Designing Topological Quantum Matter

Claudio Chamon











Dmitry Green

Zhi-Cheng Yang

Shiyu Zhou



Elliot Yu



Kai-Hsin Wu



Aleksey Khudorozhkov



Guilerme Delfino



Garry Goldstein





Anders Sandvik

Andrei Ruckenstein

@ MIT LL @ ColdQuanta



Andrew Kerman



Edward Dahl

@ Univ. of Cambridge



Claudio Castelnovo





Maria Zelenayova

Oliver Hart

Collaborators

2020: PRL 2021: 2x PRB, 2x PRX Quantum 2022: 3x SciPost submissions 2023: arXiv

Build topological phases (e.g., toric code or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge models) with **physical** interactions (2-spin interactions or Josephson couplings)







Build topological phases (e.g., toric code or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge models) with **physical** interactions (2-spin interactions or Josephson couplings)



Build topological phases (e.g., toric code or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge models) with **physical** interactions (2-spin interactions or Josephson couplings)

Our goal is to build static Hamiltonians hosting topological ground states!!!

Ground state is a quiet place

Build topological phases (e.g., toric code or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge models) with **physical** interactions (2-spin interactions or Josephson couplings)

loffe and Feigel'man, PRB 2002	Jordan and Farhi, Sci. Adv. 2016
loffe, Feigel'man, loselevich, Ivanov, Troyer, and Blatter, Nature 2002	J. D. Biamonte, PRA 2008
Douçot, Feigel'man, and loffe, PRL 2003, PRB 2005	Bravyi, DiVincenzo, Loss, and Terhal, PRL 2008
Gladchenko, Olaya, Dupont-Ferrier, Douçot, loffe, and Gershenson, Nat. Phys. 2009	Leib, Zoller, and Lechner, Quant. Sci. and Tech. 2016
Douçot and loffe, Rep. Prog. Phys. 2012	Subas and Jarzynski, PRA 2016
	Chancellor, Zohren, and Warburton, Quant. Info. 2017

Gaps are perturbative: how can we try to increase these gaps?

Build topological phases (e.g., toric code or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge models) with **physical** interactions (2-spin interactions or Josephson couplings)

"The definition of insanity is doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results."

Albert Einstein often gets the credit for this saying, but you probably won't be surprised to learn that he never actually said it. This misattributed quotation has been well documented: it appears to have originated around 1980 in literature published by Narcotics Anonymous (Becker; "Insanity").

Becker, Michael. "Einstein Probably Didn't Say That Famous Quote about Insanity." *Becker's Online Journal*, 13 Nov. 2012, <u>www.news.hypercrit.net/2012/11/13/einstein-on-insanity</u>.

https://style.mla.org/five-commonly-misattributed-quotations/

Design exact gauge symmetries

Combinatorial gauge symmetry



NOT emergent

Combinatorial gauge symmetry

What is it?

Chamon, Green, and Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2020)

What symmetries preserve commutation relations for *n* spins?

Compare with the case of *n* fermions or bosons

$$\psi_i \to \tilde{\psi}_i = \sum_j U_{ij} \, \psi_j$$

$$\phi_i \to \tilde{\phi}_i = \sum_j U_{ij} \phi_j$$

$$\psi_i, \psi_j^{\dagger}\} = \{\tilde{\psi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_j^{\dagger}\}$$

$$[\phi_i^{},\phi_j^{\dagger}]=[\tilde{\phi}_i^{},\tilde{\phi}_j^{\dagger}]$$

What symmetries preserve commutation relations for *n* spins?

Eg.: 2 fermions

$$\tilde{\psi}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\psi_1 + \psi_2 \right)$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\psi_1 - \psi_2 \right)$$

All anti-commutation relations are preserved

What symmetries preserve commutation relations for *n* spins?

