## Neutron Star Cooling

Edward Brown Michigan State University

T. Piro, Carnegie Obs.



Measurements of *M*, *R*,  $\Lambda$  give us *P*( $\rho$ ); but we don't know *what* is providing the pressure. Cooling neutron stars provide information on transport, namely

Specific heat—are the nucleons paired?

$$C \sim \left(rac{T}{T_{\rm F}}
ight) e^{-T_c/T}$$

Neutrino emissivity—can rapid cooling proceed?

 $\rightarrow pe\overline{\nu}_e$  and inverse (direct Urca) The reactions *n* are blocked (conservation of momentum, energy) unless  $n_p/n \ge 0.11$ ; or other degrees of freedom (e.g., hyperons) are present.

$$L_{\nu,dU} = 6 \times 10^{38} \tilde{T}_8^6 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$
  
 $L_{\nu,mU} = 6 \times 10^{30} \tilde{T}_8^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ 



Radius (km)



## Cooling isolated neutron stars

see reviews by Yakovlev & Pethick, Page et al.

10+ years: star thermally relaxes, interior is mostly isothermal

Cooling via neutrinos from core, photons from surface

$$C(\tilde{T})\frac{\mathrm{d}\tilde{T}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -L_{\nu}(\tilde{T}) - L_{\gamma}(\tilde{T})$$

	۲ ر۲
د ا	۲ ر۲
[erg	۲ (۲
8	
Log	۲ ر
	۲)
	۲ ر ۲



## The neutron star envelope | F = const.

Envelope thermally relaxes in hours; flux is constant

 $F = \sigma_{SB} T_{eff}^{4} = -K \frac{dT}{dr}$  $\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho g$ 

Flux carried by rad'n where electrons are nondegenerate; when electrons become degenerate, they carry heat, conductivity set by electron-ion scattering (Gudmundson et al. 1983; Potekhin et al. 1997).



# Envelope sets mapping between surface and interior temperatures



from Brown & Cumming '09







### Accreting neutron stars

Bright when accreting

Different population than merging neutron stars: potentially wider mass range

Sample range of heating rates, interior temperatures

Non-symmetric: good for mountain-building

Diverse nuclear-powered phenomena probe ambient conditions over a wide range of densities throughout crust

T. Piro, Carnegie Obs.



![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ζ

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Quasi-persistent transients: long outburst and quiescent durations

2001: quasi-persistent transients discovered (Wijnands, using the Rossi Xray Timing Explorer)

2002: Rutledge et al. suggest looking for crust thermal relaxation

2002–: cooling detected! (many: Wijnands, Cackett, Degenaar, Fridriksson, Homan, Ootes, Parikh) fig. from Cackett et al. '06

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

Quasi-persistent transients: long outburst and quiescent durations

2001: quasi-persistent transients discovered (Wijnands, using the Rossi Xray Timing Explorer)

2002: Rutledge et al. suggest looking for crust thermal relaxation

2002–: cooling detected! (many: Wijnands, Cackett, Degenaar, Fridriksson, Homan, Ootes, Parikh)

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Cooling lightcurve

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\rho C \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (K \nabla T)$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \int \left( \frac{\rho C}{\kappa} \right)^{1/2} dz \right]^2$$

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

dStar: open-source crust thermal evolution code

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Brown & Cumming 2009

### Inferring crust properties from cooling

Ushomirsky & Rutledge, Shternin et al., Brown & Cumming, Page & Reddy, Turlione et al., Deibel et al., Merritt et al., Parikh et al.

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Cooling of MXB1659-29 following outburst ending 2017

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Cooling of MXB1659-29 following outburst ending 2017

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Models also give us the total energy deposited into the core and its temperature

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

# For KS 1731-260, $\approx 6 \times 10^{43}$ ergs deposited into the core

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

# There is sufficient heating during outburst to change $T_{\text{core}}$ significantly

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cumming et al. '17

# Suppose core cools completely between outbursts and neutrino cooling is weak

$$C\frac{d\tilde{T}}{dt} = -L_{\nu} - L_{\gamma} + L_{\text{in}}$$

$$C > \frac{2E}{\tilde{T}_{f}} \quad \text{with} \quad E = \int L_{\text{in}} dt$$
since  $C \sim T$ 

