 - Weak charged current (mesons, neutron, nuclei)
 - Weak neutral current (PVES)

Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles \bullet

- Absolute neutrino mass
- Sterile neutrinos
- Neutrino scattering lacksquare

- IF in the 2023 P5 report (HEP)** (my very rough 'binning')
 - Searches for rare / SM-forbidden processes:
 - LFV in muon (Mu2e) and tau decays (Belle-II)
 - Flavor physics: Belle-II, LHCb
 - EDMs: proton \bullet
 - Precision measurements of SM-allowed processes:
 - High-Luminosity LHC (ATLAS, CMS)
 - Higgs factory
 - . . .

lacksquare

• • •

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

- Search / characterization of light weakly coupled particles
 - Neutrino oscillations \bullet
 - Forward physics facility at LHC

The EIC not on the map yet. But can directly or indirectly lead to advances in several areas.

Concluding comments

Experiments at the Intensity Frontier are exploring uncharted territory in the search for new physics, in Shedding light on open questions a complementary way to other frontiers

I/Coupling

- Vibrant experimental program probes BSM physics related to "big questions"
- The EIC can and should play a role in this exciting area