Now say we try this (please don't) for spins

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sigma_1^a + \sigma_2^a \right)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sigma_1^a - \sigma_2^a \right)$$

commutation and anti-commutation relations are messed up

Which transformations are allowed? $\sigma_i^a \to U \sigma_i^a U^{\dagger} \qquad U \in SU(2^n)$



Which transformations are allowed? $\sigma_i^a \to U \sigma_i^a U^{\dagger} \qquad U \in SU(2^n)$



Eg.: 4 spins

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{\sigma}_1 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_3 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_2 \\ g_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & g_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{\sigma}_1 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_2 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_3 \\ \overrightarrow{\sigma}_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $g_i \in SO(3)$

Spin commutation relations are all preserved













- "Matter" fields \hat{a}_n at each vertex (i = 1...4)
- "Gauge" fields \hat{b}_i shared by vertices (n = 1...4)





- "Matter" fields \hat{a}_n at each vertex (i = 1...4)
- "Gauge" fields \hat{b}_i shared by vertices (n = 1...4)



Operators transform as:

$$\hat{a}_n \to \sum_m \hat{a}_m \ (L^{-1})_{mn} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_i \to \sum_j R_{ij} \ \hat{b}_j$$

 \hat{a} , \hat{b} can be spins, phases operators, etc.

L, R are monomial matrices

- "Matter" fields \hat{a}_n at each vertex (i = 1...4)
- "Gauge" fields \hat{b}_i shared by vertices (n = 1...4)



Mathematically: Hadamard automorphism



Monomial matrix

Monomial matrix

 $\hat{a}_n = \sum_{m=1}^4 \hat{a}_m \ (L^{-1})_{m,n} \qquad \qquad \hat{b}_i = \sum_{j=1}^4 R_{i,j} \ \hat{b}_j$

 $R \Rightarrow L$ $L = W R W^{-1}$

- "Matter" fields \hat{a}_n at each vertex (i = 1...4)
- "Gauge" fields \hat{b}_i shared by vertices (n = 1...4)





E.g.: Spin model with gauge-matter spin-spin interaction



Invariance for all $J, \Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$

 $H = -J\sum \sum W_{ai} \mu_a^z \sigma_i^z - \Gamma \sum \mu_a^x - \tilde{\Gamma} \sum \sigma_i^x$ s $a,i\in s$ а Warning: would lead to small gaps Transverse fields: invariant under spin (sanity check!) flips and permutations Monomial transformations preserve spin algebra

Simple limit: single star $\Gamma \gg J$

 μ in effective field of σ



$$E \sim -\sum \sqrt{(W\sigma^z)^2 + \Gamma^2} \sim \text{const} - \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^z \sigma_4^z$$

Realization in D-Wave DW-2000Q for spins (classical limit only)

First* experimental 8-vertex model (classical \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid)



* As far as we know

Zhou, Green, Dahl, Chamon, Phys. Rev. B (2021)

How to get large (non-perturbative) gaps, back to the program

SC wire array





$$W = \begin{pmatrix} - & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & + \\ + & + & - & + \\ + & + & + & - \end{pmatrix}$$

SC wire array





Small capacitance limit (charge degenerate point)

regular junction

$$H_J = -J \sum_{ia} W_{ia} \mu_i^+ \sigma_a^- + H.c.$$

WXY model

WXY model





$H_J = -J \sum_{ia} W_{ia} \mu_i^+ \sigma_a^- + H.c.$

WXY model symmetries



Local symmetries



plaquette operators

$$[H, G_p] = 0$$

Nonlocal symmetries



 $[H, G_u] = 0$

Toric code strip







star operators

plaquette operators

$$H_{\text{toric-ladder}} = -\lambda_A \sum_s A_s - \lambda_B \sum_p B_p$$

U(1) toric code strip

same symmetries of WXY model

star operators



 $H_{\text{toric-ladder}} = -\lambda_A \sum_s A_s$





plaquette operators

 $B_p = \mp 1$

U(1) toric code strip

same symmetries of WXY model



 $H_{\text{toric-ladder}} = -\lambda_A \sum_s A_s$ $A_s = \sigma_1^+ \sigma_2^+ \sigma_3^- \sigma_4^-$ + 5 terms $B_p = \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^z$