### For KS1731, $C > 3 \times 10^{36} \tilde{T}_8$

### during outburst

### The specific heat must be larger than this!

### Minimum specific heat for KS 1731–260

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cumming et al. 2017

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Measured temperature is incompatible with a quark CFL phase throughout core

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Now suppose neutrino emission is strong, so the core temperature saturates during outburst:

 $C = -L_{\nu} + L_{\rm in},$ 

 $L_{\nu,dU} = 6 \times 10^{38} \tilde{T}_8^6 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  $L_{\nu,mU} = 6 \times 10^{30} \tilde{T}_8^8 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ 

The neutrino luminosity cannot exceed the heating rate, however:

 $L_{
u} < L_{
m in} pprox$ 

for KS1731. If a *fast* process is present, its strength is  $< 10^{-3}$  of direct Urca.

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

$$2 \times 10^{35} \, \mathrm{erg} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

### Maximum neutrino luminosity for KS 1731–260

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

# The general case

 $C\frac{d\tilde{T}}{dt} = -L_{\gamma}(\tilde{T}) - L_{\nu}(\tilde{T}) + L_{\rm in},$ 

where  $L_{in} = 0$  during quiescence

In this plot the  $C/\tilde{T}_8 = 10^{38} \text{ erg K}^{-1}$ ,  $\Xi^{10}^{8}$ and we vary the recurrence time  $t_r$ .

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Phase diagram for KS 1731–260

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cumming et al. 2017

# MXB 1659-29: 3 outbursts since 1978 (it finished an outburst mid-2017 and is in quiescence again)

The core is likely in steady-state: the thermal time of the core (at an average cooling luminosity  $L_{\nu} \approx 4 \times 10^{34} \, \mathrm{erg \, s^{-1}}$  is

Note: here we assume outburst of 1999 is typical.

$$\tau \approx 700 \,\mathrm{yr} \left(\frac{C/\tilde{T}_8}{10^{38} \,\mathrm{erg} \,\mathrm{K}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{\tilde{T}_8}{0.25}\right)^2$$

The low core temperature implies that strong neutrino cooling is present:

$$L_{\nu} \approx 10^{38} \,\mathrm{erg}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\widetilde{T}_8^6.$$

This is consistent with direct Urca over a small fraction ( $\sim 1\%$ ) of the core.

Brown et al. 2018

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

## Phase diagram for MXB 1659-29

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

## In summary,

- saturation density.
- For KS1731,  $C > 3 \times 10^{36} \tilde{T}_8$ Its neutrino luminosity is  $< 10^{-3}$  that of direct Urca.
- For MXB 1659, neutrino luminosity is  $\approx 1\%$  of direct Urca
- the core specific heat, superfluid gaps (cf. Mendes et al. 2021)...

Cooling neutron star transients probe the transport properties of matter at near-

Transients with long outbursts deposit enough heat in the core to potentially raise the core temperature. Observations following crust relaxation measure this temperature.

implies  $M_{\rm MXB} > M_{\rm KS}$ 

SAX J1808.4-3658 has an even colder core

Further monitoring of variations in the core temperature will improve constraints on

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Composition in the outer crust decrease e-

start with a simple liquid drop

$$B(Z,N) = a_V A - a_S A^{2/3} - a_A \frac{(N-Z)^2}{A} - a_A \frac{(N-Z)^2}{A}$$

enforce beta-equilibrium, charge neutrality: electron abundance  $Y_e$ decreases linearly with rising electron chemical potential

$$\mu_e = \mu_n - \mu_p$$

$$Y_e \approx \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\mu_e}{8a_A}\right) \left(1 + \frac{a_C A^{2/3}}{4a_A}\right)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}$$

compute neutron separation energy S<sub>n</sub> and find density of neutron drip, where  $S_n = 0$ :  $n_{drip} \approx 0.001 n_0$ 

$$S_{n} = \left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial N}\right)_{Z} = a_{V} - a_{A}\left(1 - 4Y_{e}^{2}\right) - \frac{1}{3}a_{C}Y_{e}$$
$$S_{n} \to 0 \implies \mu_{e} \approx 2a_{V} \approx 30 \text{ MeV}$$

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)