 $B_p = \sigma_1^z \ \sigma_2^z$

Absence of visons: Model maps onto p-wave SC chain

$$H_{-} = -\lambda_{A} \sum_{p} \left[c_{p+1}^{\dagger} c_{p} + c_{p}^{\dagger} c_{p+1} + c_{p+1}^{\dagger} c_{p}^{\dagger} + c_{p} c_{p+1} \right]$$

WXY ladder / toric ladder side-by-side

visons



plaquette operators

$$G_p = \mp 1$$



plaquette operators













vison and spinon gaps

 $\Delta_v \sim \Delta_s \sim \mathcal{O}(J)$

2D version



Chamon, Green, and Kerman, PRX Quantum 2021

WXY model

$$H_J = -J \sum_s \sum_{ia \in s} W_{ia} \ \mu_i^+ \ \sigma_a^- + H.c.$$





QSL with gap of order J?

U(1) toric code – YES!

UV/IR mixing, strange topological degeneracies, Hilbert space fragmentation, possibly non-Abelian

U(1) symmetry-enhanced toric

$$H_J = -J \sum_s \mathcal{A}_s - \lambda \sum_p B_p$$



Wu, Khudorozhkov, Delfino, Green, and Chamon, arXiv Feb. 2013

U(1) symmetry-enhanced toric



r

45° compactification



UV/IR mixing, strange topological degeneracies, Hilbert space fragmentation, possibly non-Abelian

Gapped spin-liquid

Spin-spin correlation

Motivated by the SC wire array!



Abelian combinatorial gauge symmetry

Generalized framework for all Abelian groups and lattice connectivities

arXiv:2212.03880 Yu, Goldstein, Green, Ruckenstein, and Chamon

W matrices translate into "waffle" arrays



E.g. application



FIG. 3. Illustration of the two types of operators in the Hamiltonian of the Haah's code.

Non-Abelian combinatorial gauge symmetry

arXiv:2209.14333 Green and Chamon



Quaternion group

$$\begin{aligned} v(+1) &= [++++] & v(-1) &= [----] \\ v(+i) &= [+-+-] & v(-i) &= [-+-+] \\ v(+j) &= [++--] & v(-j) &= [--++] \\ v(+k) &= [-++-] & v(-k) &= [+--+] \end{aligned}$$

$$W = rac{1}{4} egin{bmatrix} v(f_1) & v(h_1) & v((f_1h_1)^{-1}) \ v(f_2) & v(h_2) & v((f_2h_2)^{-1}) \ dots & dots & dots \ v(f_{64}) & v(h_{64}) & v((f_{64}h_{64})^{-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 64×12 matrix

lots of SC wires and junctions!

General (discrete) non-Abelian groups: Yu, Green and Chamon, in preparation

Summary

 Framework for constructing systems with exact (not emergent) local Abelian and non-Abelian gauge symmetries using physical interactions

- Proposed a 2-leg ladder SC wire array with non-perturbative spinon/vison gap
- Presented a U(1)-symmetry enhanced toric code with unusual topological features





Dmitry Green

Zhi-Cheng Yang

Shiyu Zhou



Elliot Yu



Kai-Hsin Wu



Aleksey Khudorozhkov



Guilerme Delfino



Garry Goldstein





Anders Sandvik

Andrei Ruckenstein

@ MIT LL @ ColdQuanta



Andrew Kerman



Edward Dahl

@ Univ. of Cambridge



Claudio Castelnovo





Maria Zelenayova

Oliver Hart

Collaborators

2020: PRL 2021: 2x PRB, 2x PRX Quantum 2022: 3x SciPost submissions 2023: arXiv